

TOWARD A GENERATIVE GRAMMAR OF BLACKFOOT

*WITH PARTICULAR ATTENTION
TO SELECTED STEM
FORMATION PROCESSES*

SUMMER INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS PUBLICATIONS
IN
LINGUISTICS AND RELATED FIELDS

PUBLICATION NUMBER 34

EDITOR

Irvine Davis

ASSISTANT EDITORS

Alan C. Wares

Iris M. Wares

CONSULTING EDITORS

Doris Bartholomew

Eugene Loos

Robert E. Longacre

William R. Merrifield

Kenneth L. Pike

PUBLISHER

Benjamin F. Elson

**TOWARD A GENERATIVE GRAMMAR OF BLACKFOOT
(WITH PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO SELECTED
STEM FORMATION PROCESSES)**

by

Donald G. Frantz

**A Publication of the
SUMMER INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS
OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA
Norman**

● Summar Institute of Linguistics, Inc. 1971
noviembre, 1971 primera edición
Este adición consta en 550 ejemplaras
Derechos reservados
por el
Instituto Lingüístico de Verano, A.C.
Hidelo 166, Tlalpan, México 22, D.F.
Impreso en México Printed in Mexico
5.5C 1-050

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
0.1. Purposes	1
0.2. Overview	2
0.3. Notes on Transcription and Phonology	3
0.3.1. Symbols Used	3
0.3.2. Phonological Processes	6
0.4. Abbreviations and Conventions	13
1. SKETCH OF SURFACE STRUCTURE	17
1.1. Surface Syntactic Categories and Agreement	17
1.2. Noun Inflection	21
1.3. Possessive Affixes.	23
1.4. Aspect	24
1.5. Orders and Modes	25
1.5.1. Imperative	26
1.5.2. Independent	26
1.5.3. Conjunct	26
1.5.4. Subjunctive	29
1.5.5. Unreal	30
1.6. Demonstratives	31
2. PARTIAL GRAMMAR	33
2.1. Development.	33
2.2. Actorless Verbs.	39
2.2.1. Meteorological	40
2.2.2. Transitive Without Actor	40
2.3. Obviation	41
2.3.1. Personal 'Pronouns' and Obviation	42
3. STEM FORMATION PROCESSES	45
3.1. Transitivity.	45
3.2. Purposefulness	50
3.3. Coreferentiality Transformations	52
3.3.1. Deletion	52
3.3.2. Reflexives	53
3.3.3. Reciprocals	54
3.R. Remarks on Transformation Ordering	55
3.4. Benefactives	56
3.4.R. Remarks on Transformations as 'Filters'.	60
3.5. Causatives	62
3.5.1. Instrumentals	62
3.5.2. Non-Instigative Cause	63
3.5.3. Instigative Cause	65
3.6. Comitatives	68
3.7. Possessor Elevation and Noun Incorporation	72

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

4. TOWARD A LEXICO-SEMANTIC THEORY	77
4.1. Surface Function vs. Underlying Role	77
4.1.1. Surface Structure Revision	77
4.1.2. Roles	78
4.1.3. The Semantic Range of Mwĩ:xt.	80
4.2. Generalized Predicate Grammar	89
4.3. Proposition Linkage Constraints	90
4.3.1. Positive Conditions	90
4.3.2. Negative Conditions	92
4.4. The Generality of Proposition Consolidation	96
4.4.1. For Benefactive, Instigative Cause, and Comitative	98
4.4.2. For Preverbs and Attributive Roots	103
4.4.3. Noun Incorporation Reconsidered	110
4.5. Predicate vs. Lexical Formative	112
4.5.1. Formative Insertion	115
4.5.2. Lexical Transformations	118
4.5.3. 'Purposefulness' as a Predicate	121
4.6. Variables and Reference	122
4.6.1. Further Model Revision	122
4.6.2. Illustrative Derivations	128
4.6.3. Rule Summary	137
APPENDIX: VERB PARADIGMS	141
REFERENCES	149

Acknowledgements

Obviously, this thesis¹ would not have been possible without the primary linguistic data provided by a large number of Blackfoot Indians in Alberta and Montana from December 1960 to the present. There were too many of these willing helpers to mention them all by name, but I am very grateful to each one and to the entire Blackfoot Indian Band in Alberta for the initial and continuing hospitality to me and my family. There are several individuals who gave such extensive amounts of their time that they cannot go unnamed. Irene Butterfly, in Montana, treated me as her own son and is probably the best informant one could ask for. Rosie (Misinsksiaaki) Ayoungman of the Blackfoot Reserve is a model of patience and generosity. Others of the Blackfoot Reserve who helped extensively are my good friends Matthew Many Guns, Floyd Royal, Frances Running Rabbit, Francis and Bona Blackkettle, and Mike Kipp Peacemaker. (It should be noted that most of these gave of their time, without financial reimbursement, in response to my promise that it would contribute to the long-range goal of translation of part of the New Testament into Blackfoot.)

The single non-Indian individual who has contributed most to my knowledge of Blackfoot is Allan R. Taylor. He has continually and unhesitatingly shared with me his own findings, which were the result of field work and data organization that put mine to shame. The completion of his PhD dissertation, containing a seemingly un-depletable wealth of information on Blackfoot phonology, morphology, and morphophonemics, allowed me to improve portions of this thesis greatly.

I have also benefitted a great deal from discussions about Blackfoot with my colleague in the Summer Institute of Linguistics, Gregory Thomson.

Grateful acknowledgement goes to the Province of Alberta for a graduate fellowship during my last year of residence at the University of Alberta.

I am especially grateful to those individuals who, in the interest of the long-range goal of Bible translation, have contributed financially and otherwise to research that resulted in this thesis.

Deserving a large share of credit for the existence of this thesis is my wife Patricia. Besides typing her way through three drafts of this tome, a task that looms large in our memories right now, she has repeatedly boosted her husband's typically male ego, poured encouragement, affection, and food into the same bottomless pit, and kept the entire family of five organized, fed, and clean, to boot.

Another individual who must be mentioned is Joseph Grimes, for his encouragement and inspiration for several years, as well as for a good share of the ideas expressed in the last chapter of the thesis; in addition, he sent me valuable comments after reading excerpts from that chapter.

¹ [Originally submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of the University of Alberta in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Spring, 1970.]

Acknowledgements

Kenneth L. Pike has indirectly contributed to this thesis by his contagious interest in Language, and his mature perspective on scholastic achievement, not to mention his emphasis on discourse-oriented linguistic models long before discourse was granted status as a valid domain for linguistic theory.

Ernest Reinhold, William Klatte, and Ronald Wardhaugh were of great encouragement to me during my first year of graduate work at Edmonton; furthermore, the latter two first interested me in transformational grammar.

To Gary Prideaux, my thesis supervisor, I am of course greatly indebted. Without his guidance and constructive criticism, the worth and intelligibility of this thesis would have suffered greatly.

I also wish to thank the other members of my committee, especially Dr. Mary Haas. My debt to Miss Haas begins back in my undergraduate days at Berkeley, where she instilled in me an interest in American Indian languages.

Finally, I can not honestly limit these acknowledgements to finite persons. Were it not for that One who temporarily took on the restraints of time and space 2000 years ago and now gives direction and purpose to my life, this thesis and the degree toward which it applies would just be a high spot on the road to nowhere. To Jesus Christ, in the last analysis, belongs the credit for all that was acknowledged above (despite the fact that some individuals whom He used would be quite shocked to learn of it).