# TZOTZIL GRAMMAR

# SUMMER INSTITUTE OF LINGUISTICS PUBLICATIONS IN LINGUISTICS AND RELATED FIELDS

#### **PUBLICATION NUMBER 18**

#### **EDITOR**

Dow F. Robinson

## EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Kenneth L. Pike

Robert E. Longacre

Viola Waterhouse

## EDITORIAL CONSULTANTS

Calvin Rensch, William Merrifield, Doris Bartholomew, Benjamin Elson

# TZOTZIL GRAMMAR

by

Marion M. Cowan

A Publication of the Summer Institute of Linguistics of the University of Oklahoma

Norman

© Summer Institute of Linguistics 1969

julio, 1969

primera edición

Esta edición consta de 550 ejemplares

Derechos Reservados

por el

Instituto Lingüístico de Verano, A.C. Hidalgo 166, Tlalpan, México 22, D.F. Impreso en México Printed in Mexico 5.5 C 9-007

#### EDITOR'S NOTE

The present monograph, Tzotzil Grammar, is the second volume in this series which treats Mayan languages, re: Mayan Studies I, #5. In contrast to #5 which offered a collection of studies on various Mayan languages, i.e., Cakchiquel, Ixil, Jacaltec, etc., Tzotzil Grammar deals only with speech form currently in use by some 65,000 speakers in the area around Huixtan, Chiapas, Mexico. Miss Marion Cowan has had ten years experience among the Huixtecos; her fluency in the idiom as well as her linguistic field work, translation, and literacy efforts in the area, has given her materials that authentic ring of mature judgment. William E. Merrifield has taken the results of Miss Cowan's studies and cast them in a linguistic model which depends upon Pike's hierarchical structures and, as well, upon the stratificational model of Lamb. The linguistic strata represented in this volume reflect the model presented at S.I. L. courses, the University of Washington, Seattle, by Mr. Merrifield and others of his collegues.

Dow F. Robinson Tlalpan, Mexico June, 1968

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

											$\mathbf{P}_{i}$	age
0.	FOREWORD	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
1.	INTRODUCTION											3
	The Tzotzil Language (1.1)					•						3
	Illustrations (1.2)											4
	Acknowledgements (1.3)		•	•		•		•				5
	Illustrations (1.2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
2.	THE CLAUSE											9
	THE CLAUSE											9
	The Verb Phrase											10
	Verb Phrase Structure (2.2) .											10
	The Verb											10
	Mode (2.3)											10
	Passive (2.4)								•			12
	Perfective (2.5)											12
	Verb Stem (2.6)		•									12
	Perfective (2.5)											14
	Reflexive $(2.7)$											14
	Reflexive (2.7) Pronouns and Plural (2.8) .	•										14
	Nonnuclear Constituents Aspect (2.9)											14
	Aspect (2.9)											14
	Auxiliary (2.10)											15
	Directionals (2.11)											16
	Directionals (2.11) Nominal Positions (2.12)											17
	Adverbial Positions											18
	Manner Position (2.13)											18
	Locative Position (2.14)				•							19
	Time Position (2.15)											20
	Time Position (2.15) Conditional Position (2.16).											20
	Purpose-Cause Position (2.17)											21
	Vocative Position (2.18)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
3.	SUBSETS OF STATIVE CLAUSES											23
	Question Clauses (3.1)				•							23
	Question Clauses (3.1)											<b>2</b> 8
	Attention Clauses (3.3)  Manner Clauses (3.4)  Location Clauses (3.5)  Time Clauses (3.6)											31
	Manner Clauses (3.4)								•			32
	Location Clauses (3.5)		•									33
	Time Clauses (3.6)							•				34
	Concomitance Clauses (3, 7)		_	_		_	-	-				34

