ISO 639-3 Registration Authority Request for Change to ISO 639-3 Language Code

Change Request Number: 2008-083 (completed by Registration authority)

Date: 2008-9-1

Primary Person submitting request: Joseph

Affiliation: None

E-mail address: jose.lee77@gmail.com

Names, affiliations and email addresses of additional supporters of this request:

- *Sarnjaava (http://incubator.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:Sarnjaava)
- *Kaihsu Tai, Administrator on Minnan Wikipedia (http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:Kaihsu)
- *User:Ffaarr, Administrator on Chinese Wikipedia (http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:Ffaarr)
- *User:WikiCantona, Administrator on Cantonese Wikipedia

(http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:WikiCantona)

- *GnuDoyng, Administrator on Mindong Wikipedia (http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:GnuDoyng)
- *Gaginang Organisation (http://www.gaginang.org/content/index.php?p=21) Last year I had notified Gaginang of the Teochew Test-Wikipedia and they had expressed their support.
- *Hái-kîⁿ Mâng-gúr Lŭng-tuâⁿ (http://www.gophor.com/hokkien/index.php), an online community group which also has Teochew members

See also:

http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Requests_for_new_languages/Wikipedia_Teochew#Arguments_in_f

Postal address for primary contact person for this request (in general, email correspondence will be used):

PLEASE NOTE: This completed form will become part of the <u>public record</u> of this change request and the history of the ISO 639-3 code set and will be posted on the ISO 639-3 website.

Types of change requests

This form is to be used in requesting changes (whether creation, modification, or deletion) to elements of the ISO 639 Codes for the representation of names of languages — Part 3: Alpha-3 code for comprehensive coverage of languages. The types of changes that are possible are to 1) modify the reference information for an existing code element, 2) propose a new macrolanguage or modify a macrolanguage group; 3) retire a code element from use, including merging its scope of denotation into that of another code element, 4) split an existing code element into two or more new language code elements, or 5) create a new code element for a previously unidentified language variety. Fill out section 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 below as appropriate, and the final section documenting the sources of your information. The process by which a change is received, reviewed and adopted is summarized on the final page of this form.

Type of change proposed (check one):

1.		Modify reference information for an existing language code element
2.	\boxtimes	Propose a new macrolanguage or modify a macrolanguage group
3.		Retire a language code element from use (duplicate or non-existent)
4.		Expand the denotation of a code element through the merging one or more language
		code elements into it (retiring the latter group of code elements)

5.		Split a lang	age code element into to	wo or more new	code elements			
6.		Create a coo	le element for a previous	ly unidentified la	anguage			
For p	coposing a cha	ange to an ex	isting code element, plea	se identify:				
Affec	ted ISO 639-3	dentifier:	nan					
Assoc	iated referenc	e name:	Min Nan Chinese					
1. M	odify an e	xisting la	nguage code elen	nent				
	(a) What a	Langua if usage Langua Langua		erred form, the noistorical, etc.)	ged only if it is erroneous; ew form may be added (n nguage)			
	(b) What new value(s) do you propose:							
	(c) Rationale for change:							
2. Propose a new macrolanguage or modify a macrolanguage group								
(a	(a) For an existing Macrolanguage, what change to its individual language membership do you propose: The Minnan language ISO Code "nan" should be retired and the two languages Xiamen (Amoy) language ("xim") and the Chaozhou (Teochew) language ("dzu") should be added to the existing [zh/zho] Chinese macrolanguage.							
(b	Rationale for change: The differences between the Xiamen dialect and the Chaozhou dialect is substantial enough.							
E R	lement in ISO	639-3" (file f"), which m	name "ISO639-3_NewC	odeRequest.doc'	tequest for New Language or "ISO639-3_NewCode ne intended meaning for the	e		
3. R	etire a lan	guage co	de element from ι	ıse				
(a	Reason for	There is	s no evidence that the lan equivalent to another ISC					
(b	(b) If equivalent with another code element, with which ISO 639-3 code element (identifier and name) is it equivalent:							
(c	e) Rationale fo	or change:						

4. Expand the denotation of a code element through merging of one or more code elements

- (a) List the languages (identifier and name) to be merged into this code element and retired from use:
- (b) Rationale for change

5. Split a language code element into two or more code elements

(a) List the languages into which this code element should be split:
The Minnan (Southern Min) language code 'nan', should be retired and split into these two branches: The Amoy (a.k.a. Xiamen) language and the Teochew (a.k.a. Chaozhou) language. The language codes could then be 'xim' and 'dzu' for both of them respectively.

