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## 1 Introduction

Caning, or Shatt, is a Nilo-Saharan, Eastern Sudanic language of the Nuba Mountains in Sudan. It is closely related to Daju and Logorik. Caning is endangered in that only 30,000 people speak the language, and many indigenous languages of the region have undergone significant language shift to Arabic.

Little has been written about Caning. However, Boyeldieu describes what he calls 'modified forms' of Caning nouns. He writes about them in their morpho-syntactic context, and compares them with equivalent forms in Daju and Logorik. This paper discusses the morphological alternations of these modified forms and determines the underlying form of word-final plosives.

The data for this paper were collected during a grammar/orthography training workshop in August in Yida, South Sudan. About 650 nouns were collected among other words.

In Caning, all word-final plosives surface as voiceless and unreleased. This in itself is not evidence for underlying word-final voiceless plosives, because at least one Eastern Sudanic language has voiceless unreleased word-final plosives that are underlyingly voiced. Gaahmg is analyzed to have devoiced unreleased dental plosives that are underlyingly voiced in word-final position.

In Caning, when morphemes follow stem-final plosives, the plosives surface as either voiced or voiceless, depending on the morpheme. In (1), the same morphemes follow two different nouns. In 'stupid person' there is sometimes a voicing alternation for the root-final plosive, but not in 'house' for the root-final fricative.
(1)

| Word-final $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ ' and x |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [a6at/d'] | 'stupid person' | [ax] | 'house' |
| [a6adij] | 'stupid people' | [axin] | 'houses' |
| [a6atani] | 'there is a stupid person' | [axani] | 'there is a house' |
| [a6atanay] | 'this is a stupid person' | [axanay] | 'this is a house' |
| [a6adəy] | 'this stupid person' | [axэy] | 'this house' |
| [a6adəma] | 'his stupid person' | [axəma] | 'his house' |

In addition, a root-final plosive does not alternate the same way in all nouns before these morphemes. The root-final plostive of 'stupid person' is [d] before some morphemes and [t] before others, but in 'bowl' alway surfaces as [t].
(2)

| Word-final t/d' |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [a6at/d'] | 'stupid person' | [sat/d'] | 'bowl' |
| [a6adin] | 'stupid people' | [satu] | 'bowls' |
| [a6atani] | 'there is a stupid person' | [satani] | 'there is a bowl' |
| [a6atanay] | 'this is a stupid person' | [satanay] | 'this is a bowl' |
| [a6adכy] | 'this stupid person' | [satכy] | 'this bowl' |
| [a6adəma] | 'his stupid person' | [satəma] | 'his bowl' |

So the question to be answered in this paper, using other evidence, is "Are word-final plosives underlying voiced, voiceless or either?" My answer will be that there is sufficient evidence to claim that all word-final plosives are underlying voiceless. We begin with consonant phonology, including the main evidence in support of underlying word-final voiceless plosives. Later, we'll return to the data of (2) and propose underlying forms that result in their surface forms. Throughout the presentation, all data is
written in the proposed underlying form unless in brackets which indicate the surface form. You will notice there are no tone markings. That's because Caning is a stress language, rather than a tone language. In Caning, stress is contrastive, but there are few if any stress minal pairs, and I have not marked stress in the data.

The 23 consonant phonemes of Table 1 are found in Caning. Note that there are both voiced and voiceless alveolar and velar plosives, but there are only voiceless labial and palatal plosives. Also note that the phonetically voiced alveolar flap can be analyzed as a voiceless approximant, since it functions as a voiceless consonant in roots and across morpheme boundaries.

Table 1: Consonant phonemes

|  | Labial | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Voiceless plosives | p | t | c | k |
| Voiced plosives |  | d |  | g |
| Implosives | b | d | f |  |
| Prenasalized plosives |  | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n}}$ |  | $^{\textrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ |
| Voiceless fricatives | f | s |  | x |
| Voiced fricative |  | z |  |  |
| Nasals | m | n | n | y |
| Voiceless approximant |  | r |  |  |
| Voiced approximants | w | l | $\mathrm{y}^{1}$ |  |

Now we look at the main evidence for word-final voiceless plosives. First we need to determine if the initial plosive of the plural suffix in (3) is voiced or voiceless. That way, we know how the morphological environment is affecting the root-final plosives.

The plosive of the suffix is voiced when attached to root-final voiced consonants such as in (a), or when attached to vowels such as in (b). However, the plosive of the suffix is voiceless when attached to root-final voiceless consonants such as in (c), and also when attached to root-final/r/ as in (d). So, we can assume the plural suffix is underlying $-t a$ and not $-d a$, since it assimilates in voice to the preceeding segment, with the exception of $/ \mathrm{r} /$. If the suffix were underlying - $d a$, it would not likely change to [ta] following $/ \mathrm{r} /$, since this would be dissimalation. So, it is reasonable to assume that the initial segment of the plural suffix is underlyingly voiceless and not voiced.

