### Some notes on Lele Phonology and Orthography

by Dixon Barthel

### February 1987

#### Introductions

The following description is based upon sporadic work in Lele from the period beginning April. 1980 to January. 1987.

#### Table of contents:

Lele language group
Sounds of lele
Contrastive phonemes in Lele
Phonological analysis
Some morphonemics
Unresolved Issues
Old orthographies
Current orthographies
Spelling conventions

### The Lele Language Group:

The Lele language is spoken by approximately 1800 people who live on the north coast of Manus Island west of Lorengau and inland to the south about 1/2 the width of the island. Manus Island is the largest island of the Admiralty Island group and is situated approximately 147° East and 2° South. The population is spread among many small hamlets throughout the area but concentrated mostly along the coast and the main inland highway. The main village areas are Tingou, Puluso, Sabon 1, Sabon 2, Warembei, Warembu, Powat, Rosun, Lundret, Ndranou, and Yiringou. The dialects spoken in both Rosun and Yiringou are considerably divergent from the rest of the language area.

# Sounds of Lele:

Following is a chart of most of the phonetic sounds that characterize Lele.

### Contaids:

	Labial	Alveolar	Alveopalatal	Velar	Pharangeal	
Vl Stop	Þ	t		k	?	
Vd Stop	ь	d		9		
VI Aspirated Stop	ph	ď <sup>h</sup>		k <sup>h</sup>		
Vl Affricate	pp					
Sillabant		s			h	
Vl Trill		R (I'm	using this sy	mbol as a	vl., alveolar,	trill)
Vd Trill	Ħ	۶				
Flap		ř				
Lateral		1				
Syllabic Nasal	ŵ	ņ				
Nasal	rn	יני	Ħ	ŋ		
Semivowels	w		у			

# Vocoids:

	Front Unrounded	Mid Unrounded	Back Rounded	
High Tense	i		u	
High Lax	ı	ź		
Mid Tense	е		c	
Mid Lax	ε	ə		
Low Tense				
Low Lax		a		

In addition, there are the following single sounds and sequences of sounds that may be interpreted as functioning as a unit or a sequence:

# Contoid Segments:

	Labial	Alveolar
Syllabic		
Nasal	rn	וץ

# Contoid Sequences:

·	Labial	Alveola	^ \	/elar	
Prenasalized Vd Stop	m <sub>b</sub>	n <sub>d</sub>			
Prenasalized V1 Trill		r <sub>i</sub> R			
Prenasalized Vd Trill		n <sub>p</sub>			
Labialized V1 Stops	₽₩			k <sup>W</sup>	
Labialized Nasal	m₩				
Vocoid Sequences:					
Long Vocaids	i:			u:	
				o:(?)	
Glides:	ui			iu	
	ei	oi	eu	ou	
		ai	au		

# Unambiguous syllable shapes:

The following syllable shapes are reasonably unambiguous for Lele:

CVC	[nes]	'climb up'
	[tam]	'your father'
	[sap]	'to carry'
	[pat]	'stone'

#CCV(C).. [plen] 'parden' note: ~ [palen] [kleh] 9 0K 9 [snel] "masalai" [ksou]

'IRR-remain here'

note: This last example is actually polymorphemic. The initial [k-] is the irrealis morpheme and readily prefixes to most consonant initial verbs. (Sometimes, however, with an interviening transition vocoid.)

> [kme?] "IRR-come" [kro?] 'IRR-stay' fksopWanil 'IRR-help'

#CV [po] 'to do or make' Cke?3 only, just' [tal 'to exit' []'to po' [me] 'to come'

> [so] 'to remain suspended'

.. (C) VCC# (soln) 'many' [malolt] 'disappear'

#V(C)... [a] 9 and9 Cilkil

'to place or put'

'their food' [ansu]

fakal 9this9 [ini] 'to eat'

The presence of the glottal stop in the final position in the note: above examples depends upon the flow of speach. middle of an utterance (ie. mid sentence) the final glottal is usually not present. The words spoken in isolation are usually checked with a glottal if they end in a vowel and the vowel is not part of a glide, lengthened, of followed by an [h].

I have found no unambiguous examples of unambiguous vocoid sequences in my data since all glides either begin or end with a high vocoid.

Interpretation of ambiguous sequences:

#### Prenasaliced contoids:

From the above syllable shapes it would be possible to interpret many of the ambiguous contoid sequences as sequences and not segments since they occur word or syllable initially. I prefer, however, to interpret at least the prenasalized contoids as single segments because prenasalization is a ubiquitous feature of many if not most languages in Papua New Guinea and secondly because, as I will discuss under contrastive features, prenasalization is the primary contrastive feature between the voiced and voiceless series of stops in Lele.

#### Labialized contoids:

The labialized contoids present a different problem. Most of the evidence would lead me to interpret them as sequences. It fits the allowable CV patterns and there is severe collocational restriction in that [u] never follows them. (Of course I'm not sure how one would pronounce it if it did.) The labialized contoids also never cluster with other consonants. On the other hand, a few words have shown some 'free' variation between the labialized and non labialized forms which would be easier to describe as the presence or absence of labialization than the presence or absence of a [w] or a [u] (if it were interpreted as C[u]...).

eg [m<sup>W</sup>a<sup>n</sup>řih] ~ [ma<sup>n</sup>řih] 'sun'

also [p<sup>W</sup>an] 'ground'
[pu.an] 'his pig (to eat)' nb. could also transcribe this
as [pu.wan]
[pan] 'coming later'

For convience in this paper I'll call them labialized contoids but the matter is not closed. I could just as easily call them sequences but since other Manus languages are described as having labialized consonants (notably Nali which is most closely related to Lele) I'll choose to follow their lead unless I find strong evidence to the contrary. Orthographically it would be the same either way as I'll discuss later.

### Syllabic Nasals:

Syllabic masals are odd in that they are not a complete set. Consipicuously the syllabic [n] is absent. [m] and [n] exist but these seem to be in very limited distribution: ie. they only occur at the end of words and represent the 2nd sing and 3rd sing possessive suffix respectively. Given this restriction it seems most likely to interpret them as [Vm] and [Vn] - a sequence that phonologically becomes reduced to a single syllabic masal.

