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Abstract
Translating the word YHWH, the name of God in the Hebrew Bible, is a complex issue involving theological, linguistic, and cultural issues. This article considers six possible broad translation options for this name, and summarises case studies of thirty-one Papua New Guinean Old Testament translation projects, exploring and classifying the choices they have made and the issues that guided those choices. It ends by offering some of the advantages and disadvantages of the different possible translation options, as an aid to other translators working on Old Testament translation.
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1. Introduction
The letters Y-H-W-H are the equivalents in the roman alphabet of the Hebrew consonants in the name of the God of Israel, used frequently in the Old Testament. Vowels are not written here because the Hebrew texts do not record the original vowels that were used. Many articles have already considered the translation of YHWH into different languages around the world, exploring theological and linguistic issues (e.g., Ellingworth 1990; Slager 1992; de Blois 1992; Barnwell 1997; Daams 2005; Ekem 2005; Mundhenk 2010). This paper summarises some of these and focuses specifically on translation issues in Papua New Guinea, to help Old Testament translators. It is based on case studies of over thirty Papua New Guinean languages, presenting the options being considered for translating this name and the issues that the translators have encountered.

2. Words for God in the Old Testament
In the Hebrew Old Testament, several different words are used to talk to, or talk about, God. The most significant words are:

- 'elohim (usually translated “god/s” or “God” in English)
- the name represented by the consonants YHWH (usually translated “LORD,” with all capitals, in English, and Bikpela in Tok Pisin).

The first word, 'elohim, is used in the Bible for divine beings in different cultures, including Israel, but also other countries. For example, in 2 Kgs 1, Elijah challenges King Ahaziah for going to seek help from Baal Zebub, the 'elohim of Ekron, asking whether this is because there is no 'elohim in Israel.

The name YHWH is only used for the 'elohim of Israel. Thus, when Solomon dedicates the temple in 1 Chr 6.14, he addresses God by saying, “YHWH, 'elohim of Israel, there is no 'elohim like you in the heavens or on the earth.”

Another good example of the use of both words is the account in 1 Kgs 18 of Elijah confronting the prophets of Baal. In this narrative, Elijah sets up a contest to see who is really 'elohim, and specifically, whether it is YHWH or Ba’al. Elijah says that the one who responds to prayer and sends fire on the sacrifices is the true 'elohim. Despite all the activities of the many prophets of Ba’al as they call out his name to answer them, it is only when Elijah calls out in the name of YHWH that fire falls onto the offering. All the people of Israel respond by falling on their faces and crying out that YHWH is indeed the 'elohim.

Further, in Exod 3.15, when Moses asks specifically for the name of the 'elohim who is telling him to go and bring the Israelites out of Egypt, he receives the reply, “Say to the Israelites, ‘YHWH, the ‘elohim of your fathers—the ‘elohim of Abraham, the ‘elohim of Isaac and the ‘elohim of Jacob—has sent me to you.’ This is my name forever, the name by which I am to be remembered from generation to generation.” This verse declares...
YHWH to be the name of the ‘elohim of Israel, and stresses the importance of remembering this name from generation to generation.

One problem when translating the name YHWH into other languages is that we do not know how it was pronounced. At some point in their history, after the narratives were written down, the Jewish people stopped reading this name aloud when they read the Bible, under the influence of Exod 20.7, where the people of Israel are told not to use the name of YHWH, their ‘elohim, “emptily” (or perhaps “thoughtlessly” or “insincerely”). Instead, they read alternative words such as ha shem (meaning “the name”) or ‘adonay (a term of relationship meaning something like “master” in English.).

They developed ways to make it difficult to utter the name YHWH accidentally when reading the Bible. For example, in some of the Hebrew Bible portions at Qumran, scribes used the ancient Hebrew script to write the letters YHWH rather than the Aramaic script they used for all the other words. Even for those who cannot read Hebrew, the different script makes it easy to recognise where the name occurs in this sentence from the Psalms scroll found in Cave 11 at Qumran (Martínez and Tigchelaar 1997, 1174–75): “יהוה יתכן עיניו ימר clsx המלך על מלכי העולם.”