Now we determine whether the root-final plosives of (f) and (g) are underlying voiced or voiceless. We compare these root-final plosives with other root-final consonants that are unambiguously voiced or voiceless in the underlying form. We would expect underlying root-final voiced plosives to surface as voiced, because the root-final $/ \mathrm{l} /$ in (a) and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in (d) both surface as voiced. On the other hand, we would expect underlying root-final voiceless plosives to surface as voiceless, because the root-final /c/ in (c) surfaces as voiceless. We know the root-final /c/ must be underlyingly voiceless, because there is no phonemic voiced palatal plosive. We find that the root-final plosives in ( f ) and ( g ) surface as voiceless, just as the unambiguous voiceless plosive of (c). So, we can claim that root-final plosives are underlying voiceless.

The k-t sequence of $(\mathrm{g})$ is heard in slow speech. However, at normal speed, the root-final $/ \mathrm{k} /$ assimilates to the suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{t} /$, and the resulting t -t sequence then becomes degeminate. The t -t sequence of ( f ) also becomes degeminate. We'll discuss these assimilation and degeminate processes further in a few minutes.

[^0](3) Evidence for underlying root-final voiceless plosives before plural suffix -ta

|  | Root-final | Singular | Plural |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (a) | $\mathbf{1}$ | kal | kal-ta | [kal-da] | 'room, hut' |
| (b) | $\mathbf{a}$ | kada | kada-ta | [kada-da] | 'fishing net' |
| (c) | $\mathbf{c}$ | rac | rac-ta | [rac-ta] | 'trick, deception' |
| (d) | $\mathbf{r}$ | cə6ur | cə6ur-ta | [cə6ur-ta] | 'mountain, hill' |
| (e) | $\mathbf{s}$ | mas | mas-ta | [mas-ta] | 'fire' |
| (f) | $\mathbf{t}$ | st | $\operatorname{\text {ot-ta}}$ | [ว-ta] | 'place' |
| (g) | $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow$ [t] | kələk | kələk-ta | [kələk-ta], [kələ-ta] | 'amulet, idol' |

We now discuss plosive distribution and contrasts in roots. In (4), there are four word positions labeled with capitol letters on the left side: B for the begining of words, V for in-between vowels, G for geminates, and E for end of words. At the beginning of words, we see that four alveolar consonants are contrastive. In-between vowels, /t/ or /d/ is contrastive with implosive $/ \mathrm{d} /$ and prenasalized $/ \mathrm{n} \mathrm{d} /$. However, the contrast between $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{d} /$ is neutralized in this intervocalic position, as indicated by dashes. An X indicates an environment where a phoneme does not occur. The phonetic representation is given between brackets when it differs from the underlying representation. Only voiceless plosives occur as underlying geminates, or at the end of words. Although the underlying form of the alveolar plosive could be / t / or /d/ in-between vowels, it is transcribed as / $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{in}$ this environment throughout the data.
(4)

|  | Voiceless plosive |  | Voiced plosive |  | Implosive |  | Nasalized plosive |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | ten $\varepsilon$ | 'dry season' | d\& 1 | 'cow' | derem | 'tree type' | ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \eta$-ic | 'feather' |
| V | Gatan [d] | 'palm' | ----- |  | kada | 'fish net' | $\mathrm{ma}^{\text {n }}$ darna | 'snake type' |
| G | attapike [t] ${ }^{2}$ | 'spear type' | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| E | sat | 'calabash' | X |  | X |  | X |  |

In (5), three velar consonants are shown to be contrastive at the beginning of words, and $/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{g} /$ is contrastive with $/{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{g} /$ in-between vowels. Like the alveolar plosives, the contrast between $/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{g} /$ is neutralized in-between vowels, and only $/ \mathrm{k} /$ occurs at the end of words. There is no velar implosive.


In (6), $/ \mathrm{p} /$ is shown to be contrastive with implosive $/ 6 /$ at the beginning of words and between vowels. However, only $/ \mathrm{p} /$ occurs as geminate, after a nasal, and at the end of words. There is no voiced bilabial plosive phoneme /b/.
(6)

| $/ \mathrm{p} /, / \mathrm{b} /$ distribution and contrast in roots |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Voiceless plosive | Voiced plosive | Implosive |  |
| B | pa | 'homestead' | X | 6ac 'upper arm' |
| V | xapa [b] | 'spear type' | X | ta6a 'tobacco' |

[^1]| G | tappa [p] | 'rock' | X | X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N | kəmpa [b] | 'axe' | X | X |
| E | วp | 'young man' | X | X |