I also have one incidence in my data of a syllabic [1]. Again, it occurs word finally but is not a sequrate morpheme as the nasals are. It is in variation with [VI] in the work [kaml]  $^{\sim}$  [kamɛl] 'man(male)'. It is also interesting to note that this occurs adjacent to a nasal. This fits with the general tendency in Lele for many speakers to delete vowels in unstressed syllables. This will be discussed under the section on morphophonemics.

The only problem with interpreting these syllabic nasals as a sequence of a vowel and a nasal is that often the vowel quality is unrecoverable. No one seems to know what sound it ought to be. This is also true in some cases of vowel deletion but then the deleted vowel can usually be recovered from the same morpheme in another word.

# Allophonic variation of consonants in Lele:

As with all languages, the sounds of Lele vary considerably as they are spoken in context with other sounds and as they are spoken by different speakers. In this section I'll attempt to deal with those variations which

are predictable (or freely varying) and fairly representative across the language group.

Aspiration of voiceless stops:

All the voiceless stops except the glottal stop vary with a slightly aspirated form of the stop. This is most pronounced when the stop precedes an [h] either within a word, across a syllable boundary, or across a word boundary. In addition stops are slightly aspirated word or utterance finally. This aspiration is not contrastive and, except when preceding [h] is never as pronounced as in English. Actually, in general terms, the voiceless stops in Lele vary between slightly voiced and unaspirated to voiceless and slightly aspirated. Voicing or aspiration then is not a contrastive feature of stops but rather the presence or absence of prenasalization. This opposition is often difficult for English speakers to accommodate and not infrequently leads to miscommunication.

eg. [atən] 'his' [at<sup>h</sup>#homu] 'a man's'
[i mɛt] 'he died' [i mɛt<sup>h</sup>] 'he died' (free variation)
[hok<sup>h</sup>hat] 'one pile'
[rok<sup>h</sup>hi] 'to pather'

note: In the above examples there is no example of [p]. Actually in my data this is observed much more frequently with [k] than any other stop. All of my word medial examples of aspiration preceding [h] involve [k].

Voicing of voiceless stops:

Technically the voiceless stops are prototypically not completely voiceless. There is no percieved contrast between voiceless unaspirated stop and the voiced counterpart. Voiceing is especially notable word medial when voiced segment precede and follow the stop.

nb. It is recognition of this status of the voiceless stops as phonetically somewhere between voiceless and voiced that resulted in a previous orthography having no voiceless stops represented. All stops were represented orthographically as voiced and the only distinction was the presence or absence of a preceding nasal.

Word final devoicing:

Just as voiceless stops are voiced in the environment of voiced segments, prenasalized voiced stops and trills are devoiced word and utterance finally.

Flapping (or shortening) or trills:

As one would expect, trills are often reduced to simple flaps intervocalically. Word initial and final the trills remain trills. This is most notable in fast speach. The bilabial trill  $[^mB]$  becomes more of a plosive than a true flap.

Eg. [aři yi] 'strike him'
[ɛřui] 'pull up'
[hɛřon] 'listen'

fna<sup>m</sup>bulun] 'his or her spouse'

#### Palatization of alveolar masal:

This alternation is tenuous at best. Actually I've only discovered the alveopalatal [%] in one word: [%iu] 'coconut'. Normally this could be ignored but since this particular word has a considerable usage in Lele I really need to discuss it. The [n] in this word is palatalized presumably due to the vowel sequence [iu] which follows it. It is a relatively natural process but it is odd in that palatization does not occur noticably preceding [il alone.

Velar backing of [k]:

In most utterances [k] is pronounced slightly further back in the mouth when preceding [u]. This is hardly noticable for the most part except when the [u] is followed by [i]. Under these circumstances the [k] becomes very backed [k] and the [u] all but disappears. I first noticed this in the following example:

nb. I had transcribed this word as [toki] for years and only discovered the backed [k] and "hidden" [u] this year when watching a man from the language transcribe a story.

# Lele contrastive phonemes:

Following is a list in chart form of the contrastive sounds and their phonemic representation. There are 16 consonants (including 2 semivowels) and 5 vowels.

### Consonants:

	Labial	Alveolar	Alveopalatal	Velar	Pharangeal
Vl Stop	p	t		k	
Labial Stop <sup>1</sup>	₽₩			(k₩)	
Presalized Vd Stop	m <sub>b</sub>	<sup>n</sup> d		(2)	
Sillabant		s			h
Vd Trill		۴			
Lateral		1			
Nasal	m	'n		ŋ	
Labial masal <sup>1</sup>	mW				
Semivowels <sup>3</sup>	W		У		

### Vowels:

	Front Unrounded	Mid Unrounded	Back Rounded
High	i		u
Mid	e		o
Low		a	

### Notes:

- 1 As noted in the interpretation section, the status of these labialized consonants is uncertain.
- 2 There is some asymetry noted in the above consonant chart. Most significantly the absence of a prenasalized voiced velar stop. One would have expected it to be there.
- 3 An alternative analysis could eliminate the need for semivowels but failing the unambiguous presence of vowel clusters I've choosen to include them. More on this discussion in the Orthography section.

Following is an example of some of the phonological contrasts in Lele. The data will be presented in sets of similar phonological units.

### Labial Consonants

### Word initially:

/p/	<pre>[pat] 'stone'</pre>	[pit] 'drift'	[por] 'with'
-----	--------------------------	---------------	--------------

[pen] 'look for' [pu:] 'pig'

[wu:] 'we two' [wah] (an expletative)

note: The labialized consonants are not followed by back vowels in my data.

