

Language and Culture Archives

Bartholomew Collection of Unpublished Materials SIL International - Mexico Branch

© SIL International

NOTICE

This document is part of the archive of **unpublished** language data created by members of the Mexico Branch of SIL International. While it does not meet SIL standards for publication, it is shared "as is" under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) to make the content available to the language community and to researchers.



SIL International claims copyright to the analysis and presentation of the data contained in this document, but not to the authorship of the original vernacular language content.

AVISO

Este documento forma parte del archivo de datos lingüísticos **inéditos** creados por miembros de la filial de SIL International en México. Aunque no cumple con las normas de publicación de SIL, se presenta aquí tal cual de acuerdo con la licencia "Creative Commons Atribución-NoComercial-CompartirIgual" (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) para que esté accesible a la comunidad y a los investigadores.

Los derechos reservados por SIL International abarcan el análisis y la presentación de los datos incluidos en este documento, pero no abarcan los derechos de autor del contenido original en la lengua indígena.

Linguistics 477
Professor Robert &. darnish
December 1981

THE SYNTACTIC CORRELATES

OF ILLOCUTIONARY FORCE IN CUPALA TRIQUE

Barbara &. Hollenbach

- O. Introduction
- 1. Overview of Copala Trique syntax
- 2. Particles
- 5. Correlation of force with syntax
- 5.1 Constatives
- 5.2 Directives
- 3.3 Commissives
- 3.4 \cknowledgements
- 4. Text
- O. Corala Trique, an Otomangaean language snoken in Jaxaca, Mexico, is typical of the stock in most respects. For example, it has VSO word order, noun-adjective order, a complex system of lexical and syntactic tone, and very aspect indicated by prefixes and tone changes. There is, however, one salient feature of Copala Trique syntax that does not occur in any of the other Ctomanguean languages I am acquainted with: a series of sentence-final marticles that has approximately the same function as the intonation contours of English. Both mark modal and affective information about the sentence

2 as a whole.

3

In the first two sections of the paper, the syntax of Copala Trique is surveyed briefly, and a number of the most common particles are described. In the third section, each illocutionary act distinguished in the taxonomy developed by Bach and Harnish (1979:39-55) is correlated with the syntactic cues that indicate its literal and direct performance in Copala Trique. Such cues usually involve particles, but also sometimes involve the propositional content of sentences in ways parallel to those described by Searle for English (1969: 1979:20-27). The mapping between syntax and force is, of course, far from precise. The final section contains a folktale, with literal and free translation, illustrating the use of several particles in context.

- 1. Consider the following simple declarative sentence:
 - (1) $n\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^5 \dot{z}a^4 na^1 ya^3 \dot{z}ih^5 a^{32}$

wash woman clothing DECLARATIVE

The woman washes clothes.3

This sentence contains four elements: a verb, a noun expressing the subject, a noun expressing the object, and a particle. Each structural position is discussed in turn below.

The verb in sentence (1) is a simple verb stem, which serves as the continuative aspect. There are two other aspects: completive, marked by a prefix roughly of the form gV-; and potential, marked by a combination of the prefix and a tone change. The following sentences form a paradigmatic set with (1):

- (2) gi³nãã⁵ za⁴na¹ ya³gih⁵ a³²
 washed woman clothing DECLARATIVE
 The woman washed clothes.
- (3) gi²nãh¹ ža⁴na¹ ya³éih⁵ a³²
 will-wash woman clothing DECLARATIVE
 The woman will wash clothes.

These three aspect forms exhaust the inflectional possibilities for verbs. There are no modes other than declarative—nor are there any nonfinite verb forms, compound tenses, or true auxiliary verbs.

There are, however, a number of adverbial elements closely associated with the verb that carry some of the functions that other verb forms carry in English. A few of these are illustrated in examples (4-6):

- (4) ah gi 3 nãã 5 za 4 na 1 ya 5 cih 5 a 32

 already washed woman clothing DECLARATIVE

 The woman already washed the clothes. or

 The woman had washed the clothes.
- (5) ya²³ nãã⁵ ža⁴na¹ ya³¢ih⁵ a³²
 truly wash woman clothing DECLARATIVE
 The woman really washes clothes.
- (6) ne³ nãã⁵ ža⁴na¹ ya³kih⁵ a³²
 not wash woman clothing DECLARATIVE
 The woman does not wash clothes.

There is a special future negative adverb and an interchange of completive and potential aspects following negative adverbs. Examples (7) and (8) form a paradigmatic set with (6):

- (7) ne³ gi²nãh¹ ža⁴na¹ ya³¢ih⁵ a³²
 not will-wash woman clothing DECLARATIVE
 The woman did not wash clothes.
- (8) ze² gi³nãã⁵ ža⁴na¹ ya⁵ tih⁵ a³²
 not washed woman clothing DECLARATIVE
 The woman will not wash clothes.

In sentence (1) both subject and object are expressed by simple nouns. Their function is signaled by order. While there is no system of case marking, direct objects are sometimes preceded by the preposition $\frac{1}{100}$ 'to' or 'body of', and indirect objects and other noun phrases are usually marked by prepositions that help to signal their case roles, as in examples (9) and (10):

(9) $na^3ri^{\frac{3}{2}}$ $\check{c}ii^3$ $m\tilde{a}^3$ $\check{z}a^4na^1$ a^{32} meet man to woman DECLARATIVE

The man meets the woman.

(10) da^3 aa da^3 cii da^3 aa da^3 aa da^3 aa da^3 aa da^3 aa da^3 grab man money from woman DECLARATIVE

The man takes money from the woman.

Noun phrases can, of course, be expanded in various ways. They may also be realized as pronouns. An object pronoun is preceded by $\underline{m}\tilde{a}^3$, as seen in example (11).

(11) $na^3ri^2{}^3zo^2{}^3m\tilde{a}^3no^2{}^3a^{32}$ meet he to her DECLARATIVE

Pronouns have no case distinctions. It is nossible to interchange the two pronouns in sentence (11) to create a well-formed sentence meaning

"She meets him." Pronouns sometimes fuse to a preceding word; compare sentences (12) and (13):

(12)
$$a^{3}ne^{32}$$
 $2\tilde{u}h^{1}$ a^{32}

bathe I DECLARATIVE

I bathe.

(13)
$$a^{3}neh^{32}a^{32}$$

bathe-1 DECLARATIVE

I bathe.

5

The last element of sentence (1) is the particle $\underline{a^{32}}$, which cannot easily be given a true gloss. For particles and similar functional elements I use abstract labels showing grammatical meaning, written in uppercase letters to distinguish them from true glosses. The particle $\underline{a^{32}}$, which I have labeled DECLARATIVE, is the most common and least marked particle in the language. Many others are described in the following section. Every sentence that is not embedded in another one ends with one of these particles. Even a noun or noun phrase cited outside a sentence must be followed by a particle, as in (14):

If a noun is used by itself, it will be interpreted as a vocative.

2. The set of particles in Copala Trique is difficult to categorize because it is neither a small closed class like pronouns, nor an open class like nouns, but rather something in between. Perhaps the best com-

parison that can be made is with English prepositions, taken in the broadest sense. Some English prepositions are short and very common, such as for and in; others are a bit longer and less frequent, such as among and concerning; and still others contain more than one word and yet function as units, such as in spite of and with regard to.

And so, while we intuitively know that the class of English prepositions is not infinite, it is nevertheless exceedingly difficult to compile an exhaustive list of them. Copala Trique particles, while functionally and semantically very different from prepositions, are like them in terms of category size. Some particles are short and common, others a bit longer and less so, and still others complex in their morphemic makeup and/or rare. It is therefore almost impossible to provide a complete list, and for this reason [make no attempt to be exhaustive in what follows.

For convenience I group particles into semantic classes. Interrogatives are treated first, followed by emphatics, negatives, and miscellaneous particles.

There are three main interrogative particles: $\underline{na^2}^4$ 'yes-no question with neutral expectation as to answer', za^2 'yes-no question with affirmative answer expected', and \underline{ga}^2 'content question'. The first two are the sole indicator of a question within the sentence. Compare sentences (15) and (16) with (2) above.

(15) gi³nãã⁵ za na l ya zíh na? 4

washed woman clothing INTERROGATIVE

Did the woman wash the clothes?

(16)
$$gi^3n\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^5\ddot{z}a^4na^1va^3cih^5\ddot{z}a^2$$

washed woman clothing INTERROGATIVE

The woman washed the clothes, didn't she?

The particle \underline{na}^4 has a suppletive variant, \underline{ni}^4 , which occurs before a vocative and in certain indirect questions:

(18)
$$ne^3 ne^3 e^3 zo^2 a^3 ze^{32} ga^2 ah^2 sinii^3 nie^4$$
 / not know he whether will-go boy INTEREGRATIVE / $a^3 ze^{32} ze^2 ga^3 ah^{32} sinii^3 nie^4$ / $ne^3 ne^3 e^5$ whether not went boy INTEREGRATIVE / not know $zo^{23} a^{32}$

he DECLARATIVE

He doesn't know whether or not the boy will go.

The particle $\frac{2}{2}a^2$ is also used to request permission:

(19)
$$di^2ko^2$$
 $2\tilde{u}h^1 \tilde{z}a^2$
will-play 1 INTERROGATIVE

It's all right for me to play with this, isn't it?

The two particles $\frac{na^4}{2}$ and $\frac{2a^2}{2}$ are used correlatively to form alternative nuestions: $\frac{na^4}{2}$ occurs after the first disjunct (and all other nonfinal disjuncts), and $\frac{2a^2}{2}$ occurs after the last disjunct:

(20)
$$ge^{3}ne^{3}e^{3}$$
 $\sin i^{3}$ $gwaa^{4}$ na^{2} / $ge^{3}ne^{3}e^{3}$ zo^{2} saw boy John INTERROGATIVE / saw he

Beto INTERMOGATIVE

Did the boy see John or Beto?

When the two particles are correlative, $\frac{2a^2}{2}$ appears to lose its 'affirmative answer expected' meaning; in sentence (20) the speaker need have no expectation about which of the disjuncts is the correct answer. If he had an idea about the answer, however, he would state it as the final disjunct.

The third interrogative particle, ga^2 , occurs together with a fronted interrogative word or phrase to form a content question:

(21)
$$me^3 = reh^{32} ga^3 rah^{32} cii^3 ga^2$$
which place went man INTERMOGATIVE

Where did the man go?