Palatal consonants are similar to bilabials: /c/ and implosive /f/ are contrastive at the beginning of words and between vowels, but only / $c /$ occurs as geminate, after a nasal and at the end of words. There is no voiced palatal plosive phoneme $/ \mathfrak{f} /$.
(7)

| /c/, /f/ distribution and contrast in roots |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Voiceless plosive |  | Voiced plosive | Implo |  |
| B | ceri | 'basket' | X | f\&nic | 'season' |
| V | арлсь [j] ${ }^{4}$ | 'hare' | X | ทวfวx | 'blind person' |
| G | racca [c] | 'necklace' | X | X |  |
| N | mancel [ 7 ] | 'sickle' | X | X |  |
| E | bac | 'upper arm' | X | X |  |

There is no contrastive phonetic length of consonants in Caning. That is, no consonants surface as phonetically longer than other segments that otherwise have the same features. On the other hand, there is contrastive phonemic length of consonants in intervocalic position. That is, underlying voiceless plosives can be single units or geminates. In intervocalic position, single plosives become voiced and geminate plosives become single voiceless plosives through a degemination process.
(8) Underlying contrastive consonant length

| p-pp | xapa [b] | 'spear type' | tappa [p] | 'rock' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t - tt | Gatan [d] | 'palm' | attapike $[\mathrm{t}]^{5}$ | 'spear type' |
| c-cc | acaya [f] | 'branch' | racca [c] | 'necklace' |
| k-kk | 6akaki [g] ${ }^{6}$ | 'snake type' | dakka6uk [k] | 'groundnut' |

In Caning, consonant sequences are nearly always composed of adjacent consonants in separate syllables, rather than at the beginning or end of words. In (9), the number of roots attested with each consonant sequence is listed along with the sequences. Either the first or second consonant can be voiced or voiceless, sonorant or obstruent, and oral or nasal. Further, both can be voiced or voiceless, sonorant or obstruent, or oral.
(9) Consonant sequences

|  | oiced-voiced |  |  | Voiced | oiceless ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | mp [mb] | kampəy [mb] | 'left-over food' | 1 re | turkas | 'quiver' |
| 1 | ms | gimzi | 'rock, mountain' | 1 rt | lorto | 'grasshopper' |
| 3 | nc [ nf ] | mancel [ $\mathrm{nf}^{\text {] }}$ | 'sickle' |  |  |  |
| 2 | rd | kard\&k | 'hoe scrapper' |  |  |  |
| 3 | rn | parnik | 'hat' |  |  |  |
| 1 | r1 | tarl3strk | 'ceremony type' | Voicele | -voiced |  |
| 1 | rg | apərgenw-ec ${ }^{8}$ | 'bat' | 1 \| $\mathbf{x n}$ | taxnuxu | once (ADV)' |

[^2]| 1 | $\mathbf{r}^{\text {nd }}$ | at⿹r ${ }^{\text {n }}$ dכri ${ }^{9}$ | 'fox' | 2 | sm | asmex | 'moon' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | lt [1d] | meltə [1d] | 'air pump, bellow' |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1x [ly] | cilxaya [ly] | 'snail' |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | lp [lb] | dulpus-ic [lb] | 'cancer, tumor' | Voiceless-voiceless |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16 | kacel6uk-ic ${ }^{10}$ | 'intestine' | 4 | xs | kaxse | 'fruit pit' |
| 1 | 1k [1g] | telketəŋ [lg] ${ }^{11}$ | 'fall (v)' | 4 | xt | moxta | 'horse' |
| 2 | yt [yd] | ayta [yd] | 'in-law' | 4 | xk | axka | 'bird type' |
| 2 | yk [yg] | meyke [yg] | 'winter season' | 3 | sk | keskaw | 'dawn (ADV)' |
| 1 | wt [wd] | owta [wd] | 'weather' | 1 | st | nəstire | 'truely (ADV)' |
| 2 | gw | agway | 'name' |  |  |  |  |

We now discuss consonant alternations. Plosives undergo the voicing process of (10)-they become voiced between vowels in words, either in roots or across morpheme boundaries.
(10) Plosive voicing

Plosives become voiced in-between vowels in words.
As shown in (11), single unit plosives are voiced in-between vowels in roots.
(11) Plosive voicing in roots

| $/ \mathrm{p} / \rightarrow$ [b] | хара | [xaba] | 'spear type' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /t/ [d] | 6atan | [ 6 adan] | 'palm' |
| /c/ [f] | apocs | [abวэว] | 'hare' |
| /k/ [g] | məkəx | [məgəx] | 'buffalo' |

In (12), we see the process applying across morpheme boundaries. The four word-final plosives become voiced when vowel-initial suffixes are added.
(12) Plosive voicing across morpheme boundaries

|  | Singular | Plural |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{p} / \rightarrow$ [b] | эp | эр-in | [ b -ijn] | 'young man' |
| /t/ [d] | kulic | kulic-in | [kulig-in] | 'sesame seed' |
| /c/ [f] | abat | abat-in | [abad-in] | 'stupid person' |
| /k/ [g] | عk | عk-in | [ 2 g -in] | 'giraffe' |
| /s/ [s] | turkas | turkas-in | [turkas-in] | 'quiver' |

In addition, the plosives $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c} /$, and only the plosives $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c} /$, become voiced in-between vowels across word boundaries in a phrase or clause.
(13) Plosive voicing in a phrase
$/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c} /$ become voiced in-between vowels in a phrase or clause.
As shown in (14), stem-final /p/ and /c/ become voiced before a vowel-initial word, but /t/ and /k/ do not.