Later, when Jewish scribes added vowels to the consonantal text of the Hebrew Bible, they applied the vowels of the word ‘adonay to the consonants YHWH, to prompt readers to say that word rather than the name itself. English translations have generally followed the lead of the earliest translators of the Hebrew Bible into Greek. Before the time of Jesus, these scholars translated the name YHWH using the Greek word kurios (“lord” or “master”), the same word they used to translate ‘adonay. Most English translations write “LORD” where the Hebrew original says YHWH in the Old Testament (sometimes with a few exceptions, as in the KJV, which has “Jehovah” seven times). Note that these English translations use capital letters for the whole word, to show that the word actually represents the name YHWH and not ‘adonay, “Lord.” In Tok Pisin, early attempts to use the name Yawe were abandoned because “it was felt that most readers did not connect this strange name with God” (Mundhenk, 1985), so Bikpela was used instead. This is the same word that is used to translate ‘adonay, and means “big, important” in normal speech, but is used as a title meaning “important person” in the Bible. The Tok Pisin Buk Baibel does not give any clues (such as using all capitals) to distinguish the places where Bikpela represents YHWH from those where it represents ‘adonay.

When the Apostle Paul and others wrote the documents which became the New Testament, they continued the same practice as that of the early Greek translators. When they quoted from the Old Testament, whether they were using existing Greek translations or producing their own translation, they never transliterated the name YHWH, but rather used the word kurios. The situation is complicated by the fact that they used the same word, kurios, to refer to Jesus, both as a common term of respect (as in Matt 8.2 when a leper addresses Jesus to ask for healing) and when it carries more theological weight, potentially identifying Jesus with YHWH, the God of Israel (as in Rom 10.9, “if you confess with your mouth ‘Jesus is kurios’”).

These differences between the written and spoken forms of the Hebrew word, and the terms used in the Greek translation of the Old Testament and in the New Testament, together with specific linguistic and cultural issues in the language communities for whom the translation is being made, make the translation of the name YHWH a complicated issue.

3. Translating Words for God in Papua New Guinea: Case Studies

This section presents examples from thirty-one Papua New Guinean languages to show how Old Testament translation projects are translating the words YHWH and ‘elohim. There are over 800 languages in Papua New Guinea, many of which have less than 10,000 speakers. These thirty-one languages are a very small selection, but represent a mixture of large and small language groups and a range of provinces from across the country.

In Papua New Guinea, there are several challenges when translating these words. Finding a word for ‘elohim can be difficult because many Papua New Guinean cultures acknowledge a variety of spiritual beings, but no particular spiritual being that is supreme over all of them. Often churches use loan words such as Anut, Gote or Deo. Mundhenk (2004) gives the origins of these (and several other) Papua New Guinean words for ‘elohim.

Finding an appropriate way to represent YHWH is also a challenge, particularly because the name does not appear in the English and Tok Pisin Bibles that are in popular use, and the Tok Pisin Buk Baibel does not even

---

1 The Evyoni Palaeo-Hebrew font in the quote is used with permission (© Shemayah Shiloh-Phillips).
give any clues that a special word is in use in the original Hebrew. Six options include:

1) transliterating the name with a word like Yaweh or Iaue;
2) using the name of a locally known supreme being;
3) using a name based on the presumed meaning of YHWH, something like I am, or me, just me;
4) using a word something like “Lord” or Bikpela (as in the Tok Pisin Bible) without special capitalisation;
5) following the English examples to put a capitalised translation of Lord; or
6) using another word or expression to show that a special name is being referred to, even though it is not explicit in the text.

Five of these are the options that were suggested by the UBS “Names of God” Study Group (1992), while the sixth has come from the Amam translation team, as will be seen below.