This particle is soretimes omitted at the end of an interrogative sentence that is embedded in a larger sentence, whereas the other two interrogative particles, which are the sole indicator of a question, cannot be omitted. In content questions, of course, the presence of an interrogative word or phrase makes the ga^2 somewhat redundant. The ga^2 can also be omitted before a vocative, but vocatives at the end of questions take a special interrogative form that ends in glottal stop, which further helps to signal interrogative force. Examples of interrogative vocatives are found in sentence (17) above and in sentences 4 and 9 of the text in section 4. Only two examples of an interrogative particle are found in the text: ga^2 in sentences 59 and 60.

The next group of particles I will discuss can be termed emphatics. They comprise a number of particles that differ subtly in meaning, in ways that depend largely on the conversational context. Learning to control their use has been one of the most difficult aspects of language learning for me.

The particle $\frac{4 \cdot 32}{2}$ is used in conversation to add emphasis to a statement and as an attention getter on vocatives:

- (22) $ga^3 2\tilde{a}h^{32} zo^{3} e^4 i^{32}$ went he EMPHATIC
- (23) di⁴nuh¹ e⁴i³²
 brother EMPHATIC
 Hey; brother!

This particle is rare in narrative, but sometimes occurs on the closing sentence of a story. While this may be a standardized way of ending a narrative, the meaning seems to be to get people to take the story seriously.

(24) \tilde{guu}^3 yo^{23} ga^2 naa^4 tah^{32} nii^3 e^4i^{32} happened that when long-ago say they EMPHATIC.

That's what really happened a long time ago, they say.

The particle $\frac{4}{a}$ is persuasive in tone. It is added to some statement that the speaker wishes to convince the addressee of, such as a promise or the answer to a yes-no question. Then used with a sentence whose main verb is in potential aspect and whose subject is second person or first person plural inclusive, however, this particle creates an imperative with a moderate degree of politeness. (There is, remember, no special imperative form of the verb.)

7

(27)
$$\tilde{c}a^2$$
 $zo^{2}a^4$ will-eat you EMERATIC

Compare sentence (27) with (28), which has the simple declarative particle, $\frac{a^2}{a}$:

Further examples of $\frac{4}{a}$ are found in sentences 23, 27, and 37 of the text, all of which have verbs in potential aspect. Sentences 23 and 37 are imperatives, while sentence 27 is a response to a suggestion. The function of $\frac{4}{a}$ here seems to be to persuade the addressee of the speaker's willingness to comply.

The complex particle $\underline{n\tilde{a}h}^1$ \underline{a}^4 consists of a word $\underline{n\tilde{a}h}^1$, used only in this particle cluster and the less common one $\underline{n\tilde{a}h}^1$ \underline{e}^4 \underline{i}^{32} , which can perhaps be glossed 'indeed', plus the persuasion particle \underline{a}^4 . The cluster functions as a general emphatic, both in paratives and in conversations.

This particle cluster is found often in the text. In sentences 12, 14, 15, and 16 its function seems to be persuasion, and in sentences

30, 31, 43, 44, 65, 66, and twice in 72 its function seems to be simply emphasis.

The less common particle cluster $\frac{\|\tilde{a}\|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|^2}$ is a combination of $\frac{\|\tilde{a}\|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|^2}$ indeed and the emphatic particle $\frac{\|\tilde{a}\|^2}{\|\tilde{a}\|^2}$. It is used for emphasis and sometimes to close a narrative.

(30) $dah^{23}waa^{52}gwe^{4}ndo^{4}/tah^{32}nii^{3}n\tilde{a}h^{1} e^{4}i^{32}$ thus is story / say they INDEED EMPHATIC

The particle $\frac{a^2d\tilde{o}h^2}{a^2}$ expresses strong emphasis. It occurs both in narrative and in conversation, sometimes in answer to a yes-no question.

(31) ga³?ãh³² zo³ a²dõh²
went he EMPHASIS
He really went.

This particle occurs in sentences 10, 11, 21, 22, 25, and 38 of the text. Sentence 12, which ends with $\frac{1}{nah} = \frac{1}{a}$, is a paraphrase of sentence 11, which ends with $\frac{2}{a} = \frac{1}{a}$, showing that the two particles are close synonyms. (Repetition of an important point in a story by piling up paraphrases is an important feature of Copala Trique narrative style; such repetition is seen in sentences 11-16 of the text.)

The particle $zu^3gw\tilde{a}h^{32}$ expresses agreement:

(33)
$$ga^3 {}^{9}\tilde{a}h^{32} zo^{9} \dot{z}u^3 gw\tilde{a}h^{32}$$

went he EMPHATIC
He really went.

The particle $\frac{3}{2\tilde{a}h}\frac{32}{}$ expresses mild agreement; it is often used for things that are obvious:

 $(34) \quad a^3 \tilde{m} \tilde{a}^3 \quad a^2 \tilde{z} \tilde{a} h^{32}$

rain EMPHATIC

Yes, it's raining.

There are no instances of either $\frac{zu^3gw\tilde{a}h^{32}}{zu^3gw\tilde{a}h^{32}}$ or $\frac{a^3z\tilde{a}h^{32}}{a^3gw^{32}}$ in the text.

Another mild emphatic is $\frac{zih^{32}}{zih^{32}}$, which occurs on both statements and requests. On statements it seems to mean 'of course'.

of first

$$(35) \quad \text{ma}^{4} \text{y} \tilde{\text{o}}^{4} \text{ u}^{3} \text{y} \text{a}^{4} \text{ d} \text{i}^{3} \text{nu}^{4}$$

 $go^3ne^4ho^4\ddot{z}ih^{32}$

tricky very brother-of-us-inclusive rabbit EMPHATIC

An example of $\frac{2ih^{32}}{2ih^{32}}$ on a request is found in sentence 24 of the text, where the rabbit is trying to talk the coyote into going with him. The function of the particle seems to be to make going seem to be a natural thing to do.

All of the sentence types that have been described above can, of course, be negated. Sometimes the negative counterpart of a positive sentence takes the same particle, and sometimes a different one.

When a simple declarative sentence is negated, the $\frac{a^2}{a^2}$ particle is usually replaced by $\frac{ma^4}{a^2}$, though for some speakers its use is optional. 8 The more common form, therefore, of examples (6-8) above is:

- (36) ne³ nãã⁵ za⁴na¹ ya³£ih⁵ ma²

 not wash woman clothing NEGATIVE

 The woman does not wash clothes.
- (37) $ne^3 gi^2 n\tilde{a}h^1 \qquad \tilde{z}a^4 na^1 ya^3 \chi ih^5 \quad ma^{4}$ not will-wash woman clothing NEGATIVE The woman did not wash clothes.

(38) ze² gi³nãã⁵ ža⁴na¹ ya³¢ih⁵ ma⁹,

not washed woman clothing NEGATIVE

The woman will not wash clothes.

The particle \underline{ma}^4 has a variant form, $\underline{m\tilde{a}}^{32}$, that occurs when the particle is not the final element of a sentence; $\underline{m\tilde{a}}^{32}$ is preceded by pause.

(39) $ne^3 ga^2 ? \tilde{a}h^2 zo ? 3 / m\tilde{a}^{32}$ $be^4 to^{32}$ not will-go he NEGATIVE Beto He didn't go, Beto.

The particle $\frac{ma^{9}}{4}$ occurs in sentences 13, 50, 52, 62, and 75 of the text. Sentences 3 and 38 contain embedded negative sentences that do not have any particle.

When an interrogative sentence is negated, the same particle is used as in the positive form of the sentence:

- (40) ne³ nãã⁵ ža⁴ na¹ ya⁵ tih⁵ na²

 not wash woman clothing INTERROGATIVE

 Doesn't the woman wash the clothes?
- (41) ne³ nãã⁵ ža⁴na¹ ya³¢ih⁵ ža²

 not wash woman clothing INTERROGATIVE

 The woman doesn't wash the clothes, does she?
- (42) me³ zii⁵ ne³ ga²?ãh² ngah³² ga²

 which person not will-go Putla INTERROGATIVE

 Who didn't go to Putla?

Two of the emphatic particles, e^4i^{32} and $e^2d\tilde{o}h^2$, have special negative forms which consist of $m\tilde{a}^{32}$, the prefinal variant of ma^{24} , plus the emphatic particle. The resulting forms have fused to single

words, $\frac{4}{m}$ $\frac{32}{m}$ and $\frac{2}{m}$ $\frac{32}{m}$, in some idiolects.

(43) $ne^3 ga^2 \tilde{a}h^2 zo^3 me^4 i^32$ not will-go he NEGATIVE-EMPHATIC

He really didn't go.

(44) $ne^3 ga^2 ? \tilde{a}h^2 zo ? \tilde{a}ma^2 d\tilde{o}h^2$ not went he NEGATIVE-EMPHATIC He really didn't go.

The remaining emphatic particles do not combine with the negative $\frac{m\tilde{a}^{32}}{1}$, but seem instead to be replaced by simple $\frac{ma^{9}}{1}$. Negative imperatives are usually formed this way and are thus homophonous with future indicatives.

(45) ze² ga³?ãh³² zo?¹ ma?⁴
not went you NEGATIVE
Don't go! or You won't go.

Miscellaneous particles include a quotative, a politeness indicator, an insistence indicator, an annoyance indicator, a sarcasm indicator, and a request for corroboration indicator.

The quotative particle ra^{n^2} has two uses, one in conversation and the other in narrative. If one participant in a conversation says something to a second, and a third participant does not believe that the second one is raying sufficient attention to it, the third participant will repeat the sentence (or a paraphrase of it) to speaker two, adding ra^{n^2} at the end to make it clear that he is merely repeating.

is used.
This particle with sentences in narrative folktales to indicate that the information in the sentence is not something the narrator made up, but rather an established belief of the culture.

(47)
$$ne^{3}eh^{3}me^{3}ya^{3}eh^{32}gwii^{23}rae^{2}$$

baby is god of-sun QUOTATIVE

It is said that the baby was the sun god.

This particle is not used, however, for reporting gossip or hearsay, nor for quoting speech. Both of these are handled by using the verb $\frac{1}{2}$ 'say' with the appropriate subject (and, optionally, the addressee) at the end of the quotation. There are many instances of this in the text; see, for example, sentences 2, 3, 4, and 5.

If the reported sentence is negative, the combined form $\frac{4}{ma^{4}ra^{2}}$ is used:

The politeness particle is $ru^4 gw\tilde{a}h^{32}$; it occurs with requests and means 'please':

(49)
$$ra^2kwih^5$$
 zo^{-1} $m\tilde{a}h^3$ $ru^4gw\tilde{a}h^{32}$ will-help you to-me POLITENESS

Please help me!