[^3](14)

Plosive voicing across word boundaries

| /p/ $\rightarrow$ [b] | כp anay | [Jbanay] | 'there is a young man' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /c/ [f] | kulic anay | [kuliganay] | 'there is a sesame seed' |
| /t/ [d] | a6at anay | [a6atanay] | 'there is a stupid person' |
| /k/ [g] | ck anaj | [عkanaj] | 'there is a giraffe' |
| /s/ [s] | turkas anaj | [turkasanay] | 'there is a quiver' |

There is a second alternation that I call voicing assimilation. As stated in (15), plosives and the fricative /s/ become voiced following a voiced consonant. For this rule, /r/functions as a voiceless consonant, and so is analyzed as such. This process also occurs both in roots and across morpheme boundaries.

## (15) Voicing assimilation

Plosives and /s/ become voiced following a voiced consonant.

In (16), four plosives and $/ \mathrm{s} /$ are voiced following several voiced consonants, whereas the plosives $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ are not voiced following $/ \mathrm{r} /$.
(16)

| /p/ $\rightarrow$ [b] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { / C } \\ & {[+ \text { voice }]} \end{aligned}$ | dulpus-ic [dulbus-ic] <br> m $\varepsilon l t ə$ $[$ meldə $]$ <br> mancel $[$ majł $\varepsilon l]$ <br> meyk $\varepsilon$ $[$ meyg $\varepsilon]$ <br> gimsi $[$ gimzi $]$ |  | 'cancer, tumor' <br> 'air pump, bellow' 'sickle' <br> 'winter season' 'rock, mountain' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /t/ [d] |  |  |  |  |
| /c/ [f] |  |  |  |  |
| /k/ [g] |  |  |  |  |
| /s/ [z] |  |  |  |  |
| $/ \mathrm{t} / \rightarrow$ [t] | / r | lorts | [lorts] | 'grasshopper' |
| /k/ [k] |  | turkas | [turkas] | 'quiver' |

In (17), we see the same process applying across morpheme boundaries. The suffix-initial plosives $/ \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ as well as $/ \mathrm{s} /$ become voiced when following stem-final voiced consonants, but not when following /r/. For comparison, the same suffixes which undergo voicing when following voiced consonants are also shown to remain voiceless when following other voiceless consonants.
(17)

| /t/ $\rightarrow$ [d] | $/ \mathrm{C}_{\text {[+ voice] }}$ | pen-toy | [pen-dכŋ] | 'wound-this' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | bul-ti | [bul-di] | 'drum-PL' |
| /k/ [g] |  | mem-kכŋ | [mem-gon] | 'milk.PL-these' |
| /s/ [z] |  | derem-sכy | [d\&rem-zכy] | 'tree.type-this' |
| $/ \mathrm{t} / \rightarrow$ [t] | / r | jibər-ti | [ni6ər-ti] | 'tongue-PL' |
| /k/ [k] |  | səpər-kэŋ | [səbər-kəy] | 'cob.PL-these' |
| /t/ [t] | / $\mathrm{C}_{\text {[- voice] }}$ | azaf-toy | [azaf-tכŋ] | 'palm.tree-this' |
| /s/ [s] |  | kətu6əx-sכy | [kədu6əx-sכり] | 'trap-this' |
| /k/ [k] |  | pax-kəy | [pax-kıy] | 'miscarriage.PL-these' |

A third alternation is the degemination process of (18). It states that two of the same adjacent consonants become a single consonant unit. Again, this process applies both in roots and across morpheme boundaries.
(18) Degemination

Two of the same adjacent consonants become a single consonant unit.

The intervocalic voiceless geminate consonants of (19) are single voiceless segments in the surface form.
(19)

Degemination in roots

| $/ \mathrm{pp} /$ | [p] | tappa | [tapa] | 'rock' |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /tt/ | $[\mathrm{t}]$ | attapik | [atabige] | 'spear type' |
| /cc/ | $[\mathrm{c}]$ | racca | [raca] | 'necklace' |
| /kk/ | $[\mathrm{k}]$ | dakka6uk | [daka6uk] | 'groundnut' |

The morphological data of (20) give further support for this degemination process. The plural noun 'place' surfaces with the single plosive [t]. This indicates the underlying plosive is geminate, because a single underlying alveolar plosive between vowels would surface as [d]. So, the root-final /t/ and the initial $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{of}$ the plural suffix -ta undergo degemination resulting in the single segment [ t ]. After assimilation pocessess are applied, the same degemination process occurs for geminate /cc/in 'upper.arm' and geminate /kk/ in 'divorce'. For comparison, the same suffixes are shown to attach to some nouns with degemination and to other nouns without degemination.

