

STUDIES IN PHILIPPINE LINGUISTICS

Volume 8 Number 2 1991

*Discourse approaches to cohesion: A study of
the structure and unity of a Central Bontoc
expository text 204 pp.*

by Keith Laurence Benn; Fe T. Otones and Hazel Wrigglesworth, series eds.

To Felix Khensay, Table of Contents, Illustrations, and
Acknowledgments



ISSN 0116-0516 ISBN 971-1059-18-5

© LINGUISTIC SOCIETY OF THE PHILIPPINES and SUMMER INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS

Sample Citation Format

Ma. Lourdes S. Bautista. 1977. "The noun phrase in Tagalog—English code switching". *Studies in Philippine Linguistics* 1:1, 1–16. Online. URL: <http://www.sil.org/asia/philippines/> [etc.] + access date.

Illustrations

Fig. 1. The internal relations of the Central Cordilleran subgroup	1
Fig. 2. A statement calculus of propositional relations	4
Fig. 3. A spectrum of biblical Hebrew clause types	5
Fig. 4. The textual component of language	6
Fig. 5. Deep structure genres according to Longacre	8
Fig. 6. Discourse genres	9
Fig. 7. Projected and nonprojected discourse genres	9
Fig. 8. Conjoining paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	18
Fig. 9. Temporal paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	19
Fig. 10. Implication paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	21
Fig. 11. Paraphrase paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	22
Fig. 12. Deictic paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	25
Fig. 13. The role of conjoining paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	29
Fig. 14. The role of paraphrase paragraphs in the <i>Innana</i> text	30
Fig. 15. Summary of paragraph roles in the <i>Innana</i> text	35
Fig. 16. The structure of the <i>Innana</i> text	36
Fig. 17. The paragraph structure of the setting of the <i>Innana</i> text	37
Fig. 18. Structure of the <i>Lifon</i> ritual embedded procedural discourse	38
Fig. 19. Structure of the <i>Soyok</i> ritual embedded procedural discourse	39
Fig. 20. Structure of the <i>Mangmang</i> ritual embedded expository discourse	40
Fig. 21. Structure of the <i>Apey</i> ritual embedded procedural discourse	41
Fig. 22. Structure of the <i>Patay</i> and <i>Tengaw</i> rituals embedded discourse	42
Fig. 23. The structure of the <i>CONCLUSION</i> of the <i>Innana</i> text	43
Fig. 24. Clause types in the <i>EXPLANATION</i> of the <i>Innana</i> text	46
Fig. 25. Active versus stative predicates in independent and dependent clauses of the <i>EXPLANATION</i> of the <i>Innana</i> text	47
Fig. 26. A basic procedural spectrum	47
Fig. 27. Active predicates in independent clauses in the <i>Innana</i> text	48
Fig. 28. A spectrum for active predicates in independent clauses	50
Fig. 29. Devices to heighten or lower dynamism of active predicates	53
Fig. 30. Dynamic level of active verbs that function in ways other than as predicates of independent clauses	55
Fig. 31. A spectrum for active verbs	55
Fig. 32. A spectrum for stative verbs	58
Fig. 33. A working spectrum for active and stative predicates in procedural discourse	59
Fig. 34. An initial expository spectrum	59
Fig. 35. Devices to increase or decrease descriptiveness of explanatory sentences	61
Fig. 36. Factors affecting transitivity	61
Fig. 37. Paragraph spectrum profile of the <i>SETTING</i> of the <i>Innana</i> text	64
Fig. 38. Paragraph transitivity profile of the <i>SETTING</i> of the <i>Innana</i> text	65