Each of these options has some advantages and some disadvantages. This section classifies the Old Testament translation projects in the survey according to each of these options for translating YHWH, and summarises the chosen approaches for translating YHWH and ‘elohim. There is some overlap between the different groupings since some translators are testing out a few different options. Options that are in early stages of testing are marked with an asterisk (*). Section 4 will investigate the issues raised by these different options, and section 5 will consider the advantages and disadvantages of each choice.

3.1 Transliterating the name YHWH

The first group of languages have chosen to translate YHWH with a transliteration of the original Hebrew name, using words like Iaue, Yawe or Yoba. For these languages, the translation of ‘adonay “Lord” is also given for comparison, where the information was available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of adonay</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gwahatike [dah]</td>
<td>Yawe Al Kuray (lit. “Yawe big man”) (see also §3.3)</td>
<td>Al Kuray (lit. “big man”)</td>
<td>kuray al (“creator”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Madang]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tikula al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbongu [im]</td>
<td>Yawe</td>
<td>(Not available)</td>
<td>Gote Pulu iye (lit. “root man”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Southern Highlands province, 42,500 speakers, NT 1997)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ola iye (lit. “above man”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Kewa [kew]</td>
<td>Adaa Yaweh (see also §3.2 and §3.4)</td>
<td>Adaa (lit. “big one”)</td>
<td>Gote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Southern Highlands province, 45,000 speakers, NT 1973, rev. 2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovai [kqb]</td>
<td>Yoba</td>
<td>Ai Komut (lit. “big man,” when directly addressing the Lord)</td>
<td>Maro (variant of Molo, a traditional cultural hero)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Morobe Province, 5,000 speakers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nyela Komut (lit. “big fellow,” when talking about him)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ap Ma [kbx]</td>
<td>Yawe</td>
<td>Nabo (important man)</td>
<td>Yamom (traditional creator god)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(East Sepik Province, 7,000 speakers, NT 1999)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mussau-Emira [emi]</td>
<td>*Iaue (see also §3.4)</td>
<td>Vau / Vau Ngepona (lit. “chief” / “chief above”)</td>
<td>God Goto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(New Ireland Province, 5,000 speakers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waskia [wsk]</td>
<td>Jave</td>
<td>Kari Biya (lit. “big man”)</td>
<td>Kaem (general word for revered spirit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Madang province, 20,000 speakers, NT 1985)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Several of these options were presented at a discussion on 12th August 2010 as part of the Introduction to Biblical Hebrew course for Papua New Guinean translators held at Ukarumpa, Eastern Highlands Province. Further examples came from other Papua New Guinean translators and expatriate advisors, collected between 2010 and 2013. More complete details of the choices and issues can be found in King 2013.

3 Population and Bible portion information is from www.ethnologue.com, unless the survey respondents gave more accurate information.

4 The <b> letter represents a bilabial fricative, so this sounds similar to ‘Yova.’
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adzera [adz]</td>
<td>Yawe (with a footnote in Gen 2.4 explaining this is the Hebrew name for God and giving the meaning as “he lives forever”)</td>
<td>Nifutsarif (lit. “honoured / exalted [one!]”) Anatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mialiandomu [mzz]</td>
<td>Iave</td>
<td>Tomwaya (lit. “big man”) Yaubada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onabasulu [onn]</td>
<td>Yawe Gode (see also §3.4)</td>
<td>Sanimiilo (fight / clan leader) Gode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamu [bcf]</td>
<td>Iave</td>
<td>Auna dubu (lit. “big man”) Godi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misima [mpx]</td>
<td>Yehoba</td>
<td>Babala (a big man / leader) Yahowaine (traditional god who lives in the sky and helps with journeys or fights) Yehoba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waboda [kmx]</td>
<td>Iave</td>
<td>Probably Oha Dumereke (lit. “high person”), but no relevant texts have been translated yet. God</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Using the name of a local supreme being