### Medially:

[ipiah] 'afternoon' [apsowi] 'call' [kelpap] 'side of house'

[kempo] 'continued the same'

/mb/ [sembush] (proper name) [permbuso] 'island' [nambulun] 'his spouse'

[tumbu] 'my grandparent' ["rembush] (proper name)

/m/ [pomut] 'finished' [amst] 'die' [ama?] 'come' [atmu] 'your PL' [himoro] 'wrestle' [momun] 'bad' [ksfmsm] 'your tongue' [lskmat] 'fly' ["dimi] 'garmut'

/p<sup>W</sup>/ [kap<sup>W</sup>i] 'IRR say' [kop<sup>W</sup>at] 'climb up' [imp<sup>W</sup>an] 'sit down'

/m<sup>W</sup>/ [kam<sup>W</sup>an] 'ashes' [kosm<sup>W</sup>i] 'IRR answer' [tulm<sup>W</sup>i] 'burn' [korm<sup>W</sup>an] 'feces'

/w/ [kowi] 'kind of flower' ["dowo] 'my strength' [yowu] 'we EXC' [haws] 'my shoulder' [ssws] 'to paddle' [marwoh] 'two'

[parwas] 'vine' [Sows] (proper name) [honwai] '1st born feast'

[pslwan] 'second wife'

#### Word final:

/p/ [ap] 'you say' [hakep] 'one leaf' ["dop] 'basket'

[kup] 'S.E. wind' [Yap] 'Yap island'

/m/ [am] 'you come' [atem] 'yours' [tam] 'your father' [hom] 'one man' [kum] 'hair' [wum] 'house'

 $/p^{W}/$  no examples in my data

/mW/ no examples in my data

/w/ no examples in my data

Note: As can be seen from the above data, or the lack of it, labialized consonants do not occur in my data. [w] on the other hand, could be represented if I had transcribed the word final glides ending in [u] as a closed syllable ending in [w]. I could do this inasmuch as, as I have already mentioned, there are no unambiguous vowel clusters in my data. The problem is that most of the folks I've asked in the language say that writing [w] at the end of a word doesn't "feel" right.

### Alveolar Consonants

fportou3 'hold'

Word Initially:

/t/	/ [tam] 'your father' [towi] 'put'	[t:lni] 'see' [tu] 'we INCL'	[tok <sup>)</sup> i] 'walk' [tono] 'I know'
/ <sup>n</sup> c	i/ [ <sup>n</sup> dan] 'dance' [ <sup>n</sup> duh] 'sugar'	( <sup>n</sup> dɛlŋan] 'his ear' [ <sup>n</sup> dːkti] 'serve up'	
/n/	<pre>/ [nano] 'my mother' [ni] 'fish'</pre>	<pre>[nah] 'spear' [nu] 'wash'</pre>	[noh] 'afraid' [nowii] 'wave'
/ <sup>n</sup> í	<pre>*/ [<sup>n</sup>fan] 'water'   [<sup>n</sup>fe] 'on top of'</pre>		
/ 8/	/ [r̃ai] 'come down' [r̃osni] 'throw down'	[fi:] 'it' [fen] 'cry'	[řeř] 'tear' [řuwoh] 'two'
/1/	/ [laŋ] 'sky' [lu:] 'leaf'	[l:kɛs] 'heavy rain' [lokasal] 'middle of the	<del>-</del>
/5/	/ [sam] 'outrigger' [sih] 'one'	[sap] 'carry' [sehe?] 'who'	[soro] 'two people' [su] 'they'
Wor	rd medially:		
/t/	/ [h:ti] 'get' [oto] 'my'	<pre>fetuh3 'climb down' fipti3 'to marry'</pre>	[teteh] 'dad' [lukto] 'put'

/nd/ [andin] 'dance' [manda] 'village centre' [mendi] 'away from' [sendemani] 'ask' [londie] 'inside'

/n/	[anen] 'his food' [eni] 'eat' [me~nal] 'sun'	[ini] 'eat' [m <sup>W</sup> anan] 'far'	[nano] 'my mother' [nouni] 'decorate'
/ <sup>'n</sup> ኞ/	[hɛʰ̃e] 'half' [sɪʰ̃i] 'cut'	[ma <sup>n</sup> ře] 'POSS. skin' [mu <sup>n</sup> řul] 'hungry'	(m <sup>w</sup> a <sup>n</sup> ri] 'sun' ((l <sup>n</sup> ri] 'see'
/#/	[marau] 'light rain' [lɛr̃i] 'to it' [or̃o] 'stay'	[hɛ̃̃ŋ] 'listen' [wũ̃u] 'year' [kũ̃m <sup>W</sup> an] 'feces'	<pre>[hirek] 'stand up [kermem] 'your tongue' [mariye] 'one tree'</pre>
/1/	[ala?] 'go' [il <sup>n</sup> ¤i] 'see' [ <sup>n</sup> dɛlo] 'island'	[pulul] 'come down' [hilou] 'run' [hɛlsan] 'laughter'	<pre>[polo] 'my head' [wulu:] 'high tide'</pre>
/s/	<pre>[hasih] 'one nut' [piso?] 'my sister'</pre>	[moso] 'reef' [osm <sup>w</sup> ii] 'answer'	[mus:k] 'skin & bone' [mesaR] 'born'
Word	Finally:		
/t/	<pre>[at] 'POSS' [lout] 'kapul' [mat] 'low tide'</pre>	<pre>[kut] 'louse' [put] 'break' [nat] 'hole'</pre>	<pre>[pelt] 'masalai' [tut] 'shut'</pre>
/ <sup>n</sup> d/	(not in my data but woul	d probably be [nt]>	
/n/	[an] 'POSS food' [lu:n] 'it's leaf' [ma¤n] 'his eye'	[hiyan] 'good' [pih:n] 'female' [m <sup>W</sup> enen] 'straight'	[hɪnɛn] 'do' [ <sup>n</sup> řun] 'his bone' [ <sup>n</sup> don] 'still/yet'
/ <sup>n</sup> %/	[ <sup>m</sup> bu <sup>n</sup> R] 'banana' [Pipalna <sup>n</sup> rı <sup>n</sup> R] '(proper	ໂກຣ <sup>ກ</sup> R ກຸລ <sup>ກ</sup> R] 'cough' name)'	[sehe <sup>n</sup> R] 'half'
/%/	<pre>CaR3 'FUT.'  Cmst (R3 'sleep'</pre>	[iR] 'remain' [¤ER] 'rip'	[maR] 'eye' [poR] 'with'
/1/	<pre>[al] 'go' [yil] 'war' [pul] 'head of tree'</pre>	[hal] 'laugh' [sul] 'torch'	<pre>[lol] 'go down' ["dol] 'canoe'</pre>
/5/	<pre>[has] 'gather' ["das] 'ocean'</pre>	[sus] 'to paddle' [pa~was] 'vine'	<pre>fnas3 'to climb' fhis3 'jump'</pre>
Vela	r Consonants		
Word	initially:		
/k/	<pre>[kal] 'IRR go' [krut] 'IRR forget'</pre>	<pre>[kina] 'already gone' [kohon] 'hide'</pre>	[kou] 'fence' [kur] 'pot'
/ŋ/	[nat] 'hole' [nes] 'climb up'	[ŋoh] 'to blew' [ŋu <sup>n</sup> řei] 'creator'	[ŋoro?] 'my name' [ŋıR] 'base of'
/h/	[hal] 'laugh' [hɛti] 'get'	[hinen] 'make' [homu] 'one person'	[howen] 'new' [huři:] 'carry'