Fig. 39. Spectrum profile of the <i>Lifon</i> ritual66
Fig. 40. Transitivity profile of the <i>Lifon</i> ritual67
Fig. 41. Spectrum profile of the <i>Soyok</i> ritual68
Fig. 42. Transitivity profile of the <i>Soyok</i> ritual69
Fig. 43. Paragraph spectrum profile of the <i>Mangmang</i> ritual70
Fig. 44. Paragraph transitivity profile of the <i>Mangmang</i> ritual71
Fig. 45. Spectrum profile of the <i>Apey</i> ritual72
Fig. 46. Transitivity profile of the <i>Apey</i> ritual73
Fig. 47. Spectrum profile of the <i>Patay</i> and <i>Tengaw</i> rituals74
Fig. 48. Transitivity profile of the <i>Patay</i> and <i>Tengaw</i> rituals75
Fig. 49. Paragraph spectrum profile of the CONCLUSION of the <i>Innana</i> text76
Fig. 50. Paragraph transitivity profile of the CONCLUSION of the <i>Innana</i> text76
Fig. 51. Spectrum profile of the <i>Innana</i> text77
Fig. 52. Grammatical marking of the <i>Lifon</i> versus the <i>Soyok</i> ritual78
Fig. 53. Relative dynamicity of the build-ups to the <i>Innana</i> procedural rituals79
Fig. 54. The number of steps in each of the <i>Innana</i> rituals79
Fig. 55. Peak dynamicity in the <i>Innana</i> procedural rituals80
Fig. 56. The number of sentences in peaks and rituals of the <i>Innana</i> text80
Fig. 57. Transitivity levels at the peaks of the procedural rituals of the <i>Innana</i> text81
Fig. 58. Participant reference in the <i>Innana</i> text85
Fig. 59. Personal reference items per sentence in the <i>Innana</i> text86
Fig. 60. Bontoc case-marking particles present in the <i>Innana</i> text86
Fig. 61. Anaphoric case-marking particles present in the <i>Innana</i> text87
Fig. 62. Demonstrative pronouns in the <i>Innana</i> text88
Fig. 63. The incidence of substitution and ellipsis in the <i>Innana</i> text94
Fig. 64. Types of lexical cohesion95
Fig. 65. Same word repetition per sentence in the <i>Innana</i> text95
Fig. 66. Frequency of equivalent repetition (synonyms and near-synonyms) per sentence of the <i>Innana</i> text96
Fig. 67. Lexical chains of the SETTING of the <i>Innana</i> text99
Fig. 68. Lexical chains of the <i>Lifon</i> ritual of the <i>Innana</i> text	100
Fig. 69. Lexical chains of the <i>Soyok</i> ritual of the <i>Innana</i> text	101
Fig. 70. Lexical chains of the <i>Mangmang</i> ritual of the <i>Innana</i> text	101
Fig. 71. Lexical chains of the <i>Apey</i> ritual of the <i>Innana</i> text	102
Fig. 72. Lexical chains of the <i>Patay</i> and <i>Tengaw</i> rituals of the <i>Innana</i> text	103
Fig. 73. Lexical chains of the CONCLUSION of the <i>Innana</i> text	103
Fig. 74. Same word lexical repetition in the procedural rituals	104
Fig. 75. Total lexical repetition, equivalent repetition, and collocation in the procedural rituals	104
Fig. 76. A scale of lexical cohesion	105
Fig. 77. Lexical cohesion in the explanatory paragraphs of the <i>Innana</i> text	105
Fig. 78. Some Central Bontoc additive conjunctions	106
Fig. 79. Some Central Bontoc adversative conjunctions	107
Fig. 80. Some Central Bontoc causal conjunctions	107
Fig. 81. Some Central Bontoc temporal conjunctions	109
Fig. 82. Structure of the <i>Innana</i> text	116
Fig. 83. <i>Lifon</i> backbone sentences	117
Fig. 84. <i>Soyok</i> backbone sentences	118
Fig. 85. The paragraph structure of the <i>Mangmang</i> conjoining paragraph	118
Fig. 86. <i>Apey</i> backbone sentences	120

Fig. 87. <i>Patay</i> and <i>Tengaw</i> backbone sentences	120
Fig. 88. Structure of an embedded procedural discourse in the <i>Innana</i> tex	121
Fig. 89. Temporal overlap in the <i>Innana</i>	129
Fig. 90. Temporal sequence in the <i>Innana</i> text	130
Fig. 91. The <i>Innana</i> time file	132
Fig. 92. Entry devices for the people file of <i>Lifon</i> ritual	134
Fig. 93. The <i>Lifon</i> ritual people file	136
Fig. 94. Entry devices for the pig file in the <i>Lifon</i> ritual	137
Fig. 95. The <i>Lifon</i> ritual pig file	139
Fig. 96. A comparison of the people and pig files of the <i>Lifon</i> ritual	140
Fig. 97. Formal indications of peak in the <i>Innana</i> text	151
Fig. 98. Focal content claims resolution in the <i>Innana</i> text	153
Fig. 99. The -phoric relations	199
Fig. 100. On the given/new distinction	200
Fig. 101. Central Bontoc pronouns	201
Fig. 102. A tentative display of Central Bontoc demonstratives	202

Abbreviations and Symbols

COL	collective
CONJ	conjunctive
CONT	continuative
FM	focus marker
LK	link
LOC	locative affix or particle
NFM	nonfocus marker
PART	particle
PL	plural
POSS	possessive
QF	quotation formula
.	compound gloss or compound vernacular phrase
,	semantic/grammatical components
-	glottal stop between consonant and vowel in the vernacular text

Acknowledgements

This thesis has been a team effort with many of my friends lending invaluable and timely aid:

Felix Khensay, whose excellent essay entitled *The Innana Rituals* is analysed in this thesis, and Helen Fomerwey, his niece, whose literary efforts stimulated him to write.

Robert Longacre, whose lectures provided much of the inspiration for the material in Chapters 2 and 3, and whose suggestion it was that I concentrate on the Innana text for my thesis.

Jim Martin and Joan Rothery, whose lectures introduced me to Systemic Linguistics and Halliday and Hasan.

Austin Hale, who gave a great deal of guidance before the writing, during the writing, and after the writing of the first draft of the thesis. Especially helpful were his critical comments on the second draft.

Charles Peck for his suggestions on layout.

My mentor, Andrew Gonzalez, who in a letter to his staff at De La Salle University suggested that they 'bend over backwards' to help someone like me who wanted to study, and who has followed that principle himself throughout my whole M.A. programme.

Fe Otones, chairperson of my thesis committee, and her committee members, Judith Bunyi (an excellent proof reader) and Aum Sinha.

Beth Paynita, the Linguistics Department secretary at De La Salle, for all her running about on my behalf.

Nadine Lyman, Elsie Ehara and especially Miriam Bayo who agonized over typing the first two drafts. And Charlita Drilo and Darrel Eppler who corrected and printed subsequent revisions.

And to my wife, Kathie, who rejoices when I rejoice, suffers when I suffer, and through it all tries to keep me on the straight and narrow as we serve our Lord together.