	Contingency Clauses (3.8)							35
	Contrary-to-fact Clauses (3.9)							36
	Sensory Clauses (3.10)							37
	Quantification Clauses (3, 11)	Ī	Ī			Ī		37
	Numaral Clauses (9 12)	•	•	•	•	•	•	38
	Numerai Clauses (5.12)	•	•	•	•	•	•	00
4.	. SUBSETS OF NON-STATIVE CLAUSES							43
	Description Clauses (4.1)							43
	Permission Clauses (4.2)			-	_		_	45
	Situation Clauses (4.2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	46
	Situation Clauses (4.3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
	Demonstration Clauses (4.4)	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	Capability Clauses (4.5)	•	•	•	•	•	•	48
	Aspectual Clauses (4.6)	•	•	•	•	•	•	48
	Aspectual Clauses (4.6)	•	•	•	•	•	•	49
5.	NOUNS AND NOUN PHRASES							53
J.	Noun Classification	•	•	•	•	•	•	53
	Noun Classification	•	•	•	•	•	•	50
	Unpossessable Nouns (5.1)	•	•	•	•	•	•	53
	Optionally Possessed Nouns (5.2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	53
	Obligatorily Possessed Nouns (5.3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	54
	Obligatorily Possessed Nouns (5.3) Classification of Nouns by Distribution (5.4).		•	•	•			55
	Noun Phrase Structure			•				55
	Descriptive Noun Phrases (5.5)							55
	Possessed Noun Phrases (5.6)							57
	Subsets of Possessed Noun Phrases							59
	Associative Noun Phrases (5.7)							
	Totality Noun Dhyagas (5.9)	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	Totality Noun Phrases (5.8)	•	•	•	•	•	•	60
	Accompaniment Noun Phrases (5.9)	•	•	•	•	•	•	00
	Causative Noun Phrases (5.10)	•	•	•	•	•	•	61
	Locative Noun Phrases (5.11)	•	•	•		•	•	61
	Temporal Noun Phrases (5.12)							62
	Temporal Noun Phrases (5.12)					•	•	63
_								
6.		•	•	•	•	•	•	65
	Pronouns (6.1)	•	•	•	•		•	65
	Plural (6.2)						•	67
	Determiner Phrases (6.3)							70
	Determiner Phrases (6.3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	71
7.	. RELATOR PHRASES							73
1.		•	•	•	•	•	•	
	$\underline{\text{ti-Phrases}}$ (7.1)	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	73
	<u> </u>	•	•	•	•	•	•	74
	$\underline{\mathbf{k'álal}}$ -Phrases (7.3)		•	•	•	•	•	74
	$\underline{\mathbf{mi}}$ -Phrases (7.4)	•	•	•	•	•	•	76
8.	. MODALS							79
٠.	Present Continuative Phrases (8.1)	•	•	•	•	•	•	79
		٠	•	•	•	•	•	
	Perfective Phrases (8.2)	•	•	•	•	•	•	80
	Past Perfective Phrases (8.3)							80

	TABLE	OF	C	ON	TE.	NTS	3							ix
	Conclusive Phrases (8.4) .													80
	Limitative Phrases (8.5)	•												80
	Emphatic Phrases (8.6)	•												81
	Dubitative Phrases (8.7)	•												81
	Assertative Phrases (8.8) .													81
														8 <b>2</b>
	Quotative Phrases (8.10) .													8 <b>2</b>
	Repetitive Phrases (8.11) .													82
	Adjunctive Phrases (8.12) .					•								8 <b>2</b>
	Asseverative Phrases (8, 13)													83
	Speculative Phrases (8.14).													8 <b>3</b>
	Consequential Phrases (8.15)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	83
9.	APPOSITION													85
	Alternative Phrases (9.1) .													85
		•		•			•					•	•	85
10.	WORD FORMATION													8 <b>9</b>
10.	Root Classification (10.1).	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	89
	Stem Classification (10.2).										:		٠	92
	Formation of Compounds (10	3)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	94
	Formation of Compound Rad	icals	! /1	∩ໍ 4	a :	•					•		•	96
		·							•			•	•	97
	Intransitivizing Suffixes	(10.	5)	•	•	•	•	•	:			•	•	97
	Transitivizing Suffixes (												•	100
	Stativizing Suffixes (10.	7).	۰,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	102
	Nominalizing Suffixes (1)	ດິສາ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		103
	Non-class-changing Affix	vac (	10	ó١	•	•	:		•			•		107
	Non-Class-Changing Airi	CD (	10.	. 0,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	101
11.	MORPHOPHONEMICS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	111
INI	DEX	•				•								117