The insistence particle is $\frac{\tilde{o}h}{}^{32}$; it is used on questions that are being repeated because they were not answered to the speaker's satisfaction the first time:

The annoyance particle is ni^3a^{3} ; it is used to repeat information that the speaker feels the addressee should have paid better attention to the first time:

(51) ngah³² ga³?ãh³² zo?³ / tah³² ?ũh¹ kwã?³ ni³a?³

Putla went he / say I just-now ANNOYANCE

Didn't l just tell you that it was Putla he went to!

The sarcasm particle is $\frac{4y}{2}$; it occurs on statements that are in some sense the opposite of what the speaker means, and thus specifically indicates one kind of nonliterality in addition to strong negative feeling:

(52) gu²nah¹ u⁵sa⁴ gi⁵yah³ zoh³ a⁴zi⁴
good very did you-plural SARCASM
You really did very well, all right!

The corroboration-requested particle is $\frac{1}{1}$ new form of $\frac{1}{1}$ new dispersions a function of the second person singular pronoun. (This is the only particle 1 know of with a transparent etymology.) It occurs on statements which the speaker is inviting the addressee to agree with, much as English you know is used.

9

(53) $dah^{23} waa^{32} z\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^{32} ne^4 diz^2$ thus is work CORTOBORATION-REQUESTED That's how the work is, you know.

There are two other elements that occur at the end of a constituent, are followed by nause, and cannot easily be glossed: the topic marker ro^{23} and the conjunct marker ro^{21} . These two elements do not,

however, occur sentence-final and therefore do not belong in the paradigmatic set of sentence-final particles.

- (54) be 4 to 4 ro 3 / ge 3 ne 3 e 3 mã 3 gwaa 4 a 32 Beto TOPIC saw to John DECLARATIVE

 As for Beto, he saw John.
- (55) yu mi 2 do 2 / yãã 2 do 2 / skah 32 gwaa 4 a 32
 soar CONJUNCT / salt CONJUNCT / bought John DECLARATIVE
 John bought soar and salt.

The particle ro³ occurs twice in sentence 25 of the text and once in sentence 31.

The set of sentence-final particles, though large and loosely structured, forms a paradigmatic set: at the end of each sentence a speaker must include one and only one of these pricles. In the case of, for example, content interrogatives, the structure of the sentence forces the choice of the particle. In other cases, the mode he wishes to convey determines the choice, because in Copala Trique the choice of particle is the main syntactic marker of yes-no questions and imperatives. In still other cases, the particle used seems to be chosen freely by the speaker in accordance with his attitude toward the utterance. In section 3, the ways in which illocutionary force constrains the choice of particle and other syntactic features will be examined.

3. Bach and harnish draw a major distinction between communicative and conventional illocutionary acts. The latter are <u>counts-as</u> rules that must be spoken by the right person under the right circumstances

10

to be effective (1979:108-119). They are essentially the same as Searle's declarations (1979:16-20) or Austin's verdictives (1962:

Conventional acts are not treated in this paper, largely because the Trique live in a peasant society, and almost all of its institutions have been forced on them by the dominant Mexican society. Nearly all conventional acts, therefore, are performed in Spanish, rather than in Trique.

Bach and Harnish divide communicative illocutionary acts into constatives, directives, commissives, and acknowledgments. Each is treated in turn.

4.1 Bach and Harnish define a constative as "the expression of a belief, together with the expression of an intention that the hearer form (or continue to hold) a like belief" (1979:42). They subdivide constatives into fifteen subtypes (not necessarily mutually exclusive), of which the most general is assertives. In that the vast majority of utterances are constatives, it is easier to describe the systactic features that exclude constative force than to describe those that signal it. I will first describe assertives, both in terms of what signals assertive force and what excludes it, and then describe the other types in terms of how they differ from assertives.

Assertives are the most common illocutionary act, and are therefore the least marked. They may be signaled by $\frac{32}{4}$, by most of the emphatic particles, by any of the negative particles, and by some of the miscellaneous particles. Particles that specifically exclude constative force of any kind are: all the interrogative particles.

and perhans $\underline{ni^3a^{\circ 3}}$ (annoyance); and sometimes the emphatic particle $\underline{a^4}$. The particle $\underline{a^4}$ excludes constative force when it occurs in a sentence whose verb is in potential aspect and whose subject is first or second person. If the subject is first person singular or first person plural exclusive, the sentence is a commissive, and if the subject is first person plural inclusive or second person, the sentence (noninteriorative) is a directive. Other syntactic elements that rule out constative force include the presence of interrogative words and phrases, and the presence of certain standardized expressions that denote requests and acknowledgments, described below. The majority of sentences in the text are assertives, for example, sentence 1, the matrix sentence

the miscellaneous particles ru gwah 32 (politeness), $\tilde{o}h^{32}$ (insistence),

Predictives and retrodictives differ from assertives in being restricted as to time reference. Predictives refer to the future, and in Trique must appear with the verb in potential aspect; while retrodictives refer to the past, and must appear with the verb in completive or continuative aspect. Predictives cannot take the quotative particle $\frac{ra^2}{ra^2}$. Two examples of predictives in the text are the second conjunct of sentence 31 and the embedded quotation in sentence 32. Some examples of retrodictives are sentences 18, 28, 29, and 30.

of 2, and both the embedded quotation and the matrix sentence of 3.

bescriptives and ascriptives (the difference between them is not clear to me from the definitions on page 42) seem to involve stative and equative sentences. Sentences 10-15 of the text are statives (some with the copula omitted), and the embedded quotation in sentence 16 is an equative sentence

Informatives and confirmatives are expressed in essentially the same way as simple assertives. Two examples of informatives in the text are sentence 10 and the embedded quotation in sentence 17. The embedded quotation in sentence 22 is a confirmative because it is based on information sup; lied by the groom. (It is, of course, also a lie!)

Concessives and assentives are sometimes indicated by introducing a sentence with some expression indicating the truth of the proposition put forward by the addressee:

(56)
$$ya^{23}$$
 $u_s^4a^4$ $a^{59}mii^{52}$ zo^{9} ze^{52} waa^{32} $r\tilde{a}^{25}$ truly very speak—you—that there—is suffers be a^4 to a^{52}

Beto DECLARATIVE

You're right about heto suffering.

Assentives are also expressed by the emphatic particle $\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{u}^3\mathbf{g}\mathbf{w}\tilde{\mathbf{a}}\tilde{\mathbf{h}}^{32}}$, as in sentence (35) above. No examples of concessives or assentives are found in the text.

In a face-saviar culture, retractives are rare, and there does not seem to be any particular syntactic form for making a retraction. In the text, when the narrator realized he had left out something (see footnote 14), he did not retract what he had said, but simply went on. If a speaker wishes to correct something within a sentence, however, there is a correction particle, <u>naa³¹</u>, that can be used to indicate that the speaker is going to correct something he has just said.

(57) $\chi_{\rm kah}^{32} z_{\rm o}^{33} \chi_{\rm i}^{22} z_{\rm naa}^{31}$ $i^2 k_0^2 gu^4 y_{\rm a}^{4h} a^{32}$ bought he ten CORRECTION twenty candle DECLARATIVE He bought ten-no, twenty candles.

than about helping other people to save face, dissentives and disputatives are frequent illocutionary acts in Capala Trique culture. Dissentives are likely to be prefaced by the strong negative, $\tanh^{52} \frac{ma^{24}}{ma^{24}}$, or to be excressed as a negative sentence with the rarticle $\frac{ma^{24}}{ma^{24}}$ or $\frac{ma^{4}}{ma^{25}}$. while there are no examples of such overt dissentives in the text, the embedded quotation in sentence 17 seems to be an indirect dissentive: by repeating his assertion that he had been looking for the rabbit, the coyote is telling the rabbit that he is not convinced by the rabbit's claim (that there are many rabbits that look alike) that he has the wrong rabbit. Disputatives often begin with the conjunction $\frac{d}{dah^{2}} \frac{ne^{2}}{ne^{2}}$ 'but'; Sentence 10 of the text, which begins with $\frac{d}{dah^{2}} \frac{ne^{2}}{ne^{2}}$, disputes the unstated claim that the coyote is looking for that varticular rabbit because he is angry with him about the tricks he played in the past.

Responsives are likely to contain one of the emphatic particles, especially $\frac{4}{a}$ or $\frac{a^2d\delta h^2}{a^2}$; see sentences (25) and (31) above. It is not clear to me whether responses to requests, as well as responses to questions, belong under responsives, or whether they are commissives. I tentatively treat them here as responsives. The embedded quotations in sentences 27, 35, and 39 of the text are positive responses to remuests; no responses to questions occur in the text.

Sugrestives and surpositives sometimes begin with sah 'maybe' (literally, 'it splits').

(58) \sin^3 ne² di³ga³wi² nii³ mã³ zo² a³² maybe and killed they to him DECLARATIVE terhaps they killed him.

There are no examples of sugrestives or suppositives in the text.

4.2 According to Bach and Marnish, directives both "express the speaker's attitude toward some prospective action by the hearer" and "express the speaker's intention . . . that his utterance . . . be taken as (a) reason for the hearer to act" (1979:47). Directives include requestives, questions, requirements, prohibitives, permissives, and advisories. Requirements, prohibitives, and permissives are distinguished from the others in that they involve authority on the part of the speaker over the addressee. 11

11

of the six kinds of directives, questions are the most clearly distinguished. As in all other natural languages that I am acquainted with, Copala Trique questions are clearly distinguished from all other illocationary acts, and content questions are sharply distinguished from ves-no questions, even though there is no a priori pragmatic reason for making such a distinction. Yes-no nuestions are always marked by the particle $\frac{na^2}{na^2}$ or $\frac{za^2}{na^2}$; they have no other syntactic signals. Sentences (15), (16), and (17) above are examples of yes-no questions; there are no examples in the text. Content questions are marked by an initial interrogative word or phrase, and usually also (the embedded quetation in, land 60) by the particle ga2. Sentence (21) above and sentences 59 of the text are examples of content questions with ga, and sentences 4 and 9 are examples in which $\operatorname{\mathsf{ga}}^2$ has been omitted before a vocative. In both kinds of questions, a vocative has a special form ending in glottal stop; see sentence (17) above and the embedded quotations in sentences 4 and 9 of the text.