#### Word medially:

/k/	[aka?] 'that' [ <sup>n</sup> d:kɛn] 'his leg' [tɛkɛ?] 'like'	<pre>[oko?] 'this' [souka?] 'kind of bird' note: This alternates in [tɛŋɛ?]</pre>	<del>-</del>
	[lukto] 'it's place'	[ɛ̃řkai] 'walk!'	[ <sup>r</sup> dokro?] 'mid-'
/ŋ/	<pre>[haŋah] 'part way' [hɪŋi] 'to smell' [tuŋou] '(place name)'</pre>	[fans?] 'today' [lonu:] 'something'	[nonen] 'talk' [menun] 'dry'
/h/	[she?] 'yes' [nohoto] 'smell of' [lonhou] 'jungle'	Coho] 'where' [lshsn] 'his teeth' [mshsee?] 'come up'	[kohon] 'hide' [huữhuR] '(Proper name)'

# Word finally:

/k/	[hipak] 'hurry up'	[nak] 'intend to IRR'	[pek] 'frus. intent'
	[nok] '(expression of	contempt);	[musik] 'skin & bone'

/ŋ/	[hɛ̃̃oŋ] 'listen'	[son] 'go inside'	[piŋ] 'night'
	[plɛŋ] 'garden'	[r̃aŋ] 'day'	[lɛŋ] 'beach'

/h/	[hakah] 'mid (stream)'	[pah] 'market'	<pre>[loh] 'call to'</pre>
	[kah] 'look for'	[noh] 'afraid'	[moh] 'tomorrow'
	[kuh] 'come up'	[sih] 'one'	[soroh] 'meat'
	[teteh] 'dad'	[wasah] 'moon'	

nb. Actually the important contrast word finally is between [h], a long vowel or glide [V:] or [VV], and a vowel cut short by a glottal stop [V?]. It took me some time to recognize this three way contrast because it carries a low functional load. It is nevertheless significant and a learner will be corrected if he doesn't maintain the distinction.

Example:	[tu] 'we INCL'	(tuh) 'climb down'
	[pu:] 'pig'	[puh] 'explode'
	[ma] 'with'	[mah] 'taro'
	[wo] 'you SG'	[woh] 'blow away'

You'll notice that I don't have any examples of a three way contrast. That's not an oversight, I just don't have any minimal sets in my data. My observation about the long vowel or glide being in contrast with [h] and a short vowel word finally is simply that: I have no examples in my data of a word final [h] preceded by a long vowel or a glide. More discussion on this point under 'unresolved issues'.

#### Nasals

The three masal consonants are clearly in contrast as shown by the following examples:

/m/	<pre>[mat] 'low tide'</pre>	[moh] 'tomorrow'	[mi] 'seated'
/n/	[nak] 'intend IRR'	[noh] 'afraid'	[ni] 'fish'
/ŋ/	[nat] 'hole'	[ŋoh] 'to blow'	[ŋıR] 'close to'

#### Vowels contrast and variation in Lele

Lele has at least 5 contrasting vowel qualities. Earlier in my investigation I began to suspect 6 or 7 contrasting vowels but have been unable to conclusively demonstrate the contrast. My suspicions were aroused because of reported differences in vowel from a single informant. Later checks demonstrated that this proported difference was a perception of an individual (ie. ideolect) and not the entire speech community. Contrastive vowel length is another area where it has been difficult to distinguish between individual preferences on the one hand, and trends in the speech community on the other. For those reasons, I will cite clear examples of vowel contrast and reserve other proported contrasts to the section on "unresolved issues".

/a/	[ama?] 'come'	[ala?] 'go'	[atəm] 'his'
	[nah] 'spear'	[kaR] 'IRR uncertain'	[la] '3rd PL go'
/e/	[[]oh] 'call out!'	[Eni] 'eat!'	[stns?] 'stand up!'
	[nemen] 'his hand'	[ke~me?] 'my tongue'	[le] '3rd SG go'
/i/	[ini] 'eat'	filki] 'put'	[itne?] '3rd SG stand'
	[ni] 'fish'	[kiR] 'IRR stay'	[li] 'Progessive Part.'
/0/	[ono?] 'my food'	[oro?] 'you stay'	[oto?] 'my'
	[noh] 'afraid'	[koR] 'village'	[loh] 'call out for'
/u/	[ukini] '1st SG be with	child'	[ulki] '1st SG put'
	[nu] 'wash'	[kuR] 'pot'	[lu:] 'leaf'

note: There are several allophones above which I've made no attempt to explain in the section on "variation". These are between [e] and [ɛ] & between [i] and [ɪ]. No matter what kind of 'rule' I try to devise, there are exceptions and the 'rules' to cover the exceptions do not seem well motivated. On the other hand, I have no evidence of contrast between these tense and lax vowels so I'm considering them as allophones until I have data to show otherwise. These variants may be bound up with vowel length somehow but such an investigation is beyond the scope of this paper.