The second group of languages are those that have tried using the name of a local supreme being to translate the Hebrew YHWH. This is the least common solution, and most are being tried alongside other options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Kewa [kew]</td>
<td><em>Adaa Yaki</em> (Yaki is a traditional supreme God) (see also §3.1 and §3.4)</td>
<td>Gote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somsi [bmu]</td>
<td><em>Bemkongkop</em> (a traditional “god of gods”)</td>
<td><em>Kemhu Anatu</em> (“creator god”)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Using a name based on the meaning of Yahweh

A few translation projects have chosen to try to create a vernacular name to translate the word YHWH, based on the idea that YHWH is the “God who is,” a possible meaning for the Hebrew name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gapapaivwa [pvg]</td>
<td>Miimiitawa (lit. “the eternal, unknown one”)</td>
<td>God</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossel [yle]</td>
<td>Chóó Lémi (lit. “Himself Big Man” or “the one who is of himself an important man”)</td>
<td>Yâpwo (“Sacred [One]”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwahatike [dah]</td>
<td><em>Neponepo</em> (lit. “me, just me”) (see also §3.1)</td>
<td><em>barag al</em> (“creator”) tikula al (“creator”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquailiha [agm]</td>
<td><em>Susoataipilo</em> (lit. “the self-existing one”) (see also §3.4) Autaahaatih (lit. “god above”)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 Using a word like Bikpela without special capitalisation

Several languages translate YHWH with a word equivalent to “Lord” or Bikpela, without any capitalisation except for the first letter. This is the policy used by the Tok Pisin Bible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaritika [agm]</td>
<td>Autaahaatih Awaistho (lit. “the big one”) (see also §3.3)</td>
<td>Autaahaatih (lit. “god above”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enga [enq]</td>
<td>Kamongo (lit. “rich man”) Akali Andake (lit. “big man”)</td>
<td>Anasu (not used much any more) Gote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nek [nif]</td>
<td>Molom (lit. “lord/owner”)</td>
<td>Kanum Yambat (lit. “Heaven[ly] God,” with the word kanum added to help people realise this is not their traditional gods, referred to as Yambat)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Kewa [kew]</td>
<td>Adaa Mada (lit. “big man who goes first”)</td>
<td>Gote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Translation of YHWH</td>
<td>Translation of elohim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bola [bnp] (West New Britain province, 13,700 speakers, Bible 2011)</td>
<td>BAKOVI DAGI (lit. “BIG MAN”)</td>
<td>VARI (“super being”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinaugoro [snc] (Central province, 18,000 speakers, NT 1995)</td>
<td>VEREGAUKA (lit. “BIG ONE”)</td>
<td>BARAU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guhu-Samane [ghs] (Morobe province, 12,800 speakers, NT 1975, revised 1985)</td>
<td>QOBEROBA (a term of address for a respected person, but also conveys the idea of “forever”)</td>
<td>OOHONGA (someone who is permanently sitting on a chair. The word for “king” is derived from the same word.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamano Kafe [kbg] (Eastern Highlands province, 83,600 speakers, NT 1982)</td>
<td>RA ANUMAZA (lit. “BIG STRONG”)</td>
<td>ANUMAZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedua [ded] (Morobe Province, 5,620 speakers, NT 2006)</td>
<td>KEBU (lit. “LORD”)</td>
<td>ANUTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nukna [klt] (Morobe province, 850 speakers)</td>
<td>T̈IẄ (lit. “BIG ONE”)</td>
<td>ĀNUTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedua [ded] (Morobe Province, 5,620 speakers, NT 2006)</td>
<td>KEBU (lit. “LORD”)</td>
<td>ANUTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nukna [klt] (Morobe province, 850 speakers)</td>
<td>T̈IẄ (lit. “BIG ONE”)</td>
<td>ĀNUTU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Using a capitalised translation of Lord

This option is very similar to the previous one, but uses a different type of writing (all capital letters or small caps) to make it clear when the original says YHWH, rather than just a word like “Lord.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of adonay</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3.6. Using a word or phrase to show that a special name is being referred to