Requirements, positive requests, and positive advisories are not clearly distinguished from each other. All may be expressed by a sentence with the verb in potential aspect and second person or first person plural inclusive subject. The final particle is usually $\frac{a^4}{a^4}$. For greater politeness in a trivial request, the quantifier $\frac{doh^{23}}{a^4}$ is little may be used in the sentence:

(59)
$$ga^2\tilde{c}\tilde{e}^2$$
 zo^{9} don^{25} $y\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{32}$ a^4
will-mass you a-little salt PERSUASION
Please pass me (a little) salt!

In matters of greater significance, politeness is sometimes expressed by the particle $\frac{u^4 \text{gw} 5h^{32}}{\text{gw} 5h^{32}}$, as in sentence (49) above, or by preposing a sentence that means 'do me a (little) favor':

(60)
$$gi^2 yaa^5 zo^1 doh^{25} = ze^4 ndo^{52} / ne^2 ra^2 kwih^5 zo^1$$
will-do you a-little favor / and will-help you
wih¹ $zya^4 ndo^4 be^4 so^4 mãh^5 a^4$

Do me a favor, and lend me two hundred pesos!

two hundred peso to-me PERSUASION

12

Sentences with marks of politeness are probably best considered to be requests, rather than requirements. In the text there are three instances of inclusive requests: sentence 23, which ends with $\frac{4}{a}$; the embedded auotation in sentence 24, which ends with $\frac{2ih^{32}}{2ih^{32}}$; and the first conjunct of the embedded quotation in sentence 25, which has no particle because it is followed by a conjunction. There are also a number of examples of second person imperatives: five of the conjuncts of the embedded quotation in sentence 25, the first conjunct of sentence 31, the main clause of the embedded quotation in sentence 37, and two of

the conjuncts in the embedded quotation in sentence 38. Most of these requests have no particle because they are not sentence-final; but the request in sentence 37 ends in $\frac{4}{a}$. (The emphatic particle $\frac{2}{a}d\tilde{o}h^2$ at the end of the embedded quote in sentence 38 seems to go with the final clause of the quotation, which is a reason for making the requests.)

Prohibitives, negative requests, and negative advisories, like their positive counterparts, are not clearly distinguished from each other. All are expressed by using the future negative adverb ze^2 followed by a verb in completive aspect (which together carry the meaning 'negative potential'), a second person of inclusive subject, and a negative particle. Unless marked as a request by the presence of some signal such as 'do me a (little) rayor', a negative request is homoghonous with a future negative statement:

(61)
$$ze^2 ga^5 gab^{32} zo^{21} ma^{4}$$

not went you NEGATIVE

Don't go! or You will not go.

There is one negative request in the text: one of the conjuncts in the embedded auotation in sentence 38, which has no particle because it is followed by a conjunction. Its force as an imperative, rather than as a declarative, is determined only by context.

The remaining class of directives, which is permissives, is characterized by by the use of the verb form $\frac{2^{2}}{3}$ 'it will be possible' followed by a sentence with the verb in potential aspect and a second person or inclusive subject. Forticles may be neutral or emphatic.

$$(62) \quad \text{ga}^{2} \text{?wee}^{25} \qquad \quad \text{gu}^{2} \text{nãh}^{2} \quad \text{zo}^{-1} \quad \text{ga}^{2} \text{?wee}^{25}$$

$$\text{will-be-rossible-it will-run you will-be-rossible-it}$$

$$\text{nãh}^{1} \quad \text{a}^{4} \qquad / \quad \text{tah}^{52} \quad \text{zno}^{5} \text{?o}^{2} \quad \text{ri}^{5} \text{ãã}^{52} \quad \text{Ya}^{4} \text{na}^{1} \quad \text{a}^{52}$$

INDEED PERSUASION / say man face-of woman DECLARATIVE

"Go ahead and run away!" the man said to the woman.

it is cossible to list two alternatives in this way:

You may go or stay.

$$(65) = \omega^{2} \circ w \circ e^{25} \qquad \qquad \varphi^{2} \circ \pi h^{2} \circ x \circ e^{1} \circ \omega^{2} \circ w \circ e^{25}$$

$$= \text{witte-be-possible-it will-} \varphi \circ \text{you vill-be-possible-it}$$

$$\text{gi}^{2} \text{nah}^{2} = \text{zo}^{2} \circ \frac{1}{3} \text{ga}^{2} \circ \text{wee}^{25} \qquad \qquad \text{a}^{4}$$

$$\text{witt-stay you will-be-possible-it} = \text{Possible-it}$$

Negatives are rare in permissives and such sentences are often rephrased as rositives. There are no examples of permissives in the text.

3.3 Bach and Harnish define commissives as "acts of obligating one-self or of proposing to obligate oneself to do something specified in the propositional content" (1979:49-50). Commissives include promises and offers.

Fromises and offers are not always distinguished from each other. Both are marked by having verbs in potential aspect and first person singular or first person plural exclusive subjects, and they usually take the persuasion particle $\frac{4}{a}$. From is are often further signaled by using the adverb ya^{25} 'truly' (sometimes rejected or modified by an adverb weening 'very' for emphasis).

Offers may be further signaled by the addition of a subordinate if-clause to the sentence, or by using a question to express them indirectly:

- (65) ga²?nah¹ a³?yuh³ ze³ze³ me³ ra⁴ zo?¹ a³²
 will-come-1 tomorrow if are inside you DECLARATIVE
- (66) ga²?nah¹ ra⁴ zo?¹ na?⁴

 will-come-I think you INTERROGATIVE

 Do you think I should come?

In the text there are two examples of promises or offers, both in sentence 25; no particles occur with these because they are followed by conjunctions.

pach and Marnish mention several special cases of provises, including contracts and bets; the commissive-constative combinations oaths, guarantees, and surrenders; and the commissive-directive combination invitations. While contracts, oaths, and invitations, at least, are common acts in the culture, I know of no syntactic features that correlate with any of them. Bach and marnish also mention two special cases of ofters, volunteerings and bids. Although bids are particularly common in the culture, as in haggling over prices in the market, again I know of no syntactic features that correlate with either of them.

3.4 According to Each and Harnish, acknowledgments "express, perfunctorily if not genuinely, certain feelings toward the hearer...

appropriate to particular sorts of occasions" (1979:51). Acknowledg-

ments include apologies, condolences, congratulations, greetings, thanks, wishes, acceptances, and rejections. The last two are responses to other acknowledgments.

Two kinds of atterances Which are common in Trique culture but which do not fit readily into the above scheme are leave-takings and scoldings. Leave-takings are appropriate to particular situations, but rarely involve even a perfunctory expression of feeling toward Towever, the addressee. In Gonala Trique culture, a polite visitor must take proper leave of his host. Because of their role in filling social expectations, therefore, I have chosen to class leave-takings with greetings in this caper. Scoldings, on the other hand, involve the expression of strong (negative) feelings on the part of the speaker toward the addressee, but are not appropriate to any particular social situations. In that "ach and Harnish admit that wishes can be negative, in which case they are called curses (1979:54), I have chosen to consider scoldings to be negative congratulations in this paper. would also be possible, to class leave-takings and scottings as constatives. A similar problem arises when we consider the responses to a scolding. A positive response could be either an acceptance, which ia a kind of acknowledgment, or an assentive, which is a wind of constative; and a negative response could be either a rejection (acknowledgment) or a dissentive (constative). Ternaps in most cases they are both acknowledgments and constatives.

Unlike constatives, directives, and commissives, which are marked mainly by particles, aspect, and nerson, and permit a great deal of freedom in the propositional content; acknowledgments tend to be sig-

naled by frozen, formulaic, expressions.

Apologies are not common because of the desire to save face. It is far more common for an offensive act to be ignored by the person who committed it than for him to issue an apology. The most common way of expressing an apology, however, is to use the expression $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ and a sentence expressing the offense. Such a sentence must have a verb in completive or continuative aspect, and a first person subject.

(67)
$$ga^2raa^2 \ddot{z}i^3$$
naa 32 zo^{9} ze^{52} waa 32 ga^3kaa^{32} $d\tilde{a}a^5$ will-? hunger-of you that there-is burned paper-of zo^{9} gi^3 yaa 5 $\tilde{u}h^1$ a^{32} you did I DECLARATIVE

Be patient (with me) even though I burned your book!

There are no examples of apologies in the text.

Condolences are often expressed by using an expression meaning (a complementizer and);
'poor you!' or by one meaning 'l am sad that' followed by a sentence
expressing the sad event that occasioned the condolences:

(69) $na^{3}no^{4}ra^{4}$ $^{2}\tilde{u}h^{1}ze^{32}waa^{32}ga^{3}wi^{2}nii^{4}$ tell inside 1 that there-is died mother-of

 $zo^{1}a^{32}$

you DECLARATIVE

I'm sorry that your mother died.

There are no examples of condolences in the text.

Congratulations may be positive or negative. Positive congratulations are rare in Copala Trique culture because of envy. The role of envy is so strong that people who experience good fortune often try to hide it or make light of it rather than arouse envy. Congratulations are sometimes expressed, however, by using an expression meaning 'you did well' (or one meaning 'you fared well'), either alone with a particle or followed by — complementizer and a sentence.

(70)
$$gu^2nah^1$$
 gi^3 yaa zo^2 ze^3 waa gu^3du^3 weh zo^2 good did you that there-is sold you skuh zo^3

COW DECLARATIVE

You did well to sell the cow.

Negative congratulations, or scoldings, are, on the other hand, fairly common. The insistence particle $\underline{\delta h}^{32}$ and the annoyance particle \underline{ni}^3a^{23} often turn a sentence into a scolding; see sentences (50) and (51) above. The most common way to scold, however, is to ask questions, especially why questions—in other words, to use a standardized kind of indirect speech act. The following sentences are taken from recorded text material, each by a different speaker.

have a baby then?" her father said, very upset.

(72) me
$$\overset{3}{\text{se}}$$
 ne $\overset{4}{\text{u}}$ no $\overset{3}{\text{zo}}$ 20 $\overset{1}{\text{a}}$ 3 $\overset{3}{\text{mii}}$ 12 $\overset{3}{\text{ni}}$ 2

which base not hear you speak we-inclusive $ga^2 = \int tah^{32} za^4 na^4 ri^3 aa^3 zno^5 co^2 a^3 = INTERROGATIVE / says woman face-of man DECLARATIVE "Why don't you pay attention when I speak?" the woman said to the man.$

Note the nonliteral use of the inclusive pronoun in place of first singular to soften the statement a little bit, in a manner similar to the use of editorial we in English.) There are no instances of either positive congratulations or scoldings in the text in 4.