### Glides. sequences of vowels. and long vowels

What follows is a series of examples of vowel glides and vowel sequences. I will make little or no attempt to contrast the different glides with one another or to contrast them with pure vowels. They are included here only as examples of what sequences do occur in Lele and to provide a basis upon which further discussion can procede. I'll also include long vowels in this section.

/u:/ [su:] 'disembodied sprirt' fpu:3 'pip' [sou:] (or [sou.u]) '(a village name)' [lu:] 'leaf' [mu:] 'to flow out (as bood from a wound)' [wu:1] 'infected sores' [peu:] 'shark' /u/ [su] 'they' [mu] 'you PL' (see above for more) /ou/ [sou] 'mat' & 'women's house' [kous] 'friend' flout3 'kapul' [mo.tou] 'knife' [po.kiou] (man's name) (sou.u] '(village name)' [ndou.ai.ni] 'strengthen' [sou, ka] 'mame of a bird' [ndou.o] 'my strength' [kioul 'IRR leave' [oun] '2nd SG say' [lou] 'family' /au/ [lau] !fellow workers! fiaul 'leave' [pau] 'kind of fruit' [sa.li.au] 'kind of fish' [kei.au] 'epp' [kau.a] 'sago bag' [hau. E] 'my shoulder' /eu/ [kam.eu] or [kam.e.u] |left| [peu:] 'shark' [sa.leu] or [sa.le.u] 'kind of fish' freul 'to come up' [#iu] 'pull' [wa.siu] 'kanda vine' /iu/ [fiu] 'coconut' flum. "Fiul 'grass' [kai.u] 'IRR leave' thisus ne?? or Thi.ws.ns?3 \*visit\* /ui/ [po. Fou. i] 'hold' [Fui:] or [Fu.i:] 'pull' [tou.i] 'put' [ap.sou.i:] 'call' [i~nui] 'poisoned' [me.tir.ui.ni] 'sleep with' [to.kui] or [to.k'i] 'walk' ["der.u.on] or ["der.won] 'sand' /uo/ [max.u.oh] 'two' [psl.u.o?] or [psl.wo?] 'fellow wife' [wo] 'you SG' [wop] 'run away' [won] 'speak' [wot] 'boil' /ua/ [Er.u.aR] 'latter today' [hor.u.an] 'good' [mel.u.an] 'his spirit' [pa.lu.a.suk] 'stretcher'[hon.wai] or [hon.u.ai] 'birth feast' info.muf.u.al 'drown' [par.was] 'vine' [per.wan] 'heavy' [mur.wan] or [mur.u.an] 'deep' [was] 'blood vessel' [wa. %ab] 'moon' [walsh] 'cry out' /ue/ [ɛl.u.ɛn] 'long' [ɛ̃r.u.ei] 'pull out' [ku. sh] 'flute' [lu.lu.e] 'to lower' [han. ur. u. e. ni] 'think about' [<sup>m</sup>bu.e] 'crocodyle ftu. E. nil 'boil' [wou. En] 'you SG PERF' [we.lau] 'round' [we. re?] 'song' [ws.nei] 'able' [le. Fii] 'to it' /i:/ [ha.ki:] 'piece' [to.ni:] 'bury' [nou.i:] 'wave' ["di:] 'away from' [i. FEi:] 'to beat' [yil] 'war' [yl.pi:] 'sago' [yi] '3rd SG pn'

/ie/	<pre>[hei.e] 'one canoe' [pi.e.ni] 'throw' [ye. fe?] 'my liver'</pre>	<pre>flo. ndi. e3 'inside' ftu.li.e.nil 'pull up' fpi.ep3 'wild pig'</pre>	<pre>[si.eh] 'who' [wo.ni.e.ni] 'to try' [yen] 'eat'</pre>
/ia/	[hi.an] 'good' [yau] 'go' [ya.hɛn] 'kandere'	<pre>Cloh.i.a3 'calling' Spor.tou.i.a3 'holding' Sya.lin3 'like'</pre>	<pre>[pc.li.a] 'sail' [tok.i.ai] 'walking' [yas] 'sago trough'</pre>
/io/	<pre>[kiou] 'IRR leave' [yo] 'I'</pre>	<pre>[po.kicu] 'a man's name' [yoR] 'wind'</pre>	<pre>[pWi.yoh] 'never' fyo.wu] 'we EXCL'</pre>
/ei/	<pre>[kei] 'tree' [p<sup>W</sup>ei] 'say' [soi.ei] 'dig' [wou.ei] 'beetle nut tree</pre>	<pre>[rei:] 'beat' [mei.is] or [mei.yis] 'co</pre>	[tei. ɛ?] 'like'
/ai/	<pre>fhail 'north west wind' [ŋai.ŋon] 'nose' [ndou.ai.ni] 'strengthen' [pwa.yi] 'scold'&gt;</pre>	[mu.rai] 'short' [ma.yin] 'maybe'	<pre>[hɛl.sai] 'laughter' [nai] 'blood' [ta.yi] 'beat him' [pwai] 'say'</pre>
/oi/	[soi.e] 'dig'	[yoi] (exclamation)	[po.yil] 'angry'

First, it should be noted that the syllable breaks indicated in the above examples are etic breaks as far as I can tell. Also, I've included semivowels in the transcription of some to help make the phonetics clear. I have not written semivowels, however, where they were redundant transitions between a high and a non-high vowel across a syllable boundary . . . with a few exceptions.

#### Long vowels:

(not many of this sequence)

I have a few, limited examples of contrast between long and short vowels. (See above) Given the wide variety of vowel sequences present in the data these are probably best dealt with as gemminates or double vowels rather than emic long vowels. It is interesting to note that well over 95% of the long vowels are word final or in the last syllable of the word. It is also curious that only [u] and [i] are lengthened in this way. An alternate interpretation could be [iy] and [uw]. Nyindro (another Manus language) has gone this way but I prefer leaving just as long vowels. Most of the Lele speakers write them as [Vowel-Vowel]. Don't know what the hyphen is for but the point is that they do not write [VowelSemi].