### **FOREWORD**

Miss Cowan is a member of the Mexico Branch of the Summer Institute of Linguistics (SIL), Inc. The purposes of SIL are well known, but in general they are directed toward those languages of the world not yet reduced to writing. These languages are studied, speaking ability is acquired, alphabets are established, literacy materials are prepared, and so forth. In the course of such study, which presupposes linguistic training, information is acquired concerning the structure of these languages which is of general scientific interest.

In view of the vast numbers of languages which fall within the domain of SIL concern, the difficulty of training sufficient numbers of linguistic field workers can well be appreciated. The appearance of this study of Tzotzil would seem to give testimony to a degree of success in this regard, inasmuch as Miss Cowan may be considered a typical product of the SIL training program.

This training program consists of two summers of linguistic training at one or another of its Summer Institutes. The linguistic training is supplemented by a course to prepare the field worker for primitive (rural or jungle) living conditions. Such courses are held regularly in Mexico, West Africa, and New Guinea. The training then continues in the field through an organized consultant program and system of workshops.

Miss Cowan, a Registered Nurse, began her fieldwork in Mexico after receiving her SIL linguistic training. She has since served as a staff member in the Mexico jungle camp program as well as supporting staff in one of the Summer Institutes. Before settling among the Tzotzil of Huixtán, she did literacy work among the Chol, Tzeltal, and San Andres Tzotzil. In addition to her Huixteco assignment, she is presently a literacy consultant to her colleagues working in Mayan languages.

This study is based on her initial SIL linguistic training, her speaking ability of Tzotzil, and three SIL linguistic workshops. The first of these workshops was a part of the 1961 Linguistic Institute held on the campus of the University of Oklahoma. Dr. Viola Waterhouse was her consultant at that time. The other two workshops were held in 1966 and 1967 at the SIL Centro Linguistico Manuel Gamio in Ixmiquilpan, Mexico, where it was my privilege to direct her study.

Her grammar is framed in terms of a theory which recognizes language (and languages) as having three modes or components—as well as a means of relating the components to one another. Each component is constituted

of units which combine in restricted and hierarchical fashion. A description of one such component must inevitably include a classification of its units and a description of their patterned arrangements.

The components are conceived of as ordered in relation to one another, the syntactic component being intermediate to the other two. Two relations are thus required: that between the phonological and syntactic components, and one between the syntactic and semantic components.

The relation between units of adjacent components has been accounted for in two steps. Statements such as "A pronoun occupies Position One of the verb phrase nucleus if..." (6.1) serve to place units of one component (in this case the semantic component) 'in position' in the adjacent component; that is, they state the conditions under which such units are related to those of the adjacent component in particular positions within the set of patterned arrangements (or constructions) of the latter. A second set of statements such as "SUBJN is realized morphophonemically as the null element when..." (2.3) provide 'the spellings' for a unit of one component (in this case the syntactic component) in terms of the adjacent component.

Although framed in terms of a theory with formal and generative implications, this study of Tzotzil grammar is presented in straightforward prose (as indicated in the preceding paragraph) with a minimum of formal rules of the type so common in current studies. Miss Cowan has tried to write a grammar which someone might wish to sit down and read, a lamentably uncommon exercise even for linguists these days. An earlier and more formal statement of a portion of Tzotzil grammar using the same theoretical framework can be found in Cowan and Merrifield, 1968; and further discussion of the framework itself may be found in Merrifield, 1967.

For the most part, this study is limited to a description of the syntactic component of Tzotzil. In a few instances, however, reference is made to the semantic component and a few statements are made relating it to the syntactic component. A partial statement of the relation between the syntactic component and the phonological component is also attempted (11).

William R. Merrifield Coordinator of Linguistic Research in Mexico

Centro Lingüístico Manuel Gamio May 8, 1968