Treetings include both true greetings (conversation openers) and leave-takings. True greetings are not particularly compon, but there are certain sentences that are standardized ways of opening conversations that also functions as greetings. They differ in form according to the place of meeting. On the trail, the standard opener is:

(73)
$$me^3 = reh^{32} ga^3 rah^{32} zor^{\frac{1}{2}} ga^2$$
which place went you INTERROGATIVE
Where are you going?

when one person visits another one, the visitor may initiate the conversation by saying:

or, if the host is not in sight:

Sometimes the host speaks first; he is likely to say:

(76)
$$ga^{3}_{na}^{3} zo^{4}_{na}^{2}$$

came you DECLARATIVE

You came.

after which the guest is likely to answer:

$$(77)$$
 ga³?nah³ a⁴

came-I PERSUASION

In the text, the coyote initiates a conversation in sentence 2 by saying, "Here you are," after which he launches immediately into his quarrel with the rabbit, without waiting for the rabbit to reply to his conversation opener. In the twenty years 1 have been in the Trique area, I have witnessed the emergence of new greeting patterns which consist of simply naming the time of day. This is almost certainly a response to the pressure of the Spanish greetings, buenos días, buenas tardes, and buenas noches. Typical greetings of this type include:

(78) $g\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^3$ $\chi_{ta^2}^1$ gwii a^{32}

became high sun DECLARATIVE

Good morning. (considerably later than sunrise)

(79) di^{3} nuu a^{1} a^{4}

dusk INDEED PERSUASION

Good evening. (shortly after sunset)

Leave-takings, unlike greetings, are socially required. A visitor leaves a house by saying:

(80) ga² an² nan¹ a⁴
will-go-I INDEED PERSUASION
I'm going now.

On the trail, one of the speakers may say:

(81)
$$n\tilde{a}h^{23}$$
 waa 32 na^{2} $^{2}\tilde{a}h^{2}$ $n\tilde{a}h^{1}$ a^{4} thus is will-head-home-I INDEED PERSUASION 1'11 be on my way home now.

The only example of a greeting or leave-taking in the text is the conversation opener in sentence 2.

There are two standardized expressions for expressing thanks:

(82)
$$ni^2ke^{23}$$
 ra^4 zo^{21} a^{32} poor inside you DECLARATIVE Thank you.

(83)
$$\tilde{guu}^3$$
 $ni^2\gamma yah^{23}$ ni^{24} a^{32} became blessed we-inclusive DECLARATIVE Thank you.

These expressions also occur with a complementizer and a sentence expressing the reason for the thanks:

(84)
$$\tilde{guu}^3$$
 ni^2 yah 23 ni^2 ze^{32} waa 32 re^3ke^4

became blessed we-inclusive that there-is gave

 zo^{21} ya 3 e^{ih} $m\tilde{a}h^3$ a 32

you clothing to-me DECLARATIVE

Thank you for giving me clothing.

There are no examples of thanks in the text in 4.

Wishes include both positive and negative ones. Because of the role of envy in the culture, positive wishes, like congratulations, are rarely offered, and there is no standardized form for expressing them. Negative wishes, or curses, on the other hand, are fairly common. They are standardly expressed by stating the opposite of what the speaker

Curses usually occur with

the persuasion particle at . A

wants to happen, in other words, by using sarcasm, which is a kind of nonliterality. The sarcasm particle, $\frac{4v}{z}$, is not, however, used in curses, but is reserved for other kinds of sarcasm; The following examples of curses are taken from recorded text material.

> (85) $\operatorname{gi}^{2}\operatorname{zi}^{2}\operatorname{nah}^{2}\operatorname{u}^{3}\operatorname{va}^{4}\operatorname{da}^{3}\operatorname{nii}^{5}\operatorname{zo}^{1}\operatorname{nu}^{1}$ ga wii will-abound much child-of you complete went-out nu^{2} ga^{3} $\tilde{a}h^{32}$ a^{4}

complete went PERSUASION

May your children abound forever and ever! (= may they all die!)

(86) $yah^{23} dah^{23} gi^{3} yaa^{5} di^{2} m\tilde{a}h^{3} / ne^{2} ga^{2} cih^{2} da^{3} nii^{5}$ now thus did you to-me and will-grow child-of $\operatorname{di}^{2} \operatorname{ndaa}^{23} \operatorname{d}\widetilde{\operatorname{a}}^{4} \operatorname{di}^{2} \operatorname{a}^{4} \operatorname{/} \operatorname{tah}^{32} \operatorname{zu}^{2} \operatorname{gwa}^{2} \operatorname{a}^{2}$

you even animal-of you PERSUASION / says grandmother-of ga^{3} 2 ah^{32} no roh^{1} zo^{3} a^{32}

us-inclusive Ga?ah hear the-two he DECLARATIVE "Because of what you did to me now, may your children grow, and even your animals!" our grandmother Garah said to the two of them. (= may they be small and sickly!)

There are no examples of either positive wishes or curses in the text in 4.

The form used for acceptances depends on the kind of acknowledgment being accepted. For thanks and leave-takings, the appropriate response is simply e^{32} 'yes'. For some other types, for example greetings of the form 'you came', the appropriate response is to repeat the information back, for example:

The rejection of an acknowledgment is quite rare and would be considered extremely rude. There are no special syntactic elements that mark rejections, but silence in place of the expected acceptance is one way to communicate a rejection.

Free translation:

- 1. And so it (the rabbit) was sitting there, and the coyote came along again. 2. "Here you are, brother," he (the coyote) said.

 3. "I looked hard for you, but there's no way to find you, brother," he said to the rabbit. 4. "Why were you looking for me, brother?" the rabbit said to him.
- 5. It happened that the rabbit said (the following). 6. And, "Someone is counting on me, brother," he said. 7. "Someone is counting on me, and there is a job

Hollenbach, page 37

I am going to do now, brother," he said. 8. "Someone is counting on me, but it was you that we were really looking for, brother," he said.
9. "Why were you looking for me, brother?" the rabbit said to the coyote.

10. "But there really are a lot of us. 11. Our faces are indeed the same. 12. Our faces are indeed the same. 13. The faces of each one of us are not different. 14. The faces of us brothers are indeed the same. 15. Our faces are indeed the same. 16. The faces of us all are indeed just one face," he said to the coyote.

- 17. "I was looking very hard for you, brother," the coyote said to the rabbit.
- 18. It happened that the rabbit had another idea. 19. It happened that he said again. 20. And, "Someone is counting on me," he said. 21. "Someone is counting on me, and it happens that I am going to become a sponsor—I am going to become a sponsor, the groom really says," he said to the coyote. 22. "The groom is going to get married, and I am going to become a sponsor, a groom really says," the rabbit said to the coyote. 23. "Let's go!" 24. "Let's surely go!" the rabbit said to the coyote.

Hollenbach, page 41

- 25. "Let's go, and you sit here, and beat the drum, and I'll give you a drum, and I'll give you a violin, and with your feet, beat the drum, and with your hands, play the violin, and do that while you're sitting here, and one of my companions is counting on me, and I'm going to become a sponsor, he really says," the rabbit said to the coyote.
- 26. The coyote believed it again. 27. "Okay," he said. 28. He took the violin. 29. He took the drum. 30. The rabbit sat him down in the middle of some bamboo indeed. 31. "Sit here, and right here there will be a fiesta today indeed.

32. Right here there will be a very big fiesta right away," the rabbit said to the coyote. 33. The rabbit sat the coyote in the middle of a big bamboo match. 34. It was in the middle of a very big bamboo patch, and the bamboo was lying all around, and it was in the middle of the bamboo match that the fiesta was going to take place, the rabbit said to the coyote. 35. "Okay," he said. 36. He was sitting and waiting. 37. "Then we set off the shyrockets, then beat the drum harder," the rabbit said again to the coyote. 38. "The skyrockets will come nearer and nearer as they explode; until the skyrockets keep approaching nearer and nearer, then

Hollenbach, page 45

beat the drum harder, and don't be afraid, and beat the drum harder because we'll surely be coming there right away," the rabbit said to the coyote. 39. "Okay," he said. 40. He waited and waited for the skyrockets to explode. 41. It was quiet. 42. Pop, pop, pop! 43. It happened that the explodin began indeed. 44. It began to explode a lot indeed. 45. It exploded a lot--pop!; it kept on exploding a lot--pop! 46. It exploded and creaked--pop!; it exploded and creaked--pop!; it exploded and creaked--pop!; it exploded and creaked--pop! 47. The coyote beat the drum again even more as he was sitting there.

- 48. He beat the drum a lot as he was sitting in the bamboo patch.
- 49. Thus was he doing as he was sitting in the mid!le of the bamboo.
- 50. It happened that as he was sitting, he didn't realize what was happening. 51. He was beating the drum hard while he was sitting there. 52. After that he thought that skyrockets were exploding, but it wasn't skyrockets exploding. 53. It was just fire coming around the edge of the bamboo--pop! 54. It happened that the rabbit set fire also to the edge of the bamboo, and all around the edge of the bamboo the rabbit set fire to it also and went away. 55. And then the coyote was sitting in the middle of the bamboo beating the drum as he sat. 56. He beat the drum hard as he sat.

Holienbach, page 49

57. The bamboo kept on excloding and coming closer. 58. He beat the drum more. 59. "When will they arrive?" the coyote wonders as he is sitting there. 60. "When will they arrive?" the coyote wonders. 61. It exploded and exploded and exploded and exploded. 62. The coyote doesn't wonder if fire is coming from the edge of the bamboo there. 63. The coyote thinks that skyrockets are exploding as he sits there. 64. And so he was sitting there, and the fire came along. 65. He burned up indeed. 66. He burned up, and that's how he died indeed. 67. The coyote died in the middle of that bamboo patch.

68. He died as he was lying there.

69. It harrened that the bamboo finished burning, and then the rabbit came back, and, "Wow, you're laughing a lot as you lie there, brother," the rabbit said to the coyote. 70. The burning fire had caused the coyote's mouth to be scorched. 71. As for the coyote's mouth, it was scorched as he was lying there. 72. And so, "The person lying there is already laughing indeed," the rabbit said again right now indeed.

73. That's what the rabbit did. 74. That's just how his story goes. 75. But it's not a new story. 76. It's an old story that has been around since long ago.

Holienbach, page 53

77. His story has been like that forever and ever. 78. The story that we tell to our companions is finished.

4. The following text is the final episode of a trickster series in which the rabbit always wins out over larger, carnivorous, animals. The series agreers to have Old world origins, but is widely distributed throughout Mesoamerica at present. These stories are extremely popular among the Copala Trique, presumably because, as a downtrodden cultural minority, they identify with the rabbit, who triumphs over the oppressors in spite of his weakness and insignificance.