#### One syllable or two?

As a general rule, it seems that if the high vowel is second in the sequence, (eg. [ai], [ou] etc.) the sequence is pronouced as a single syllable and the high vowel becomes non-syllabic (ie. [y] or [w]). If the high vowel is first in the sequence, (eg. [ia], [ue] etc.) the sequence is pronounced as two syllables - the high vowel is syllabic except when the high vowel is also word initial, in which case it is non-syllabic. Some sequences beginning in [e] also behave this way. This holds for most of the cases with the exception

of some limited morpheme boundaries (eg. [po.yil] should be \*[poil] but it is a compound of [po-] 'male prefix' and [vil] 'war').

Gemminates are pronounced as long mono-syllables except, if no semi-vowel is introduced, in words like [mei.is] 'cooked'. (There is no interviening plottal in these words.)

When both vowels of the cluster are high I find that I can not make a clear statement predicting syllabification (is that a word?). More often, the sequenced is pronounced as a long syllable with a complex nucleus. It depends a lot, though, upon who is pronouncing the word and what I'm listening for at the time. Words like  $[\epsilon \tilde{r}u.i]$  'pull' are usually pronounced (the [ui] sequence that is) as two syllables whereas words like [tokui] 'walk' never are. In this last example, in some ideolects (speech of one individual) the [u] is missing altogether and the preceding [k] is backed. The same is true for the [iu] sequences though these tend to bi-syllabic with the exception of the word [niu] 'coconut', which is always a single syllable.

#### Semivowels

From reviewing the data, it seems that it would be possible to eliminate semivowels from the phonological description of Lele. Most, if not all, of the phonetic non-syllabic high vowels can be accounted for in one way or another through the following phonological rules:

1) When a high vowel ([u] or [i]) occurs word initially and precedes another vowel, it becomes non-syllabic and is pronounced as an on-plide.

2) Gemminate high vowels ([ii] and [uu]) are part of separate syllable nucleii when preceded or followed by another vowel.

3) When a high vowel is first in a vowel sequence, it is syllabic except when following a voiced continuent ([1] or [r]) when the high vowel tends to be non-syllabic.

4) When a high vowel is second in a vowel sequence, it is non-syllabic.

```
eg. /motou/ > [mo.tow] 'knife'
/kaiu/ > [kay.u] 'IRR leave'
/oun/ > [own] '2nd sing speak'
```

Well, as I mentioned, the rules covered most of the examples. No doubt one could contrive additional phonological rules that would cover the exceptions but I'm not sure the effort would be worth while or actually reflect reality for the speakers of Lele. Orthographically it seems to make little difference.

#### Stress

Stress for the most part is unpredictable on a purely phonological basis. Etically the following stress patterns have been observed:

The trends I've observed are as follows:

- On nouns, stress is most often associated with the first syllable.
- On verbs, stress is most often associated with the first syllable of the stem. Prefixes are usually unstressed.
- The transitive suffix [-eni] usually receives secondary stress as [-e".ni].
- Syllables containing long vowels or glides are, other things being equal. more prominent and tend to receive stress.

Unresolved issues in Lele Phonology: Aren't there always?

#### Additional vowels?

Some speakers of the language maintain that there are one or two additional high vowels [i] and [u]. The former occur in unstressed syllables and are so reduced when associated with a masal consonant that they are all but lost - the masal becoming syllabic.

[atin] > [atn] 'his'
["ramit] > ["ramt] 'man'

The latter [4] was proposed by a speaker of Lele when I questioned him about two apparent homonyms:

[moso] 'reef' & 'I've had enough'

He claimed that the word for 'reef' was [musu] and that was different from [moso] 'I've had enough'. For a long time I accepted this distinction but found that few were able to consistently identify what words had what vowel sound. Just recently I asked another Lele speaker about these two words and his response was that the word for 'reef' had a long vowel whereas the other didn't.

le. [mo:so] 'reef'
[moso] 'I've had enough'

I've not had a chance to test this second hypothesis but it is of little consequence since, if there is a contrast, the functional load is so low that it is inconsequential.

### Long vowels:

There is a great deal of inconsistency in my data concerning long vowels. There are many words I've transcribed at one time with long vowels and at another time with short vowels. I'm also suspicious about long vowels following a glide like [ $\tilde{r}$ ui:] 'pull' and [tai:] 'kill'. In both these cases the words are monosyllabic and all my other examples of long vowels following glides are in separate syllables (eg. [tow.i:] 'put'). I would need much more evidence to accept the presence of essentially 3 vowel syllable nuclei.

In addition, the distribution of long vowels is suspicious. Why do they almost exclusively occur at the end of a word? Maybe what they are marking is the absence of [h] of a final [?].

### Labialized consonants:

In the section on interpretation I mentioned that that status of labialized consonants was somewhat in question. An alternative interpretation could be that, given the observation that labialized consonants were never followed by [u], they are simply the phonetic realization of [p] or [m] followed by a [uV] sequence. Omitting them would introduce more complications for rules governing vowel sequences but it is a possibility. I also have

observed at least one incidence of an apparent labialized velar  $\{kw\}$  in the word  $\{k^{W}\in I\}$  'sago beating instrument'. This is clearly monosyllabic and contrasts with  $\{ku, gh\}$  'flute' which is two syllables.

Morphophonemics - the interaction of grammar and phonology

### [h] appenthesis:

This process is hardly worth describing because it is trivial in nature and a quite natural phonetic process. I'm including it here, however, because it is this process that allows speakers not familiar with word final [h] to recognize its presence.

Words beginning with a vowel [V], become [hV] following words ending in [h]. Ie. you hear the word final [h] on the following word if it is vowel initial.

```
Eg. /nah aten/ --> [nah haten] 'his kambang' /nduh atsu/ --> [nduh hatsu] 'their sugar'
```

nb. I suspect this process is responsible in part for many [a] vowel initial pidgin words being pronounced [ha...] on Manus.