Degemination across morpheme boundaries

|  | Underlying representation | Assimilation | Degemination | Surface representation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lll} / \mathrm{tt} / & \rightarrow & {[\mathrm{t}]} \\ / \mathrm{cc} / & {[\mathrm{c}]} \\ / \mathrm{kk} / & {[\mathrm{k}]} \end{array}$ | ot-ta <br> bac-son <br> sax-at-kəy | 6ac-con saxak-kכŋ | ग-ta <br> 6а-сэу <br> saxa-kəy | [Jta] <br> [6асэŋ] <br> [saxakכy] | 'place-pL' 'upper.arm-this' 'divorce-these' |
|  | dox-ta <br> kətu6əx-sวy pax-kวy |  |  | [dכxta] <br> [kədu6əxsวy] [paxkכŋ] | 'mud-PL' <br> 'trap-this' <br> 'miscarriage.pl-these' |

Having discussed consonant phonology, we are now able to posit underlying root-final voiceless plosives in the nouns of (2) from the beginning of the presentation. The noun 'stupid person' has a vowel-initial plural suffix that causes the root-final /t/ to become voiced. The noun 'bowl' has a consonant-initial plural suffix which degeminates and surfaces as a single voiceless [t]. Now look at the middle two lines. The initial vowels of the copular morphemes in these lines do not cause the word-final $/ t /$ in either noun to become voiced. Remember that voicing across word boundaries only applies to the plosives $/ \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{c} /$. As for the last two lines, we do not yet have a reason that the same stem-final /t/ appears to have more than one alternation when attaching demonstrative or possessive suffixes. In 'studid person', final /t/ becomes [d] before these suffixes, but in 'bowl' remains [ t . We will now discuss how this difference in alternation results from different modifier suffixes.
(2) Word-final t

| 'stupid person' |  | 'bowl' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abat <br> abat-in | [abat/d'] <br> [abadin] | sat <br> sat-tu | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { [sat/d }{ }^{+} \text {'] } \\ & \text { [satu] } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 'X-PL' |
| abat ani | [a6atani] | sat ani | [satani] | 'there is an X ' |
| abat anay | [abatanay] | sat anay | [satanay] | 'this is an X ' |
| ? ? ? | [a6adəy] | ?? ? | [satıy] | 'this X ' |
| ? ? ? | [a6adəma] | ? ? ? | [satama] | 'his X' |

Boyeldieu explains that Caning nouns have both simple and modified forms. Most modified forms of nouns have a consonant suffix to indicate it is modified by the following word. In (21a), the noun 'cloth'
has the modifier suffix -n attaches before the qualifier connector -a . The modifier suffix shows the noun is modified by the following word 'be.wet'. However, in (b), the simple noun form without a modifier suffix is used because 'be.wet' modifies the verb 'dried' and not the noun 'cloth'.
(21) From Boyeldieu (2009:13)

| (a) | (ce) cəkəmasə tandə-n-a | tudi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (she) dried cloth-M-QUAL.CON | be.wet |  |
|  | 'She dried a wet cloth.' |  |

(b) (ç) cəkəmasə tandə tudi
(she) dried cloth be.wet
'She dried a cloth in a wet way (or with a wet result).'
In (22), simple and modified forms of the noun 'palm tree' are compared. Both the singular and plural forms of this noun have a simple and modified form. The simple forms of the noun are shown on the left, followed by copulas. The modified forms of the noun are shown on the right, followed by possessive pronouns and demonstratives.
(22) Modifier suffixes

| Simple singular |  | Modified singular |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| azaf | '(palm) tree' | azaf-t-a | 'tree-M-my' |
| azaf anay | 'this is a tree' | azaf-t-əma | 'tree-M-his' |
| azaf ani | 'threre is a tree' | azaf-t-əŋ | 'tree-M-this' |
|  |  | azaf-t-iyi | 'tree-M-that' |
|  | azaf-t-ə bukuniy | 'tree-M-of chief' |  |


| Simple plural |  | Modified plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| azaf-ij | '(palm) tree-PL' | azaf-in-k-an | 'tree-PL-M-my' |
| azaf-in akkay | 'these are tree-PL' | azaf-in-k-əma | 'tree-PL-m-his' |
| azaf-in akki | 'there are tree-PL' | azaf-in-k-כ | 'tree-PL-m-these' |
|  |  | azaf-in-k-iyi | 'tree-PL-M-those' |
|  |  | azaf-in-k-ə 6ukunin | 'tree-PL-M-of chief' |