By the language identification criteria set forth in ISO 639-3, the simple fact of distinct identities is not enough to assign separate identifiers. The criteria are defined in the standard as follows:

For this part of ISO 639, judgments regarding when two varieties are considered to be the same or different languages are based on a number of factors, including linguistic similarity, intelligibility, a common literature (traditional or written), a common writing system, the views of users concerning the relationship between language and identity, and other factors. The following basic criteria are followed:

- Two related varieties are normally considered varieties of the same language if users of each variety have inherent understanding of the other variety (that is, can understand based on knowledge of their own variety without needing to learn the other variety) at a functional level.
- Where intelligibility between varieties is marginal, the existence of a common literature or of a common ethnolinguistic identity with a central variety that both understand can be strong indicators that they should nevertheless be considered varieties of the same language.
- Where there is enough intelligibility between varieties to enable communication, the existence of well-established distinct ethnolinguistic identities can be a strong indicator that they should nevertheless be considered to be different languages
- (b) Referring to the criteria given above, give the rationale for splitting the existing code element into two or more languages:

Min-nan is quite a large group in which the affiliated languages are, to a great extent, mutually unintelligible. Amoy (which is spoken in Taiwan, Xiamen, Quanzhou, Zhangzhou, etc) and Teochew (which is spoken in Chaozhou, Shantou, Jieyang, etc) are two famous ones.

The Minnan (Southern Min) language can be split into three main disctinct branches: Xiamen language, Chaozhou language and the Hainanese language. During the 19th century, the early Christian Protestant missionaries had translated the Bible into those three dialects of Minnan. (See this list:

http://chinese.fudan.edu.cn/phoneticslab/theses/shj.htm). They never published a 'Minnan' Bible.

The Chaozhou speaking populations today had split off from other Minnan regional groups earlier than Tang Dynasty period. The mutual unintelligibility among Fujian Minnan groups, such as Xiamen, Chaozhou and Hainan is big.

(c) Does the language code element to be split represent a major language in which there already exists a significant body of literature and research? Are there contexts in which all the proposed separate languages may still be considered the same language—as in having a common linguistic identity, a shared (or undistinguished) body of literature, a written form in common, etc.? If so, please comment.

No. Minnan actually only means "Southern Min" in Chinese. Min-nan is substantially different from its neighboring languages of Min-bei (Northern Min), Min-dong (Eastern Min) and Minzhong (Central Min). The mountainous province of Fujian houses these three archaic branches of the Sinitic family plus the later arrival of Hakka, which belongs to the same cluster, supposedly, with Mandarin, Cantonese and Gan.

Historical records show the origins of modern day Chaozhou and Hainan dialect speaking populations settling their current regions as early as mid-Tang Dynasty, as colonists from Minnan areas. These populations certainly split off from other Minnan regional groups earlier than Tang Dynasty. The mutual unintelligibility among Fujian Minnan groups, such as Xiamen, Chaozhou and Hainan is big. A Song period split will not produce such big difference. As we know, the Song period split only produced the difference between Jianghuai-Sichuan Mandarin and the Northeastern Mandarin groups, with Northwestern Manrin probably more archaic.

Besides, Hainanese and Chaozhou have been heavily influenced by indigenous groups such as the She (a Miao-Yao group local to Chaozhou) and Hlai (a Kadai group in Hainan) as showing in their phonetics. While outside of Fujian, Hainanese and Chaozhou received literary influences from Mandarin or Cantonese, as Guangzhou was the administrative center that also commanded these two far-reaching regions, thus developing literary pronunciations quite different from those of Fujian Minnan groups, which independently receive Mandarin literary influences through Fujian provincial centers.

Despite this, the earliest split within the Minnan family occurred between the two major Fujian Minnan dialects: Zhangzhou and Quanzhou. These two dialects have been adjacent to each other and mutually influencing each other despite this early split much before Tang Dynasty. As a result Zhangzhou and Quanzhou have greater mutual intelligibility despite great phonetic variations. However, for a language standard to be considered a "standard", length of natural separation should not be the foremost criterion. It can be said that modern Zhangzhou and Quanzhou share the same standard: Xiamen-Taiwan. Such is not the case with Chaozhou and Hainanese.

