

SUMMER INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS PUBLICATIONS IN LINGUISTICS AND RELATED FIELDS

PUBLICATION NUMBER 8

EDITOR Benjamin F. Elson

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE
Kenneth L. Pike Robert Longacre
Viola Waterhouse

TOTONTEPEC MIXE PHONOTAGMEMICS

by John Chapman Crawford

SUMMER INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS
OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

Norman

© Summer Institute of Linguistics 1963 marzo, 1963 primera edición Esta edición consta de 1,000 ejemplares

Derechos Reservados

por el

Instituto Lingüístico de Verano, A. C. Héroes 53 México, D. F. Impreso en México Printed in Mexico 1M5922. 2-092

Preface and Acknowledgements

This study was originally presented as a doctoral dissertation at the University of Michigan in February 1960. The author would prefer to make changes; the accuracy of the description would be enhanced by a re-analysis of new text material at this later time. There have been certain changes or trends in the use of terminology among other writers in tagmemics since the time of preparation of this study. However, it does not appear that there will be any considerable amount of time available for the rewrite in the near future, and so the materials are presented in essentially their original form, with occasional footnotes to bring it up to date, and an occasional correction or amplification of evidence.

Special acknowledgement is due to the members of the committee who have given of their time and effort in the preparation of this dissertation; to Professors David F. Aberle, James W. Downer, Lawrence B. Kiddle, Herbert Penzl, and Kenneth L. Pike, chairman.

To an exceptional degree, this dissertation is due to the influence of Professor Pike. First, it was through him that the author was first interested in linguistics and inspired to the study of American Indian languages. Secondly and obviously, the dissertation itself grows out of the stimulation to research given by Pike's Language in Relation to a Unified Theory of the Structure of Human Behavior. Thirdly, although in the course of the application of Pike's tagmemic model to phonology there have been some changes from a strict interpretation of the Pikean model, Professor Pike has insisted that the differences be carried into the analysis. This lack of sensitiveness to suggestions of change in his theories has made the preparation of this dissertation a much easier task than it would otherwise have been.

Table of Contents

		·	PAGE
0.	INT.	RODUCTION	1
	0.1	Purpose	3
	0.2	The language	4
	0.3	Main characteristics of Pike's model	4
		0.31 Language is viewed as behavior	5
		0.32 Language is hierarchically ordered	8
		0.33 Language consists of emic units	16
		0.34 Language is trimodally structured	18
		0.35 Newness in Pike's Language	19
	0.4	Further clarification of method and order of	
		presentation	20
	0,5	Incompleteness of the description	24
	0.6	Distinction between phonemic and morphemic	
		hierarchies	25
	0.7	The centrality of the phonotagmeme	27
1.0	РНС	DNEMES AND PHONOTAGMEMES	29
	1.1	Phonemes	32
		1.11 The feature mode of phonemes	32
		1.12 The manifestation mode of phonemes	40
		1.13 The distribution mode of phonemes	48
	1.2	•	55
		1.21 The feature mode of phonotagmemes	56
		1.22 The manifestation mode of phonotagmemes .	60
		1.23 The distribution mode of phonotagmemes	61
		1.24 Minimum units of structure	61
	1.3		63
		1.31 The emic class of vowel phonemes	63
		1.32 The emic class of consonant phonemes	67
		1.33 Emic sub-class of phonemes	78
	1.4	Morphophonemics	79
	1.5	Marginal phonemes and co-existent phonemic	
		systems	81

\mathbf{r}	T	'ON'	TEPEC	MIXE	PHONO	TAGI	MEM	(CS
--------------	---	------	-------	------	-------	------	-----	-----

2.0	SYL	LABLES AND SYLLABLE-TYPES	PAGE 84
	2.1	Syllables	85 85
		2.11 The feature mode of syllables	
		2.12 The manifestation mode of syllables	94
		2.13 The distribution mode of syllables	95
	2.2	Syllable-types	96
		2.21 The feature mode of syllable-types	96
		2.22 The manifestation mode of syllable-types .	100
		2.23 The distribution mode of syllable-types	105
3.0	PHO	NEMIC-WORDS AND PHONOLOGICAL-WORD-	
	TYP	ES	106
	3.1	Phonemic-words	107
		3.11 The feature mode of phonemic-words	107
		3.12 The manifestation mode of phonemic-words.	118
		3.13 The distribution mode of phonemic-words .	120
		3.14 The relationship between phonemic-words	
		and morphemic-words	120
	3.2	Phonological-word-types	122
		3.21 The feature mode of phonological-word-	
		types	124
		3.22 The manifestation mode of phonological-	
		word-types	129
4.0	PHO	NEMIC-PHRASES AND PHONOLOGICAL-	
	PHF	ASE-TYPES	132
	4.1	Phonemic-phrases	134
		4.11 The feature mode of phonemic-phrases	134
		4.12 The manifestation mode of phonemic-phrases	140
		4.13 The distribution mode of phonemic-phrases	141
	4.2	Phonological-phrase-types	141
		4.21 The feature mode of phonological-phrase-	
		types	142
		4.22 The manifestation mode of phonological-	
		phrase-types	143

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		4.23 The distribution mode of phonological-	PAGE	
		phrase-types	143	
	4.3	Intonation	144	
		4.31 The pitch contrasts	144	
		4.32 Pitch characteristics of pre-head elements	147	
		4.33 Placement of intonation contrasts relative		
		to phonemic-phrases	148	
5,0	TEX	TT AND ANALYSIS	149	
	5 1	Tart and phanological commentary	152	
		Text and phonological commentary	152	
	0.2	5.21 The determination of morphemic-words	159	
		5.22 Description of the text	162	
		5.22 Description of the text	102	
6.0	RESTRUCTURE OF PIKE'S LANGUAGE			
	6.1	The phonotagmeme	166	
	6.2	Pike's definition of hierarchies	170	
		6.21 The feature mode	172	
		6.22 The manifestation mode	178	
		6.23 The distribution mode	178	
	6,3	Modes and hierarchies	181	
	6.4	Outline of restructure	183	
		6.41 The phonemic hierarchy	185	
		6.42 The lexical hierarchy	186	
		6.43 The tagmemic hierarchy	186	
		6.44 The phonotagmemic hierarchy	188	
n T D	T TOO	ID A TAYET	100	