- 1. wee $\frac{4}{3}$ da $\frac{32}{8}$ ne $\frac{3}{8}$ zo $\frac{3}{2}$ / ne $\frac{4}{8}$ ri $\frac{4}{8}$ h $\frac{3}{8}$ yah $\frac{3}{2}$ in $\frac{4}{8}$ $\frac{32}{8}$ // lo thus sits it-an(real) / and came-out coyote also DECL(arative)
- 2. $\sin^3 \tilde{a} h^5 = \sin^{50} \times e^{21} = \sin^4 \sinh^4 / \tan^{52} \times e^{25} = \frac{32}{202} //$ here are-in you brother / says it-an DECL
- 5. $\ln a^3 \ln o^{23} \ln do^{32} \ln a^4 \ln a^{2} \ln e^2 \ln e^3 \ln e^2 \ln a^{2} \ln a^{2}$
- 4. me^{5} se^{4} na^{3} no^{24} zo^{21} mah^{5} di^{4} nu^{21} / tah^{52} du^{5} gu^{4} ya^{52} ri^{5} aa^{52} zo^{25} aa^{52} // which base looked-for you to-me brother / says rabbit face-of it-an DECL
- 5. $da^{32} me^{3} ze^{32} tah^{32} du^{3} gu^{4} ya^{32} a^{32} //$

thus is that says rabbit DECL

- 6. $\rm ne^2/waa^{32} zii^5 nu^2 kwah^{23} ra^4 m\tilde{a}h^5 di^4 nuh^1/tah^{32} zo^3 a^{32}//$ and / there-is he-who strong inside to-me brother / says it-an DaCL
- 7. waa 32 zii 5 nu 2 kwah 23 ra 4 mãh 5 / ne 2 waa 32 zũũ 3 2 there-is be-who strong inside to-me / and there-is responsibility

$$ga^{2}$$
 $gh^{2} ewa^{2}$ $no^{2} di^{4}$ $nuh^{1} / tah^{52} zo^{53} a^{52} //$

will-go-1 right-now brother / says it-an UNCL

8. $waa^{\frac{52}{2}}$ zii⁵ nu kwah²⁵ ra⁴ mãh³ / eah^2 ne wee zo⁹

there-is he-who strong inside to-me brother / but also lo you $na^{3}no^{2}$ u^{3} sa^{4} nuh^{5} di^{4} nuh^{1} / tah^{32} zo^{2} a^{32} //

looked-for much we-excl(usive) brother / says it-an DECt

me 3 $\overset{4}{\text{se}}^4$ 1 3 2 4 2 2 3 4 4 1 2 which base looked-for you to-me brother / says rabbit face-of $z_{ga}^{3} y_{ah}^{32} \underline{a}^{32}$ //

covote DECL

- to. $\sinh^2 \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{ge}^2 \operatorname{ne}^1 \operatorname{u}^3 \sin^4 \operatorname{nuh}^5 \frac{a^2 \operatorname{doh}^2}{2} //2$
- but also many very we-excl EMPH(stic) $\sim cmv$.

 11. gu 2 yãã 2 ri 3 ãã 32 nuh 3 $\frac{a^2}{a^3}$ dõh 2 // same face-of us-excl EMPR
- 12. $gu^2y\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^2 ri^3\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^2 nuh^5 n\tilde{a}h^4 a^4 //$

same face-of us-excl INDEED PERS(uasion)

13. $ne^3 i \frac{2}{n} no^{23} waa^{32} ri \frac{3}{2} a a^{32} 2 o^2 2 o^2 nuh^5 ma 2^4 //$

not different are face-of one one us-excl NEC(ative)

14. $gu^2y\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^2$ waa $\frac{32}{ri}\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{32}$ nih $\frac{3}{di}$ nuh nuh $\frac{5}{n\tilde{a}h}$ $\frac{4}{a}$ //

same are face-of the-pl(ural) having-brother we-excl INDEED PERS

15. $gu^2y\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^2$ $ri^3\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{52}$ nuh^5 $n\tilde{a}h^1$ a^4 //

same face-of us-excl INDEED PERS

16. yo^{2} , o^{2} ri $\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{32}$ me 3 ri $\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{32}$ da 3 r \tilde{a}^{2} nuh 5 n $\tilde{a}h^{1}$ $\frac{4}{a}$ / tah 32 z_{0} , z_{0} one face-of are face-of all us-excl INDEED PERS / says it-an

ri 3 a 32 žga 3 o y a h 32 a 52 //

face-of covote DECL

Com

17. $nu^2 kwah^{23} ndo^3 co^{52} na^3 no^3 coh^3 ma^4 zoc^4 di^4 nuh^4 / tah^5 2 ga^5 cyah^{52}$ strong much looked-for-1 to you brother / says coyote $ri^3 aa^{52} zoc^3 a^{52} //$

face-of it-an DECL

- 18. $d\tilde{a}^{32} = me^3 = ze^{32} = ga^3 = wii^{32} = \tilde{u}\tilde{u}^4 = raa^{31} = du^3 = u^4 = u^3 = u^4 =$
- 19. $d\hat{a}^{32} \text{ me}^3 \text{ ze}^{52} \tanh^{52} \hat{u}\hat{u}^4 \hat{z}^{95} \frac{a^{52}}{a^{52}} \text{ //}$ thus is that says again it-an DECL
- 20. ne^2 / waa³² zii⁵ nu^2 kwah²³ ra⁴ mãh³ a⁴ / tah³² žo⁹ a^{32} //

and / there-is he-who strong inside to-me FERS / says it-an DECL

21. waa 32 zii 5 nu 2 kwah 25 ra 4 mãh 5 ne 2 dâ 32 ae 5 ze 5 ga 2 9ãh 2

there-is he-who strong inside to-me / and thus is that will-go \tilde{guh}^3 ba 3 dri 4 no 4 ga 2 2 $\tilde{a}h^2$ \tilde{guh}^3 ba 3 dri 4 no 4 / tah^3 2 zii 5

will-become-1 sponsor will-go will-become-1 sponsor / says he-who $ah^{32} \, \underline{a^2 d \delta h^2} \, / \, ah^{32} \, \underline{z}{o^2}^3 \, \mathrm{ri}^5 \tilde{a} \tilde{a}^{32} \, \mathrm{ga}^3 \, \mathrm{y} \tilde{a} h^{32} \, \underline{a^{32}} \, / /$

says EMPH / says it-an face-of coyote OECL

22. $na^2ra^2aa^{23}$ zii^5 $na^2ra^2aa^{25}$ / ne^2 ga^2ai^2 $g\tilde{u}h^3$

will-get-married he-who will-get-married / and will-go will-become-1 ba 3 dri 4 no 4 / tah 32 ?o 2 zii 5 tah 32 a^2 dõh 2 / tah 32 du 3 gu 4 ya 32 ri 3 ãã 32 1

sponsor / says one he-who says EMPH / says rabbit face-of ${\tt ga}^3{\tt 2y\tilde{a}h}^{32}~{\tt a}^{32}$ //

covote DECL

23. $\operatorname{ga}^{2} \operatorname{\tilde{a}h}^{2} \operatorname{ni}^{9} \frac{\operatorname{a}^{4}}{\operatorname{a}^{4}} //$

will-go we-incl PERS

24. $ga^2 ran^2 ni^{-4} \frac{zih^{52}}{zih^{52}} / tah^{52} du^3 gu^4 ya^{52} ri^3 aa^{52} ga^3 ranh^{32} aa^{52} //$ will-go we-incl EMPH / says rabbit face-of coyote DECL

25. $\operatorname{ga}^2 \circ \operatorname{ah}^2 \operatorname{ni}^2 / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{ga}^2 \operatorname{ne}^4 \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{ni}^3 \operatorname{ah}^5 / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{ga}^2 \operatorname{o}^{-1} \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{ya}^5 \operatorname{nuh}^{25} / \operatorname{will-go} \operatorname{we-incl} / \operatorname{and} \operatorname{will-sit} \operatorname{you} \operatorname{here} / \operatorname{and} \operatorname{will-hit} \operatorname{you} \operatorname{drum} / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{re}^2 \operatorname{keh}^2 \operatorname{ya}^5 \operatorname{nuh}^{25} \operatorname{oa}^4 \operatorname{zo}^{-1} / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{re}^2 \operatorname{keh}^2 \operatorname{ya}^5 \circ \operatorname{ah}^5 \operatorname{ma}^4 \operatorname{zo}^{-1} / \operatorname{ne}^2$ and will-give-I drum to you / and will-give-I violin to you / and $\operatorname{da}^3 \operatorname{koo}^5 \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{ro}^{-3} / \operatorname{ga}^2 \operatorname{oa}^{-1} \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{ya}^5 \operatorname{nuh}^{25} / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{ra}^5 \circ \operatorname{a}^4 \operatorname{zo}^{-5} \operatorname{ro}^{-3} / \operatorname{foot-of} \operatorname{vou} \operatorname{Toric} / \operatorname{will-hit} \operatorname{you} \operatorname{drum} / \operatorname{and} \operatorname{hand-of} \operatorname{you} \operatorname{Toric} / \operatorname{ca}^2 \operatorname{oa}^{-1} \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{ya}^5 \circ \operatorname{ah}^5 / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{dah}^{25} \operatorname{gi}^2 \operatorname{yoa}^5 \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{ga}^2 \operatorname{ne}^4 \operatorname{zo}^{-1} \operatorname{reh}^{52}$ will-hit you violin / and thus will-do you will-sit you place $\operatorname{ni}^3 \operatorname{ah}^5 / \operatorname{ne}^2 \operatorname{nu}^2 \operatorname{kwah}^{25} \operatorname{u}^3 \operatorname{sa}^4 \operatorname{ra}^4 \operatorname{so}^2 \operatorname{du}^3 \operatorname{wih}^5 \operatorname{ah}^5 / \operatorname{ne}^4 \operatorname{sa}^2 \operatorname{sa}^2 \operatorname{ah}^2$ here / and strong very inside one co. nion-of-me to-me / and will-go $\operatorname{uh}^5 \operatorname{in}^5 \operatorname{in}^4 \operatorname{oa}^4 / \operatorname{tah}^{52} \operatorname{zo}^{25} \operatorname{a}^2 \operatorname{doh}^7 / \operatorname{tah}^{32} \operatorname{du}^* \operatorname{uh}^4 \operatorname{ya}^{32} \operatorname{ri}^5 \operatorname{ah}^{32}$ will-become-1 snonsor / says he EMPA / says rabilit face-of $\operatorname{ga}^3 \operatorname{sa}^3 \operatorname{ah}^{34} \operatorname{ah}^{32} / \operatorname{ah}^{32} / \operatorname{ah}^{32} \operatorname{ah}^{32} / \operatorname{ah}^{32} /$