In most cultures in Papua New Guinea, there are several situations in which a person’s actual name would not be used, and another word would be used instead to talk to, or talk about, that person. For example, in most cultures a man’s wife’s parents and close relatives must not be named, using instead a word like tambu (Tok Pisin for “taboo”), for fear that if their names are uttered the man would be under a curse. Often the names of elders should not be used either, but other words are found to talk to them, out of respect. These kinds of expressions could be used to translate the name YHWH to show that there is an actual name present in the original, but it is not being uttered, out of respect. One of the languages in this survey is using a method like this, using a term that is usually used to refer to the special person who can enter a sacred building in the community, whose name could not be uttered.

3.5 Using a capitalised translation of Lord

This option is very similar to the previous one, but uses a different type of writing (all capital letters or small caps) to make it clear when the original says YHWH, rather than just a word like “Lord.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Translation of YHWH</th>
<th>Translation of adonay</th>
<th>Translation of elohim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5 Nico van Bodegraven, 2013, personal communication.
6 The Amam speakers consider Amam to be a separate language from Weri [wer], although this is currently the closest language listed in the ethnologue. The information given comes from the ethnologue entry for Weri.
4. Translating Words for God in Papua New Guinea: Issues

The case studies revealed several important issues that need consideration when translating YHWH into Papua New Guinean languages. Eight of these issues are described below.

4.1. The Uniqueness of God’s name

The name transcribed in English with the letters YHWH is the unique name of the God of Israel which he gave to them to speak of and to him. Exodus 3.15 states that this is his name forever, the name by which he should be remembered from generation to generation. This provokes two issues for Bible translation.

First, the reader should be aware when God’s unique name is being used. By contrast, in the Buk Baibel, even the existence of this unique name of God is lost through its consistent use of Bikpela. The only way a Tok Pisin speaker can find out when God’s unique name is used is by looking at an English translation of the same passage to see whether the word LORD is written all in capital letters. It is better to make a translation which allows the reader to know when God’s unique name is being used.

Second, the fact that the Hebrew Scriptures declare that YHWH is the unique name for God is a reason not to use the name of a traditionally recognised deity as a substitute for the name YHWH. Names are very important in the Hebrew Scriptures, and nowhere do they suggest that humans are free to change the name of the true God. Using the name of a local deity for Israel’s God risks losing connection to this historical context of the Bible’s message.

4.2. Respect for the Ten Commandments

The Hebrew text of Exod 20.7 commands the Israelites not to use the name of YHWH “to” or “for” shav. The word shav means something like “emptiness” or “falsehood” (Hamilton 1980, 908), so the commandment is prohibiting the Israelites from using the name in a way that is false, misleading, thoughtless, or pointless. The original context is likely that of making oaths or swearing in the name of a god, a way of strengthening what one is saying (Stuart 2006, 455). For example, when Elijah tells King Ahab that it will not rain for several years except at his command (1 Kgs 17.1), he starts his statement by calling out the name of YHWH. Here, Elijah uses God’s special name in an appropriate way to show the truth of what he is saying. He is not using the name emptily, as would be the case if someone made a promise using YHWH’s name and then did not do what they said. However, the commandment is general enough to cover many more situations, affirming that YHWH is beyond human control, and so any manipulation, disrespect, or light treatment of his name is a serious offense, deserving of certain punishment (Durham 1987: 288). As has already been mentioned, the Jewish respect for this commandment has led them to go further and avoid ever pronouncing this name.

There are different views on whether this commandment means Christians should be careful about pronouncing the name YHWH today. These range from Ellingworth’s (1990, 350) claim that such concern is “totally irrelevant to a non-Hebrew speaking non-Jew” to the Catholic Church’s call never to utter this unpronounceable name which expresses the “infinite greatness and majesty of God.” or use it in translation, remaining faithful to the practice of the church since the first disciples (Congregation for Divine Worship and Discipline of the Sacraments 2008). At the very least, showing respect for this command suggests people today take some care about when and how the name YHWH is used, and this has implications for translation work.