### Vowel deletion:

Under some circumstances, vowels in unstressed syllables are frequently deleted. This occurs most often with Irrealis prefixes on verbs and possessive suffixes on nouns.

```
'IRR pet'
Eo. /ki-hiti/
                                 --> [khiti]
                   'IRR die'
    /ki-met/
                                 --> [kmet]
                   'IRR finish'
                                 --> [kpomut]
     /ki-pomut/
                   his?
                                 --> [atn]
     /at-en/
     /at-em/
                   'vour'
                                 --> [atm]
and also
     /<sup>n</sup>~amet/
                                 --> ["Famt]
                  'man'
```

#### Vowel insertion:

This is somewhat more dubious but often a problem for individuals first exposed to Lele sounds. Voiceless stops (/p/, /t/, /k/) preceding a voiced continuent ([r], [l], [n] etc.) often appear to be separated from that second consonant by a 'transition vowel'.

```
Eg. /plen/ 'garden' --> [p<sup>3</sup>len]

/kle/ 'IRR go' --> [k<sup>3</sup>le?]

/lapnen/ 'large' --> [lap<sup>3</sup>nen]
```

nb. In practice one often wonders just which process is taking place. Is there a vowel there that is being deleted or is there really no vowel supposed to be there and it just sounds like there is? I have found this particularly troublesome and there are still many words that I'm not sure about. The tendency for native speakers transcribing texts is for them not to write the vowel in either case.

### Vowel harmony: (vowels sounding the same)

There is often a considerable variation in the stem vowels of both nouns and verbs when affixed for person. With verbs, the initial vowel often becomes:

- an [o] or [u] when affixed for Irrealis 1st person
  an [e] or [o] when affixed for Irrealis 2nd person
  an [i] or [e] when affixed for Irrealis 3rd person
- Eg. /hiti/ 'get'
  /you kuhuti/ 'I IRR get'
  /wo heti/ 'you get' note: no irrealis in 2nd person
  /yi kihiti/ 'he IRR get'

With nouns, the stem vowel frequently, though not always, changes with 1st person to [o] or [u].

```
/pal/
                       ¹ head¹
Eg.
      /palem/
                      'your head'
      /pal su/
                       'their head'
      /polo/
                      'mv head'
      /<sup>n</sup>dikem/ 'your leg'
/<sup>n</sup>dike su/ 'their leg'
      /<sup>n</sup>duko/
                      'my len'
                       'your father'
      /tam/
      /tamen/
                       'his father'
      /tomo/
                       'my father'
```

# Methasis: (sounds changing order.)

The first two segments stem or base form of the verb are occasionally reversed when inflected for the second person singular.

```
Eg. /yi soling . . . / 'he prays . . .'
/(wo) osling . . . / '(you) pray . . .'

/i tine . . . / '(he) stood up . . .'
/etne . . . / '(you) stand up . . .'

/yi sopwani tu/ 'he helps up'
/(wo) ospwani tu/ '(you) help us'

/yi pokulue su/ 'he look after them'
/(wo) opkulue su/ '(you) look after them'
```

### Lele Orthography - past and present

German missionaries from the Libenzel mission first came to Manus in 1914. One of the first works they began was among Lele speakers. Schools were established in Lele and eventually the New Testament and a song book were published in the language. Unfortunately the publication of these materials did not come until after the war and the language policy had changed to favor the use of English instead of the vernacular. The song books nevertheless became quite popular but the New Testament was virtually unused. One of the many factors contributing to the lack of use of the N.T. was a difficult orthography used in its printing. It was quite unlike English in some respects and had a different orthography for borrowed words than for Lele. Listed below are the contrastive sounds in Lele, the orthography used in the Lele New Testament (Nongen Helian), and the current orthography being used by Lele speakers in vernacular work.

Phoneme	Old Orthography	Current Orthography
/p/	b	P
/t/	d	t
/k/	9	k
/ <sup>m</sup> b/	mb	mb
/ <sup>n</sup> d/	nd	nd
/ <sup>n</sup> #/	ndr	ndr
/p <sup>W</sup> /	bo	р
/m <sup>W</sup> /	mo	mw
/s/	5	5
/h/	h	ħ
/%/	r	r
/1/	1	1
/n/	n	n
/m/	m	m
/ŋ/	ng	ng
/w/	u (v)	W

/y/	i (j)	У
/i/	i	i
/e/	e	e
/a/	a	a
/o/	o	•
/u/	u	u

almost no final [h] written

few long vowels written

### Problems with the old orthography:

The single most important weakness of the old orthography is that it is quite un-English like. It's not similar to Pidgin either so the literate Lele speaker, of whom there are many, would find reading materials printed in this orthography difficult at best. It is also interesting to note that the orthography reflects the belief of the divisors that Lele has no voiceless stops. That would be an interesting development to say the least inasmuch as there are no other language in the world that have voiced stops but not voiceless.

A third difficulty is the lack of consistency. Borrowed words like "prophet", "Satan", "Peter", "Pharisee" etc. were all spelled with voiceless stops and, in addition, in some cases maintained the spelling conventions of the language from which they had been borrowed.

Eg.	Satan	>	Satan
	prophet	>	provet
	Peter	>	Petrus
	Pharisee	>	Pharisi
	Messiah	<b></b> >	Messias
	pound	>	pound
	king	>	king
	Jesus	>	Jesu
	Jerusalem	>	Jerusalem
	Pilate	>	Pilatus
	Sabbath	>	Sabbath
	Psalm	>	Psalm

The lack of consistency also showed itself in the spelling of words which contained labialized consonants.

```
Eg. [mwenin] 'straight' --> monen
but [momen] 'bad' --> momen
[moni] 'money' --> moni
```

Word initial semivowels were generally not written except for borrowed words like 'Jesu', however when the initial sequence was [wo...] the word was usually (though not always) written with a semiyowel.

```
En. [wum] 'house'
                               1110
    [womu] 'you PL'
                         -->
                               110011
    [wuru] 'year'
                         -->
                               uru
    [wo] 'vou SG'
                         -->
                               uo
    [won] 'speak'
                         -->
                               vona
    [wonei] 'able'
                         -->
                               vonei
    [woh] 'blow awav'
                         -->
                               voh
    [wowei] 'bettle nut' -->
                               vovei
    [was] 'vine'
                         -->
                               vas
    [vil] 'war'
                         -->
                               i i 1
    'I' [ov]
                         -->
                               in
```

Current orthographical conventions:

#### Semivowels:

The semivowels [y] and [w], though in most cases predictable, are being written when they occur word initially and sometimes when syllable initial when the syllable break is not clear otherwise. (This is not, however, consistently practiced.) This is generally following the practice of Pidgin.