Although the majority of Caning nouns have a modifier suffix, there are different modifier suffixes for different singular nouns. The suffixes are not readily predictable as to which stem-final segment they attach, or according to the semantics of the stem. So, nouns can be analyzed as being in different clauses according to which singular suffix they attach. On the other hand, the plural modifier suffix -k attaches to all plural modified nouns.
(23) Modifier suffixes


As shown in（24），the modifier suffix－n always attaches to a vowel in singular nouns．
（24）Singular modifier suffix－n－on＇－M－this＇

| Stem－final | Suffix | Singular Noun | ＇this X＇ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | －n | jara | nara－n－эy | ［naranəy］ | ＇cousin＇ |
| ə |  | salə | salə－n－эŋ | ［salənэy］ | ＇sword＇ |
| u |  | lukku | lukku－n－כŋ | ［lukunวท］ | ＇pool＇ |
| e |  | meke | meke－n－כŋ | ［megenoy］ | ＇wind，air，storm＇ |
| i |  | asmat－ti | asmat－ti－n－oŋ | ［asmatinəy］ | ＇dry．food－SG＇ |

The modifier suffix－$t$ attaches to at least the stem－final consonants of（25）in singular nouns．In accordance with the voicing assimilation rule，the modifier suffix－$t$ becomes［d］following voiced consonants，but is unchanged following voiceless consonants．And in accordance with the degemination rule，in＇bowl＇，stem－final／t／and modifier suffix－t surface as a single voiceless／t／．
（25）Singular modifier suffix－t－oŋ＇－M－this＇

| Stem－final | Suffix | Singular Noun | ＇this X＇ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n | －t $\rightarrow$［d］ | pen | pen－t－כy | ［pendoy］ | ＇wound，sore＇ |
| j |  | maran | maran－t－эy | ［marandoŋ］ | ＇scar＇ |
| 1 |  | 6ul | 6ul－t－эy | ［6uldəy］ | ＇big drum＇ |
| t | －t | sat | sat－t－כŋ | ［satכy］ | ＇bowl，calabash＇ |
| f |  | azaf | azaf－t－כŋ | ［azaftoj］ | ＇palm tree＇ |

The modifier suffix $-s$ attaches to at least the stem－final consonants of（26）in singular nouns．The suffix $-s$ becomes［z］following voiced consonants，but is unchanged following voiceless consonants．
（26）Singular modifier suffix－s－כŋ＇－M－this＇

| Stem－final | Suffix | Singular Noun | ＇this X ＇ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | －s $\rightarrow$［z］ | d\＆rem | derem－s－כך | ［d\＆remzon］ | ＇tree type＇ |
| y |  | лay | лaŋ－s－эŋ | ［naŋzoy］ | ＇crocodile＇ |
| w |  | gaw | gaw－s－эŋ | ［gawzoy］ | ＇hawk＇ |
| y |  | tisiy | tisiy－s－כŋ | ［tisiyzoy］ | ＇ladder＇ |
| t | －s | amkatat | amkatat－s－כŋ | ［amkadatson］ | ＇chisel＇ |
| $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow$［g］ |  | $\varepsilon \mathrm{k}$ | عk－s－эŋ | ［ $2 \mathrm{gs} \supset \mathrm{y}$ ］ | ＇giraffe＇ |
| $s \rightarrow[z]$ |  | ayis | ayis－s－эŋ | ［ayizəy］ | ＇goat＇ |
| x |  | kətu6əx | kətu6əx－s－כŋ | ［kədu6əxsэŋ］ | ＇trap＇ |
| c | －s $\rightarrow$［c］ | bac | 6ac－s－эŋ | ［bасэŋ］ | ＇upper arm＇ |

There is no modifier suffix in some singular nouns following the stem－final consonants of（27）．As predicted by the plosive voicing rule，stem－final $/ \mathrm{p} /, / \mathrm{t} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ become voiced in the intervocalic position resulting when the vowel－intial suffix is attached．
（27）Singular modifier suffix－$\varnothing$－эn＇－M－this＇

| Stem－final | Suffix | Singular Noun | ＇this X＇ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{p} \rightarrow[\mathrm{~b}] \\ & \mathrm{t} \rightarrow[\mathrm{~d}] \\ & \mathrm{k} \rightarrow[\mathrm{~g}] \end{aligned}$ | －Ø | วр <br> let <br> kələk | $\begin{aligned} & \text { эр-Ø-эŋ } \\ & \text { let- } \varnothing-\supset \eta \\ & \text { kələk-Ø-эŋ } \end{aligned}$ | ［つbつŋ］ <br> ［1عdつŋ］ <br> ［kələgэŋ］ | ＇young man＇ ＇dance type＇ ＇amulet，idol＇ |


| S | tizəs | tizəs-Ø-э】 | [tizəsэy] | 'floor' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | ax | ax-Ø-эŋ | [axวy] | 'hut' |
| 1 | хэŋ | хэŋ-б-эŋ | [хэуวу] | 'sun' |
| r | лiбər | лібər-Ø-эŋ | [niбərวŋ] | 'tongue' |