Several of the people involved in the case studies felt that this commandment did have relevance for their present-day communities and the way they might use a transliteration of the name YHWH. This may be even more of an issue in Papua New Guinean communities (where even human names are considered significant and not uttered lightly) than in contemporary English-speaking cultures. The Aŋaat team were concerned by the way people were now praying in church and unthinkingly using the name Yahweh, and for that reason they are hesitant to use a transliteration of the name in their translation. If translations do choose to use a direct transliteration of God’s name, the church may want to provide teaching on the Ten Commandments and on showing respect for this name, as is happening in the Imbongu community.

4.3. Respect for Different Denominations

Often, it is desired that a translated Bible will be used by people in several different churches and denominations. If this is the case, the official attitude of these organisations to the translation of the divine name needs to be respected. For example, in 2008, the Catholic Church’s Congregation for Divine Worship and the Discipline of the Sacraments specifically enforced the traditional policy that “for the translation of the Biblical text in modern languages, destined for the liturgical usage of the
church . . . the divine tetragrammaton [YHWH] is to be rendered by the equivalent of Adonay/Kyrios: ‘Lord.’”

This means that if a translation team chooses instead to use the transliterated name of God (Yaweh, Iaue, Yawe, or similar), any Catholic churches that are aware of this ruling would not be able to use it without breaking with their leadership. The Bible in Solomon's Pijin, dedicated in 2009, is an example of a Bible that used a transliterated version of the divine name, but has been prohibited from being used in the Catholic churches there.

4.4. Maintaining Links between the Old and New Testaments

When the New Testament authors identified Jesus as kurios, they were using the word which was used to translate the name YHWH in the Greek version of the Old Testament with which they were familiar. By doing this they were perhaps emphasising that Jesus was the incarnation of YHWH, the God of the Israelites, returning to his people. For example, in Rom 10.9, Paul says that “if you confess with your mouth, ‘Jesus is Lord,’ and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you will be saved.” This, and other passages that use the word kurios with Jesus (such as Phil 2.9-11), suggest that “there was an understanding among the early Christians that Jesus could appropriately be identified with YHWH, and that it was in fact the will of God the Father that Jesus should be known by that name” (Mundhenk 2010, 61–62). English translations that use LORD for YHWH and Lord for kurios enable readers to experience this link between Jesus and the God of the Old Testament, which is otherwise obscured (more details for this argument are given in Mundhenk 2010).

The use of Bikpela in the Buk Baibel also preserves this link between the Testaments. Whatever translation is used for YHWH in the Old Testament, it is important to think whether it can also be used to translate kurios in the New Testament when it is used to describe Jesus in this way.

If a transliteration (like Yawe or Iaue) is used in the Old Testament, translators will need to decide if they will use it at any point in the New Testament too. In particular, when an Old Testament verse referring to YHWH is quoted in the New Testament, the Greek writers used kurios, following what was written in their (Greek) version of the Old Testament. So, for example, Mark 1.3 quotes Isa 40.3, saying “Prepare the way for the Lord (kurios),” even though Isaiah originally said “Prepare the way for YHWH”. If Papua New Guinean translators who have used a transliteration (like Iaue) in the Old Testament decide to use a translation of something like “Lord” in the New Testament quotes, these quotes will be even more different from the Old Testament passages they are quoting than in other Bible translations that use “Lord” or “Lord” in both situations. It could be helpful to at least include a footnote, or paragraph in the Introduction, in this situation.

4.5. Relation to Existing Translations

Most translations in Papua New Guinea will be used in a context where people have access to other versions of the Bible, whether the Buk Baibel in Tok Pisin, or any of the several English versions. Often churchgoers will compare the vernacular translation with these existing translations, and may choose not to use the vernacular translation if it does not compare closely enough with the other versions they know. If a vernacular translation of the divine name is chosen which does not follow the choices in Tok Pisin or English, the translation team will need to explain well the reasons to the rest of the community in order for them to feel willing to use it. This explanation may be part of the introduction to the translated Bible, but face-to-face explanation and discussion will also be needed before any final publication.