- 26. $a^3 m\tilde{a}^4 ra^4 \tilde{u}\tilde{u}^4 ga^3 \gamma \tilde{a}\tilde{h}^{52} yo^5 \frac{a^{52}}{4} //$ arrives inside again coyote that DECL
- 27. $\text{ma}^2\text{?wee}^{23} = \frac{\text{a}^4}{\text{tah}^{32}} = \frac{\text{yo}^3}{\text{zo}^2} = \frac{\text{a}^{32}}{\text{will-be-mossible-it PERS}} = \frac{\text{a}^{32}}{\text{says it-an out}}$
- 28. gi⁰da⁵aa⁵² žo⁵ ya⁵añ⁵ <u>a⁵²</u> //
- 29. gi³da³°aa³² zo°³ ya³nuh²³ <u>a³² //</u>
- 30. gu ne 3 du 3 ru 4 ya 3 2 mã 3 Zo 3 da 4 nuu 2 ri 3 aa 3 2 <u>nãh 1 a 4 // sat rabbit to it-an middle-of bamboo 1502.00 . CAS </u>
- 31. $\sin^2 \tilde{a} h^3 = e^4 = z \sigma^2^{-1} / \ln e^2 = \pi i \frac{3}{2} h^3 = r \sigma^2 3 / \frac{23}{2} \tilde{a} \frac{3}{2} \tilde{a} h^{32} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \tilde{a} h^{32} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \tilde{a} h^{32} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \tilde{a} h^{32} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}$

TYJEED PERS

32. $\sin^3 \tilde{a} h^5 = g \tilde{u} \tilde{u}^{23} = z a^5 a \tilde{a} h^{32} = n a^2 k c a^{23} = u^3 s a^4 = g w a^2 n a^2 = \frac{32}{4} / t a h^{32} = d u^5 g u^4 y a^{32}$ here will-come-to-be fiesta big very right-now DECL / says rabbit $ri^5 \tilde{a} \tilde{a}^{32} = g a^5 a^5 y \tilde{a} h^{32} = \frac{32}{4} / /$

face-of coyote DECL

- 35. $gu^{3}ne^{23} \frac{du^{3}gu^{4}va^{52}}{du^{3}gu^{4}va^{52}} \frac{ma^{5}}{ga^{5}}va^{52} \frac{da^{4}nuu^{2}}{da^{4}nuu^{2}} ri^{5}aa^{52} no^{2}goo^{23} \frac{a^{52}}{a^{52}}$ sat rabbit to coyote middle-of bamboo abundant DECL
- 34. $da^4nuu^2 ri^3aa^{32} no^2koo^{23} ndo^3co^{32} me^3 / ne^2 ri^3aa^{32} nah^3 a^4ni^2kah^1 / middle-of hamboo abundant very is / and bamboo lies turns / <math>ne^2 da^4nuu^2 ri^3aa^{32} oe^3 ga^2nuu^{32} \sum_{za}^{3} a_{z}^{2} h^{32} / tah^{32} du^3gu^4ya^{32}$ and middle-of hamboo is will-take-place fiesta / says rebait $ri^3 \tilde{a} \tilde{a}^{32} ma^3 cyah^{32} a^{32} //$

face-of coyote beck

- 35. ga^2 wee²⁵ / tah^{52} zo^{5} a^{52} // will-be-possible-it / says it-an DECL
- 36. $ne^{\frac{5}{2}}$ $zo^{\frac{3}{2}}$ $na^{\frac{4}{2}}$ wih $\frac{1}{2}$ $zo^{\frac{3}{2}}$ $\frac{32}{4}$ //

sits it-an waits it-an DECL

- 37. a^4za^2 a^5nuu^{31} we^4te^4 yah^3 nuh^5 / ne^2 doh^5 a^1 ga^2o^{21} when-future exploded skyrocket cause we-excl / and more even will-hit zo^{21} ya^5nuh^{23} a^4 / tah^{52} $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^4$ $du^5gu^4ya^{32}$ $ri^3\tilde{a}\tilde{a}^{52}$ $ga^3y\tilde{a}h^{52}$ yo^{23} a^{52} // you drum PERS / says again rabbit face-of coyote that DECL
- 38. $ni^{3}\tilde{c}\tilde{u}^{2}$ $ni^{3}\tilde{c}\tilde{u}^{2}$ $2na^{3}$ $we^{4}te^{4}$ $a^{3}nuu^{31}$ / $ndaa^{23}$ $ni^{3}\tilde{c}\tilde{u}^{2}$ are reaches at pronches comes sayrocket explodes / until approaches $ni^{5}\tilde{c}\tilde{u}^{2}$ $a^{3}nuu^{31}$ $ni^{3}\tilde{c}\tilde{u}^{2}$ $a^{3}nuu^{31}$ $we^{4}te^{4}$ / ga^{2} ne^{2} doh^{3}

approaches explodes approaches explodes skyrocket / when also more

al ga 2 ool zool ya 3 ouh 25 / ne 2 ze 2 ga 3 zu 3 owio 4 zool / ne 2 even will-hit you drum / and future-not — was-afraid you / and doh 3 al ga 2 ool zool ya 3 ouh 25 e 3 ze 5 2 ouh 5 0 onaol yool gwa 2 no 2 more even will-hit you drum because we-excl come there right-now $\frac{a^2}{doh}^2$ / tah 32 du 3 gu 4 ya 32 ri 3 ãã 3 2 ga 3 2yãh 3 2 $\frac{a^3}{doh}^2$ / EMPH / says rabbit foce-of coyote GECL

- 39. ga^{2} wee²³ / tah^{32} $\frac{1}{2}$ a^{32} // will-be-possible-it / says it-an agent
- 41. $\operatorname{d\widetilde{i}h}^{5}$ waa $\frac{32}{4}$ $\frac{32}{4}$ //
- 42. $\tilde{\mathfrak{gah}}^{32} / \tilde{\mathfrak{gah}}^{32} / \tilde{\mathfrak{gah}}^{32} //$ $\operatorname{pop} / \operatorname{pop} / \operatorname{pop}$
- 44. $\tilde{guu}^3 \tilde{z} e^2 e^1 \tilde{gu}^3 nuu^{31} ndo^3 e^3 n\tilde{u}h^1 a^4 //$ became based exploded much INDEED PERS
- 45. $ru^4wa^{32}ga^3nuu^{31}g\tilde{a}h^{32}/u^3\tilde{s}a^4ga^3nuu^{31}ga^3nuu^{31}g\tilde{a}h^{32}//$ much exploded pop / much exploded exploded pop
- 46. a^3 nuu 51 a^4 soh 1 gah 52 / a^3 nuu 51 a^4 soh 1 gah 32 / a^3 nuu 51 ndo 3 a^{52} explodes creaks pop / explodes much gah 32 a^{32} //
- 47. $doh^3 a^1 na^3 ga^3 o^{2} ga^5 \gamma \tilde{a}h^{32} yo^2 ya^5 nuh^{23} ne^3 zo^3 a^{32} //$ more even hit-again coyote that drum sits it-an DECL

- 48. $a^3 \circ 2^3 \circ 3^2 \circ 3^2 \circ 2^3 \circ 2^3 \circ 3^3 \circ 10^4 \circ 10^5 \circ 10^$
- 49. dah^{23} eyah³ zo^2 ne³ zo^3 da 4_{nuu}^2 ri ad $\frac{5}{a}$ $\frac{32}{a}$ //
- 50. $d\tilde{a}^{32}$ me³ ze³² ne³ zo² ne³ zkah² zo² swe⁴ nda⁴ $\frac{4}{100}$ //
- 51. $a^{3}o^{2}$ $ado^{3}c^{3}$ $a^{3}c^{3}$ $a^{3}c^{3}$ $a^{3}c^{4}$ a^{4} a^{4} a^{5} a^{5} a^{3} //

hits much it-an drum sits it-an DECL

52. $yo^{3} ga^{2} ne^{2} we^{4} te^{4} a^{5} nuu^{31} ra^{4} zo^{3} / kah^{2} ne^{2} ne^{3}$ that when also sayrocket extlodes thinks it-an / but also not $we^{2} te^{4} a^{5} nuu^{31} ma^{2} a^{4} //$

skyrocket explodes NEG

- 75. do^{2} ya $\frac{5}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{4}{2}$ $\frac{4}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ // just fire comes turns mouth-of bamboo hop JECL
- 54. $d\widetilde{a}^{32}$ me³ ze³² ga³o³ $\widetilde{u}\widetilde{u}^4$ du³gu⁴ya³² yo³ ya³o³ \widetilde{a}^{32} du³owa³ ri³aa⁵² / thus is that hit again rabbit that fire mouth-of bamboo / ne² a⁴ni²kab¹ du³owa³ ri³aa³² za³oo³ $\widetilde{u}\widetilde{u}^4$ du³gu⁴ya³² ya³o³ \widetilde{a}^{32} and turns mouth-of bamboo hit again rabbit fire ga³o³h³² \widetilde{z} oo³ a³² //

went it-an DECL

55. ga^2 ne^2 ne^3 ga^3 $\text{y}\tilde{\text{a}}\tilde{\text{h}}^{32}$ da^4 nu^2 ri^3 aa^3 a^{52} a^5 o^2 ya^5 nuh^{23} ne^3 when also sits coyote middle-of bamboo hits it-an drum sits zo^{23} a^{32} //

it-an DECL

56. $a^{3}o^{2^{3}}ndo^{3}o^{52} \overset{\checkmark}{zo}^{3} \overset{\checkmark}{ya}^{5}nuh^{23}$ nce $\frac{a^{31}}{a^{21}}$ //