As off glides, they are written as [i] and [u] respectively, again, following the practice of Pidgin.

#### Prenasalization:

As with most other languages in PNG, the prenasalization is largely predictable (with the exception of the prenasalized trill  $[^n \mathcal{E}]$ . Nevertheless, these are written as digraphs as is also the practice in most PNG languages. The prenasalized alveolar trill is written as a trigraph 'ndr' following a long established practice in Manus languages.

### Labialization:

Though the status of these segments is somewhat in doubt, the practice is to write them as digraphs 'pw' and 'mw'. This seems to cause no problem for readers and avoids the confusion caused by the earlier 'bo' and 'mo' conventions.

#### Velar Nasal:

Written as 'ng' as in many other languages of PNG and to maintain similarity to English and Pidgin.

#### Transitions and Deletions:

Increasingly there is the trend of writers of Lele to drop out vowels that are, or can be, deleted in actual speech. "Transition vowels" are never written because they are phonetic features not perceived by the speakers. The early orthographies included many of them.

### Voiceless Stops:

Written as 'p'. 't'. and 'k' as in English and Pidpin.

#### Borrowed words:

Are phonemicized and written with the current orthography.

### Example texts:

# Old orthography from 'Nongen Helian':

#### Mark 7:1-4

- 1. Bersi su Pharisi e su ndremda pohernou per Jerusalem su me ndro [persih su parisi e su "remta pohernou peR yerusalem su me "ro]
- Jesu. 2. Su ilndri su lao ad Jeus (sp error) su da ian gan su de surhi nemesu Yesu su il<sup>n</sup>ri su lao at Yesu su ta yan kan su te surhi: nımɛsu
- hanu bui, su habai su. 3. Su Pharisi e su Juda masi su surhi nemesu sidesi be hanu  $p^W$ i su ? su su pa $\tilde{r}$ isi e su Yuta masih su su $\tilde{r}$ hi: nımɛsu sidesi pe
- su kain gan, dogoro su hinen nongen asu lapenen per goru. 4. Dogoro su iao su kayin kan ? su hinen nonen asu lapnen peR koru ? si yau

bah, su me lie su surhi su hanu, boen su ian kan. Dogoro su hinen longu pah su me le su surhi: su hanu  $p^W$ en su yan kan ? su hinen longu:

mabosanged su surhi lumiandran, boged, lus ma geao asu. maposangt su surhi lumiya<sup>n</sup>ran p<sup>w</sup>akt lus ma keiau asul

### New orthography from Lele Mark:

#### Mark 7:1-4

- 1. Su Perasi ma su tiken per hanunuan su to Yerusalem su me to mandrkos [su perasi ma su tiken peR hanunuan su to yerusalem su me to manRkos
- Yesu. 2. Su ha yindri su lau at Yesu tiken, su ha yan kan an su, su te surhii yesu su ha yi<sup>n</sup>ri su lau at yesu tικεη a an an an ε πhi

nime su pwi, teke su Perasi kin hanunuen su. Su masih kesurhii nime su hanu, nime su p<sup>W</sup>i teke su perasi kin hanunuwen su su masih kesurhi: nime su hanu

pwen su keyenyan. p<sup>w</sup>en su keyenyan] 3. Su Perasi ma su Yuta masih le, su to ndrupo nongen su hiti ndro su [su perasi ma su yuta masih le su to nrupo nonen su hiti nro su

tumbu su. Kle su te surhii nime su pwi, teke sal ndangen at su tumbu su, ar  $tu^{m}$ bu su - kle su te surhi: nime su p $^{w}$ i - teke sal  $^{n}$ danen - at su tu $^{m}$ bu su - aR

su te yenyan pwi. 4. Nde su te yini hepsa you pah me pwi kle su te surhii su te yenyan p $^{W}$ i  $^{n}$ de su te yini hepsa you pah me p $^{W}$ i kle su te su $^{m}$ hi:

nime su teke nongen at su tumbu su. E su to ndrupo su nongen soln le, su ha nime su teke nonen at su tu<sup>m</sup>bu su  $\epsilon$  su to  $^n$ rupo su nonen soln le su ha

hurii ndro su tumbu su, per surha su pweniu su kur su ndrokou, e perlet. huri:  $^{n}$ ro su tu<sup>m</sup>bu su peR surha su p<sup>W</sup>eniu su kuR su  $^{n}$ rokou e perlet]

#### Lele Dialects

There are three dialects of Lele. The first is spoken in and around Tingou (near Lugos mission station). This dialect comprises approximately 1/3 to 1/2 of the speakers of Lele. The second is spoken in Ndranou and villages nearby including most of the inland villages of Lele (not coastal). The third is spoken only in Rosun and is phonologically similar to Lele but lexically different. In addition, there are lexical differences throughtout the language area due to regional preferences and word taboos.

### Phonologically the differences are as follows:

Tingou	Rosun	Ndranou
[4]	נאט	[13
[rane?]	[rane?]	llaŋε? řə
metiR]	[metiR]	[met:1] 'sleep'
[warah]	[warah]	[walah] 'moon'
[1]	£13	[y] or [i]
[] ະກຸເກ]	[leŋın]	[yiŋın] 'rain'
[mũai]	[mufai]	[molai] 'short'
[sal]	[sal]	[sai] 'road'

In all the above examples, the Rosun dialect is identical to the Lele dialect but this lexical identity is a recent development. Historically the Rosun dialect was a distinct dialect, especially lexically, and some counted it a different language. In the past generation or two the lexical distinctness has dissapeared and the young people now from Rosun for the most part speak the Tingou dialect of Lele. The r/l distinction in the rest of the language remains and shows no indications of disappearing.