4.6. Different Possible Cues to Special Words in the Text

There are a number of different ways to let readers know they are reading a special word. Using an initial capital letter is an obvious option used for proper names and other special words in the Bible. Most English Bibles go further to show that something special is happening when they translate the word YHWH by writing the word “Lord” in all capital letters. This is an option in Papua New Guinean languages too. The Guhu-Samane translation team have taken a further step by using bold face as well as capital letters. The team have decided that this is an even better cue that there is a special name here than using a transliteration of the name itself, which readers might not realise is actually a name that deserves respect.

Introductions, footnotes, and glossaries offer further options. If the translators choose to use a word meaning something like “Lord,” it would be possible to add a section in the introduction, a glossary entry at the back of the Bible, or footnotes to individual verses to explain that in the original this is really the special name for God, YHWH. Several teams that are using a name like Yawe are also using footnotes and glossary entries to
explain that this is God’s unique name (for example, in Adzera, Waskia and Ubir).

However, it is important to remember that all these different possible cues rely on people being able to read the text and see the cues on the page. If the translated Scriptures will mainly be communicated orally, none of these textual cues will be there. This could potentially be overcome by teaching in the church, if a transliteration of YHWH is not used. Alternatively, where the community wants to maintain the Jewish practice of not uttering God’s name, the use of another vernacular phrase that signals a “taboo” name is being referred to could give a cue that would be recognisable in written or oral communication, as in the Amam language.

4.7. Compound names that include YHWH

There are several verses in the Bible where the name YHWH is used alongside other words to form a compound name when referring to God. These need to be considered when choosing what will be used to translate YHWH. These include phrases like YHWH “our” elohim, which can be difficult if YHWH is translated by something that is not a name.

Even more significantly, the compound name adonay YHWH needs to be considered. If a translation chooses to translate YHWH by using a translation of adonay “Lord,” this last phrase could end up becoming something like “Lord LORD.” Most English translations of this compound name choose either to use “the Sovereign LORD” (as in NIV, NLT, TEV, NET) or “the Lord GOD” (as in KJV, ESV, NCV, NRSV). When an option like “the Lord GOD” is chosen, this must also be compared with YHWH elohim, which these English versions typically translate with “the LORD God,” a difference which is quite hard to spot (and impossible to hear.) The translation projects in the Bamu, Waskia and Adzera languages all mentioned these compound names as a significant reason for choosing a transliteration rather than a translation of a word like “Lord.”

4.8 Familiarity with a name like Yawe

In several of the case studies the option chosen for translating YHWH was based on the familiarity of the community with the various possibilities. Where there were churches already using a transliteration of the name in preaching or songs (whether something like Yehoba or Yawe8), it was much easier for them to accept the use of a transliteration in the Old Testament translation. This was the case in Ap Ma (East Sepik province), Waskia (Madang province), Kovai (Morobe province), Misima (Milne Bay province) and Waboda (Western province), showing a familiarity with this name at locations dispersed all across the country, at least in coastal regions. On the other hand, in several locations, the lack of familiarity with this name in church was a reason why the translators chose not to use the transliteration option (as in Nukna), or had to work hard to convince the community to accept it (as in Maiadomu).

This suggests that in general it will be easier to choose the transliteration option if the church is already using something like this in their worship. If they are not doing this, the translation team will need to do more work to get the community to accept this choice. Of course, familiarity with a term can grow over time, so a translation team strongly wanting to use a transliteration of YHWH in their translation may have more chance of the translation being accepted if they begin to engage the church leaders early on and include this name in preaching and worship before it is used in the translation itself.