- 57. $a^{3}_{nuu}^{31}_{ri}^{3}_{aa}^{52}_{aa}^{5}_{nuu}^{31}_{ri}^{3}_{aa}^{32}_{aaa}^{2naa}^{3}_{naa}^{32}$ //
 extlodes bamboo explodes bamboo comes biCL
- 58. $doh^{5} = \frac{3}{6} e^{3} \frac{v}{20} e^{3} ya^{5} nuh^{23} \frac{a^{32}}{20} //$

more hits it-an drum DECL

59. $\text{me}^5 \text{ o}^4 \text{ra}^4 \text{ gi}^2 \text{zih}^2 \text{ nih}^3 \text{ zo}^3 \text{ ga}^2 / \text{ra}^4$ which hour will-arrive the-plural Le [NTERR(ogative) / toinks $\text{cm}^5 \text{ syah}^{32} \text{ ne}^3 \text{ Zo}^5 \text{ a}^{32} \text{ //}$

coyote sits it-an DECL

60. $me^{5} o^{4}ra^{4} gi^{2}zih^{2} nih^{5} zo^{2} \underline{ga^{2}} / ra^{4} ga^{5} y\tilde{a}h^{32} \underline{a^{32}} /$

which hour will-arrive the-plural he INTER: / thinks coyote DECL

61. a_{nuu}^{5} 31 a_{nuu}^{5} 31 a_{nuu}^{5} 31 a_{nuu}^{5} 31 a_{nuu}^{5} 32 //

explodes explodes explodes that boots

62. $ne^3 ro^4 ga^3 ry\tilde{a}h^{32} ze^3 ze^{52} ya^5 r\tilde{a}^{52} ran^5 reh^{52} du^5 rwa^5 ri^5 aa^{32}$ not thinks coyote if fire comes place mouth-of bamboo $yo^{23} ma^{24}$ //

that NEG

63. we te $\frac{4}{100}$ a nuu $\frac{31}{100}$ ra $\frac{4}{100}$ ga $\frac{3}{100}$ yãh $\frac{32}{100}$ ne $\frac{3}{100}$ zo $\frac{32}{100}$ //

skyrocket explodes thinks coyote sits it-an DECL

64. wee $\frac{4}{6}$ da $\frac{32}{6}$ ne $\frac{3}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$ / ne $\frac{2}{6}$ gu $\frac{4}{6}$ ri $\frac{2}{6}$ h $\frac{1}{6}$ yu $\frac{3}{2}$ a $\frac{32}{6}$ //

lo thus sits it-an / and came-out fire DECL

65. $\operatorname{ga}^{3}\operatorname{kaa}^{32}\operatorname{nu}^{21}\overset{\star}{\operatorname{zo}^{3}}\underbrace{\operatorname{n}\tilde{\operatorname{a}}\operatorname{h}^{1}}\underbrace{\operatorname{a}^{4}}//$

burned completely it-an INDEED PERS

- 66. ga 3 kaa 32 nu 2 2 20 3 / ne 2 wee 4 dah 2 3 ga 3 wi 3 20 3 \underline{n} ah 1 \underline{a} 4 // burned completely it-an / and lo thus died it-an INDEED PERS
- 67. $ga^{3}wi^{2}ga^{3}y\tilde{a}h^{32}yo^{3}da^{4}nuu^{2}ri^{3}aa^{32}yo^{3}\underline{a^{32}}//$

died coyote that middle-of bamboo that DECL

- 68. ga 3 wi 2 3 zo 3 nah 3 zo 3 a^{32} //
- 69. $d\tilde{a}^{52}$ me³ ze⁵² ga⁵ve⁴ ga⁵kaa⁵² ri³aa⁵² yo³ / ga² ne² ma² $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^{4}$ thus is that passed burned bamboo that / when also comes again $du^{5}gu^{4}ya^{52}$ yo² / ne² / $u^{4}ta^{32}$ / a^{5} nga² $u^{5}ya^{4}$ zo² naa⁵ zo² rabbit that / and / wow / laugh much you lie you $di^{4}nuh^{1}$ / tah^{52} $du^{5}u^{4}ya^{52}$ ri⁵aã⁵² ga⁵yãh⁵² yo² a^{52} // brother / says rabbit face-of coyote that DECL
- 70. \tan^5 na \cos^5 \tan^3 \sin^3 \cos^3 \cos^3
- 71. $du^{5}vu^{5} ga^{5}v\tilde{a}h^{32} yo^{9}$ / $ne^{2} tau^{5} na^{3}k\tilde{x}\tilde{x}^{31} du^{5}vu^{5} ra^{5}v\tilde{a}h^{32}$ month-of conote that / and is-on-to- is-scorched mouth-of conote $vah^{5} zo^{5} a^{32}$ //
- 72. wee 4 dã 32 ne 2 / ah 1 a 5 2nwae 3 zii 5 nah 3 yoe 3 nãh 1 a 4 / tah 52 lo thus and / already laughs be-who lies there INDEED PERS / says $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^4$ du 3 gu 4 ya 32 yoe 3 gwa 2 no 2 $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}^4$ nãh 1 a 4 // again rabbit that right-now also INDEED PERS
- 75. $dah^{23} gi^{5} gah^{5} du^{3} gu^{4} ya^{52} yo^{5} \frac{a^{52}}{a} //$ thus did rabbit that θECL
- 74. $dah^{23} waa^{32} i^2 n\tilde{a}h^2 ze^{32} gwe^2 ndo^4 zo^5 a^{32} //$ thus is just possessed story it-an DECL
- 76. $gwe^4 ndo^4 nga^{23} nah^{23} a^2 zih^2 naa^4 me^3 yo^3 a^{32}$ //
 story old lies since long-ago is that DECL

- 77. $dah^{23} waa^{32} ze^{32} gwe^2 ndo^4 zo^3 nu^2 ga^3 wii^{32} nu^2$ thus is pos-essed story it-an completely went-out completely $a^3 na^{23} a^4$ //
- 78. $gi^{3}na^{3}wih^{3}gwe^{4}ndo^{4}na^{5}no^{4}ni^{2}^{4}ri^{5}aa^{32}du^{5}wi^{5}ni^{2}a^{32}$ //
 finished story tell we-incl face-of co-panion-of us-incl DECL

came PERS

There are some exceptions to this generalization. A sentence may end with a vocative, rather than a particle. Some particles precede vocatives, xxxx others are optionally omitted before vocatives, and others, like $\frac{32}{4}$, never precede vocatives. A sentence may also end with an onomatoroeic word, rather than a particle. The text in section 4. has examples of oth kinds of exceptions. The embedded auotation in sentences 2, 6, and 17 and in a vocative, and septences 42, 45, and 46 and in an onomatoroeic form.

It is of interest that in a language with virtually no intonation, the samilies in the data the first discount has a relatively nigh pitch, and the milies of the language is carallel to the intonation of term found on alternative questions in English and many other languages.

7 First person plured inclusive forms include both first and second person referents. Recause second person is included, I have closen to consider inclusive forms together with second person forms as imperative.

The particle $\frac{ma^2}{}^4$ so etimes occurs in sectences that do not have the negative particles $\frac{nc^3}{}$ or $\frac{ze^2}{}$. There are a few verbs, for example, $\frac{tah^{32}}{}$ there isn't', whose meaning is inherently negative, that cooccur with $\frac{ma^2}{}^4$.

- It is also common to use the unfused forms, $\frac{1}{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{4}{2} \frac{20^2}{1}$ or $\frac{1}{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{4}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ parenthetically within sentences, where it functions either as a request for corroboration, or as a hesitation marker when the speaker cannot think of what to say next.
- if the theory of conversations were understood as well as the syntax of modes, it might prove to be the case that the choice of particle is always fairly tightly constrained, rather than freely chosen.
- It is not entirely clear to me why tach and marnish should have chosen to rosit separate illocationary acts of requiring and to distinguish between positive-negative counterparts, such as cositive and negative requests or permissives. Furthermore, positive-negative polarity does not seem relevant to most constative and commissive acts. Ferhaps the desire to recognize the three-way distinction among requiring, permitting, and prohibiting was a factor in this decision.
- In my work on this paper I have examined seven folklore texts beside the one in section 4. Of the approximately forty requests or of oder 1,000 secteral commands in this cornus, not one is phrased indirectly via a question. Searle's list of questions conventionally used to renform indirect directives (1979:36-39), if translated into Copala Trique, would fall totally flat as directives. They would be taken at face value as questions, or at test, be figured out inferentially.

Manuel Camilo damirez Santiago, a monolingual speaker of Copala Trique from San Miguel Copala, Putla, Daxaca, Mexico, recorded this folktale on magnetic tape on 7 October 1972. At that time he was about twenty-eight years, old and had had little or no schooling. The version that appears here was edited with the help of Juan López Meripo and Pablo Comírez Flores, bilingual speakers of Copala Trique from Sabada, Juxtlahuaca, Jaxaca.

Pecause this folktale is the concluding emisode of a series told to simple sitting, it tacks the usual introductory features of a full discourse, and the rabbit is referred to by a pronoun in the first sentence. These circumstances also exclain the concluding sentences 73-78, which sum up, not just this emisode, but the whole series.

At the end of sentence 4 the stage is set for the rabbit's speech about all rabbits looking alike that is found in sentences 9-16. The narrator apparently forgets where we is, nowever, and in sentences 5-8 he starts to tell the coyote how he needs his well for a wedding fiesta. At this point he realizes his mistake, gives the rabbit's speech, and resumes the wedding story at sentence 17.

REFERENCES

Austin, J.L.

1962 <u>Now to Do Things with words</u>. Combridge, Mass.: Marvard University Press.

Bach, K. and R. D. Harnish

1979 <u>Linguistic Communication and Speach Acts.</u> Cambridge, Mass.: The MIT Press.

Hollenback, o.C.

- 1976 "Tense-Agation interplay in Copala irique," <u>Inter-optional Journal of American Linguistics</u> 42:126-52.
- 1977 "Phonetic vs. Phonemic Correspondence in Two Trique Dialects," pp. 35-67 in 4.8. Merrifield, ed., Studies in Otomanguean Phonology. Dallas: Summer Institute of Linguistics and University of Texas at Arlington.

Searle, J.

- 1969 Speech Acts. Combridge, angland: Cambridge University Press.
- 1979 Extression and Meaning. Cambridge, Eagland: Cambridge University Press.

REFERENCES

Austin, J.L.

1962 <u>Now to Do Things with Words</u>. Combridge, bass.: imrvard University Press.

Bach, K. and R. H. Harnish

1979 <u>Linquistic Communication and Specca Acts.</u> Cambridge,

Mass.: The AIT Press.

bollenbaca, b.E.

- 1976 "Tense-Acgution interplay in Copala frique," <u>Inter-</u> actional Journal of American Linguistics 42:120-52.
- 1977 "Phonetic vs. Phonemic Correspondence in Two Trique Dialects," pp. 35-67 in 4.R. Merrifield, ed., Studies in Ctomanguean Phonology. Gallas: Summer Institute of Linguistics and University of Texas at Arlington.

Searle, J.

- 1969 Speech acts. Combridge, England: Cambridge University
 Press.
- 1979 Extression and Meaning. Cambridge, England: Cambridge
 University Press.