As shown in (28), the modifier suffixes are not predictable. All modifier suffixes except $-n$ overlap in which stem-final segments they attach.
(28) Comparison of nouns with singular modifier suffixes $-\mathrm{t},-\mathrm{s},-\emptyset$

| Stem-final | Suffix | Singular Noun | 'this X ' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | -t | sat | sat-t-ŋ刀 | [satכy] | 'bowl, calabash' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{k} \rightarrow[\mathrm{~g}] \\ & \mathrm{s} \rightarrow[\mathrm{z}] \\ & \mathrm{x} \\ & \mathrm{y} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | -s | amkatat <br> عk <br> ayis <br> kətuбəx <br> лау | amkatat-s-эŋ <br> ek-s-эท <br> ayis-s-эŋ <br> kətu6əx-s-эŋ <br> nay-s-эy | [amkadatsoy] <br> [ g gson] <br> [ayizon] <br> [kədu6əxsэŋ)] <br> [nayzon] | 'chisel' <br> 'giraffe' <br> 'goat' <br> 'trap' <br> 'crocodile' |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \rightarrow[\mathrm{~d}] \\ & \mathrm{k} \rightarrow[\mathrm{~g}] \\ & \mathrm{s} \\ & \mathrm{x} \\ & \mathrm{y} \end{aligned}$ | -ø | let <br> kələk <br> tizas <br> ax <br> xวท | let-on <br> kəəək-эŋ <br> tizəs-эŋ <br> ax-วท <br> хวŋ-эŋ | [ledoy] <br> [kələgэŋ] [tizəsıy] [ахэŋ] [хэŋээ] | 'dance type' 'amulet, idol' <br> 'floor' <br> 'hut' <br> 'sun' |

Again, as shown in (29), all plural nouns attach the modifier suffix -k . The suffix $-k$ becomes $[g]$ following a root-final voiced consonant or a vowel, but is unchanged following /r/ and voiceless consonants.

Plural modifier suffix - $\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{on}$ '-M-Mhese'

| Stem-final | Suffix | Plural Noun | 'these X ' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | $-\mathrm{k} \rightarrow$ [g] | $\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{m}$ | mem-k-эŋ | [memgכn] | 'milk' |
| n |  | ag-wan | ag-wan-k-эŋ | [agwangэŋ] | 'face-PL' |
| j |  | эp-in | эр-in-k-эŋ | [эрілgэŋ] | 'young.man-PL' |
| y |  | ay | ay-k-эŋ | [aygэy] | 'life' |
| a |  | maran-ta | maran-ta-k-כ | [marandagon] | 'scar-pl' |
| u |  | culu | culu-k-כy | [culugכ $]$ | 'charcoal' |
| e |  | let-tzte | $1 \varepsilon t-t t t \varepsilon-k-\supset ŋ$ | [12tzdegon] | 'dance type-pl' |
| i |  | 6ul-ti | 6ul-ti-k-כๆ | [6uldigon] | 'drum-PL’ |
| r | -k | səpər | səpər-k-эŋ | [səbərkon] | 'cob' |
| s |  | as | as-k-כŋ | [askכŋ] | 'fish' |
| x |  | pax | pax-k-כท | [paxkวy] | 'miscarriage' ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| $t \rightarrow[k]$ |  | sax-at | sax-at-k-כŋ | [saxakכn] | 'divorce (refuse-vn)' |
| $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow$ [g] |  | ya-tok | ya-tok-k-эŋ | [yadəgkəy] | 'meat-pl' |

We need two futher processesses to describe the alternations in these data with modifier suffixes-a dissimilation rule and a complete assimilation rule.

This assimilation process of (30) states that stem-final $/ \mathrm{k} /$ completely assimilates to a suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{t} /$, and also the reverse, that stem-final $/ \mathrm{t} /$ completely assimilates to a suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{k} /$. It also states that suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{s} /$ completely assimilates to stem-final $/ \mathrm{c} /$ or $/ \mathrm{z} /$.

## Complete consonant assimilation


[The modifier suffix -s- assimilates to stem-final /c/ in $6 a c-s-\eta \eta$ [ $6 a c э ŋ]$ ] 'upper.arm-this' before undergoing degemination. If only the suffix -כך were attached to 6ac 'upper arm', the stem-final /c/ would become voiced in the surface form (*[Gађэŋ]). Because the surface form is [ 6 acıŋ], the underlying segments must be geminate /cc/, and the suffix must contribute an initial consonant such as $/ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{in}$ order for the stem-final /c/ to become geminate. Thus, it is reasonable to posit that suffix-initial /s/ becomes [c] following stem-final /c/, as claimed in the assimilation rule (c).]