In order to complete the change request, the form "Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3" (file name "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequestForm.doc" or "ISO639-

3_NewCodeRequestForm.rtf") must also be submitted for each new identifier that is to be created. That step can be deferred until this form has been processed by the ISO 639-3 registrar.

6. Create a new language code element

(a) Name of missing language:

(b) State the case that this language is not the same as or has not been included within any language that already has an identifier in ISO 639-3:

In order to complete the change request, the form "Request for New Language Code Element in ISO 639-3" (file name "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequest.doc" or "ISO639-3_NewCodeRequestForm.rtf") must also be submitted to more fully document the new language.

Sources of information

Please use whichever of the points below are relevant in order to document the sources on which you have based the above proposal.

- (a) First-hand knowledge. Describe:
 Please see the Request for New Language Code Form
- (b) Knowledge through personal communication. Describe: http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Requests_for_new_languages/Wikipedia_Teochew#Teoche w Wikipedia
- (c) Knowledge from published sources (please give complete bibliographical references): http://www.lksf.org/eng/project/charity/chichow/main01.shtml http://blog.sina.com.cn/s/blog_4c5c7a35010007a4.html http://www.chaoqing.net/english/info/aboutus.asp

The change proposal process

A request to change the code set goes through a six-step process:

- 1. A user of ISO 639-3 proposes a change and submits it to the ISO 639-3 Registration Authority (ISO 639-3/RA) using this form.
- 2. The ISO 639-3 registrar processes the change request to verify that the request is compatible with the criteria set forth in the standard and to ensure that the submitter has supplied all necessary information. This may involve rounds of interaction with the submitter.
- 3. When the change request proposal is complete in its documentation (including all associated New Code Requests), the change request is promoted to "Proposed Change" status and the ISO 639-3 registrar posts the request on the official web site of the ISO 639-3/RA. Also at this time, an announcement is sent to anyone requesting notification of new proposals matching their specified criteria (region and/or language family of interest). Periodically, a message maybe sent to the general LINGUIST discussion list on Linguist List (http://linguistlist.org/issues/index.html), and other appropriate discussion lists, inviting individuals to review and comment on pending proposals. Anyone may request from the ISO 639-3 registrar to receive notification regarding proposals involving languages in a specific region of the world or specific language family.
- 4. Individuals may send comments to the ISO 639-3 registrar for compilation. The consensus of early reviews may result in promotion to "Candidate Status" (with or without amendment), or withdrawal of the change request, if the conclusion is that the request is not in keeping with the stated criteria of the ISO 639-3 standard.

- 5. Three months prior to the end of the annual cycle of review and update, a new notice is posted on the official web site of the ISO 639-3/RA, and an announcement listing the Candidate Status Change Requests is posted to the LINGUIST discussion list and other discussion lists, as requested by their owners. All change requests are then open to further review and comment by any interested party for a period of three months. A Change Request received after the start of Candidacy phase must wait until the next annual cycle for consideration. The purpose of this phase is to ensure that a minimum of three months is allotted for the review of every proposal.
- 6. At the end of the formal review period, a given Change Request may be: 1) adopted as a whole; 2) adopted in part (specific changes implicit in the whole Change Request may be adopted separately); 3) rejected as a whole; or 4) amended and resubmitted for the next review cycle. All change requests remain permanently archived at the official web site of the ISO 639-3/RA.

Please return this form to:

ISO 639-3 Registrar SIL International, Office of Language Information Systems 7500 West Camp Wisdom Road Dallas, Texas 75236 USA ISO 639-3/RA web site: http://www.sil.org/iso639-3/

E-mail: iso639-3@sil.org

An email attachment of this completed form is preferred.

Sources of documentation for ISO 639-3 identifiers:

Gordon, Raymond G., Jr. (ed.), 2005. Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Fifteenth edition. Dallas, Tex.: SIL International. Online version: http://www.ethnologue.com/.

Linguist List. Ancient and Extinct Languages. http://linguistlist.org/forms/langs/GetListOfAncientLgs.html Linguist List. Constructed Languages. http://linguistlist.org/forms/langs/GetListOfConstructedLgs.html