5. Conclusion

Finally, the different possible options for translating the name YHWH will be evaluated. Rather than giving a firm rule for which is the best option, the six options from Section 3 are presented below with advantages and disadvantages for each one. Whichever option a translation team chooses to use, they need to consider these advantages and disadvantages as they discuss how to translate the divine name YHWH.

5.1. Transliterate with a name like Yaweh or Iaue.

Advantages

- The unique name by which God revealed himself in the biblical context is clearly there to be seen in printed text or to be heard when the Bible stories are read.

8 A further complication arises if transliterations of both Jehovah and Yahweh are in use by different groups. In this case, church leaders and translators will need to discuss together to decide which should be adopted in the translation.
Disadvantages

- Organisational policies may mean some churches cannot use the translated text.
- People may not realise that this name is special and so use it “lightly” or “thoughtlessly,” in a way that does not respect the commandment in Exod 20.7.
- It differs from the translations in the *Buk Baibel* and in English versions.
- It may not be clear that the New Testament authors were using the same word to refer to Jesus as the Greek translators of the Old Testament used to translate the name *YHWH* (unless a word is added that can be used both before the transliteration of *YHWH* and before the name of Jesus, such as *Al Kurun* in the Gwahtike translation).
- Translators may need to begin by using this in the church (in preaching and worship) before it is accepted in a translation.

5.2. **Use the name of a locally known supreme being.**

**Advantages**

- Could be very popular and readily accessible to people in the community.

**Disadvantages**

- Obscures the specific historical and cultural nature of the OT, by hiding the fact that God revealed himself by the unique name *YHWH* to the Israelites, at a particular time and place in history.
- Characteristics of the local “god” may suggest *YHWH* has characteristics which do not fit with the God of the Bible.

5.3. **Use a name based on the possible meaning of *YHWH***

**Advantages**

- This will help people understand a possible meaning of God’s name, and could particularly help with understanding Exodus 3.
- It could help people feel that *YHWH* is not a foreign God.

**Disadvantages**

- There is uncertainty over what precisely the name means.
- This will probably be an unfamiliar term, not found in Bibles in the languages of wider communication. So the translation team will need to do a lot of work with the church and community to help them accept this choice.
- It will not be clear that the New Testament authors were using the same word to refer to Jesus as the Greek translators of the Old Testament used to translate the name *YHWH*.

5.4. **Use a word something like Bikpela / Lord without any special formatting.**

**Advantages**

- Follows the policy of the *Buk Baibel*.
- Preserves links between the Old and New Testaments.
- It would probably be acceptable to all denominations.

**Disadvantages**

- Does not give any clues to the reader that there is a special name for God being used here.
- Does not distinguish between the occurrences of *YHWH* and ’adonay
- The translators will need to consider how to translate the compound name *YHWH* ’adonay.

5.5. **Use a word something like Bikpela / Lord with special formatting such as capitals, bold face type or underlining.**

**Advantages**

- Follows the policy of most English versions.
- Preserves links between the Old and New Testaments
- Would probably be acceptable to all denominations.
- Allows readers to see that there is something special about this word.
- Distinguishes the name *YHWH* from the term ’adonay.

**Disadvantages**

- The actual name *YHWH* still remains hidden.
- There are no clues for those hearing the Bible to know the name of God is being used.
- The translators will need to consider how to translate the compound name *YHWH* ’adonay.
5.6. Using a word or phrase to show that a special name is being referred to

Advantages

- There is a clear clue, even in audio format, that God has a name that was not used lightly or thoughtlessly by the Jews, and it is being used here. This shows respect for the Ten Commandments.
- Compound names for God may be easier to handle than using a translation of “Lord”.

Disadvantages

- This is a different solution to most other translations, so the translators may have to do extra work to explain this choice to the church community if they are likely to compare with other translations that use “LORD”.
- There is no link between the translation of YHWH in the Old Testament and the translation of kurios in the New Testament. This link could be explained in a footnote or glossary entry.
- The translation may suggest characteristics of YHWH that do not fit with the God of the Bible.
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