The dissimilation rule of (31) states that stem-final $/ \mathrm{k} /$ becomes $[\mathrm{g}]$ before a suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{s} /$. The rule also states that stem-final $/ \mathrm{s} /$ becomes $[\mathrm{z}]$ before a suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{s} /$. If you look at the bottom of (29), you see that stem-final / $\mathrm{t} / \mathrm{as}$ in 'divorce' assimilates to suffix-initial / $\mathrm{k} /$ before undergoing degemination. Now then, stem-final $/ \mathrm{k} /$ as in 'meat' would also result in a degeminate $/ \mathrm{k} /$ if it were not for the dissimation rule that requires stem-final $/ \mathrm{k} /$ to dissimilate to $[\mathrm{g}$ ] before suffix-intial $/ \mathrm{k} /$. In other words, this part of the dissimilation process is so that after affixation, stem-final /k/ will still be distinguished from stem-final /t/.
[The stem-final $/ \mathrm{k} /$ also dissimilates to $[\mathrm{g}]$ before suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{s} /$ as in 'giraffe'. Further, the stemfinal $/ \mathrm{s} /$ dissimilates to $[\mathrm{z}$ ] before suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{s} /$ in 'goat-this'. Because the root-final $/ \mathrm{s} /$ does not become voiced in the intervocalic position resulting when the suffix $-\supset \eta$ is attached in tizzs-כ [tizas-כŋ] 'floor-this', we can assume the suffix in 'goat-this' is not -כ (*ayis-כŋ [ayiz-כŋ]). On the other hand, because the suffix-initial /s/ of -sวy does become voiced when following a stem-final voiced consonant in derem-sכy [d\&rem-zכŋ] 'tree.type-this', we may instead posit that after $/ \mathrm{s} /$ dissimilates to [z] preceding suffix-initial /s/ (such as ayiz-soy), the suffix-initial /s/subseqently assimilates to [z] (such as ayiz-zכy) and then undergoes degemination (resulting in [ayi-zon]). Thus, in (31b) we claim that stem-final /s/ dissimilates to [z] before suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{s} /$, and in (30d) we claim that suffix-initial $/ \mathrm{s} /$ assimilates to stem-final /z/.]
(31) Consonant dissimilation


We can now posit underlying noun forms for the remaining data in (2) from the beginning of this presentation. We claim that both nouns of (2) have underlying root-final /t/, but they differ in affixation. The noun 'stupid person' has a vowel-initial plural suffix and does not attach a modifier suffix, whereas 'bowl' has a consonant-initial plural suffix and attaches the modifier suffix -t .
(2) Word-final t

| 'stupid person' |  | 'bowl' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abat abat-in | [abat/d극 <br> [abadin] | sat <br> sat-tu | $\text { [sat/d } \left.{ }_{0}{ }^{7}\right]$ <br> [satu] | 'X-PL' |
| abat ani abat anay | [a6atani] <br> [a6atanay] | sat ani sat anay | [satani] [satanay] | 'there is an X ' <br> 'this is an X ' |
| a6аt-Ø-эŋ a6at-Ø-əma | [abadəy] [a6adəma] | sat-t-כy sat-t-əma | [satכy] <br> [satəma] | 'this X ' <br> 'his X' |

In summary, all word-final plosives are underlying voiceless. Alveolar and velar plosives have a voicing contrast in word-initial position, whereas labial and palatal plosives are only ever voiceless. Inbetween vowels, underlying geminate plosives surface as single voiceless plosives through degmination, whereas single plosive units are voiced, and /p/ and /c/ are even voiced across word boundaries. Plosives and /s/ assimilate in voice to preceding voiced consonants, where /r/functions as a voiceless consonant. Most nouns have both simple and modifier forms. Singular nouns attach one of three different modifier suffixes, whereas all plural nouns attach the same modifier suffix. Stem-final plosives can surface as either voiced or voiceless before the same demonstrative or possessive suffixes. However, the difference in voicing is not caused by different underlying stem-final plosives, but by different modifier suffixes that attach according to the noun class.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The symbol $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is used for the palatal approximant instead of the IPA symbol $/ \mathrm{j} /$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ attapike [atabige] 'spear type'
    ${ }^{3}$ zəkkattas [zəkatas] 'barren land'

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ арэсл_[аbəэ〕] 'hare’
    ${ }^{5}$ attapike [atabige] 'spear type'
    ${ }^{6}$ Gakaki [6agagi] 'snake type'
    ${ }^{7}$ In addition, there are the words alkadis 'cat' (bw. Arabic), amkadad 'chisel' and tumsagu 'unfermented sorghum', with voiced-voiceless sequences, at least one of which is borrowed.
    ${ }^{8}$ apərgenwec [abərgenwec] 'bat'

[^3]:    
    ${ }^{10}$ kacel6uk-ic [kayel6ugic] 'intestine'
    ${ }^{11}$ telketəŋ [telgedən] 'fall (v)'

