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#### Abstract

This paper is a descriptive account of the nominal structure of Tai Khamti, a language of Northwest Myanmar and Northeast India (Assam and Arunachal Pradesh areas). Khamti is a Daic language, specifically Kadai, Kam-Tai, Tai, Southwestern, Northwest branch. The analysis is based upon both elicited grammatical structures as well as a collection of texts of various genres (first and third person narratives, expository, legend, and processual accounts). These were recorded and transcribed from five fluent speakers of Khamti.

This grammatical analysis divides the nominal structure into two major parts, simple modification and complex modification using the relative clause. After first establishing and categorizing the noun word class the majority of the paper focuses on noun modification.

The most significant results in the analysis is a definite determiner that is iconically abstracted from the demonstrative and a relative marker that is abstracted from a generic classifier. The relative, numeral-classifier and indefinite constructs all share similar functional behavior providing motivation for this relative marker. Of special note also is the use of adjectives and indefinite structures that position themselves between the head noun and its modifying relative clause.

Finally, after establishing nominal structure it is very briefly shown how it can be marked for different arguments in the sentence. This will lend itself nicely to the next level of grammatical description of the clause. Future research will show that this Tai-Kadai language will function like Tibeto-Burman languages in its argument marking. Specifically, it will be demonstrated that Khamti operates with a Tibeto-Burman-like 'anti-ergative' marking system as outlined gernerally in Dryer (1986) and specifcally in LaPolla(1992).
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## List of Abbreviations

(follows Leipzig except where an asterisk)

| 1 | first person |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | second person |
| 3 | third person |
| CAUS | causitive |
| CLF | classifier |
| CONJ* | conjunction |
| DU | dual |
| EMPH | emphasis |
| EXCL | exclusive |
| INCL | inclusive |
| IRR | irrealis |
| LOC | location |
| NEG | negative |
| OBJ | object |
| OBL | oblique |
| PRT | particle |
| PAST* | past |
| PAST.RECENT* | past recent |
| PERF* | perfect |
| PL | plural |
| POLITE* | polite |
| PROG | progressive |
| QF* | quotation formula |
| REL | relativizer |
| SUBJ* | subject |
| TAG* | tag question |
|  |  |

### 1.0 Introduction and preliminaries*

Tai Khamti (henceforth, Khamti) is a language of Northwest Myanmar whose heartland is considered to be the Putao area. Currently there are about 50 Khamti villages in India and 70 villages in western Myanamar as well as many Khamti people in urban settings. The total population is estimated at 200,000 people. ${ }^{1}$ Khamti is a Daic language, specifically Kadai, Kam-Tai, Tai, Southwestern, Northwest with the closest language affinities to Phake, Aiton, Khamyang and Ahom (Diller 1992 and Wilaiwan 1998:421).

Linguistically Khamti, as part of Dai share the following general characteristics. They all have 1) tone with every syllable and very little tone sandhi, 2) modifiers are postposed, 3) clause structure is verb medial (although for Khamti alone the more pervasive word order is SOV), and 4) morphemes are largely monosyllabic (Manson 2005:20; Edmonson and Solnit 1997:7-11).

This paper addresses the structure of the Khamti nominal ${ }^{2}$ with specific attention given to the definite marking, as an extrapolation of the demonstrative, as it relates to the development of quantification and numeracy. Typologically speaking it is not uncommon for a demonstrative to grammaticalize to a definite marking but in Khamti this phenomena seems especially prevalent. Likewise, when talking about quantifying and counting there is a semantic link to definiteness of the nominal. The nominal is discussed as a simple and complex structure. Simple structure includes basic descriptive modification while complex structure includes compound nominals, apposition and nominals modified by a relative clause.

### 2.0 Nouns

Nouns in Khamti are here divided into common and proper nouns. Common nouns also display a prolific process of compounding. This along with a set of pronouns is each described in turn.

### 2.1 Common noun

In Khamti common nouns can be marked for plural. They are also grouped into classes with each class categorized by its own classifier. These classifier-noun constructions are mostly used for counting.

### 2.1.1 Singular and plural

A noun in Khamti can be pluralized with the 'PL' nail khau. While I suggest that this plurality is an optional marking device following most Tai langauges, for Khamti the evidence is much stronger to support an obligatory marking. Some examples are shown in 1) - 3).

[^0]1)
kuun ${ }^{4}$ pai $^{3}$ taang ${ }^{4}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau kaw $^{1}$ pai $^{3} \quad$ nii ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} i^{3}$ uu.
travelor PL also move_about well PRT.indic
'Travelors also move about safely.'
2)
phat laak yau ${ }^{1}$ kii $^{4}$ laak nai ${ }^{1}$ khau siim $^{2}$ thukthuk.
chop_down fence_post after_this fence_post PL sharpen_to_point ready
'After chopping down fence posts sharpen fence posts to a point so they are ready to use.'
3)
yau ${ }^{1}$ kii $^{4}$ an ${ }^{3}$ khian ${ }^{4}$ laak nai ${ }^{1}$ yaa $^{1}$ taang ${ }^{4}$ tuak nai ${ }^{1}$ khau mai ${ }^{2}$ haeu ${ }^{2}$ poo $^{4}$ mau after_this thing most strange this as_for intersection PL OBJ in_order_to NEG tuang ${ }^{2}$ kan ${ }^{3}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ taang $^{4}$ khookaaikan $^{2}$ neeu nai ${ }^{1}$ khau nai ${ }^{1}$ mauau $^{3}$ kii $^{4}$
stumble PROG this overpass PL or
taang ${ }^{4}$ lamnin $^{3}$ pai ${ }^{3}$ naeu ${ }^{4}$ nin $^{3}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau kaw ${ }^{1}$ aantaan hiit wai ${ }^{1}$ sii pai $^{3}$ kaa underpass PL also many make keep CONJ move_about go
pai $^{3} \quad$ maa $^{4}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ piuu luung tee ${ }^{1}$ tee ${ }^{1}$ nam.
move_about come this happy big really really PRT.indic
'And now a most strange thing, in order for intersections to not get mixed up (they) keep making many overpasses or underpasses to move about really happily along.'

In 1) and 2) the nouns 'travelor' kuun $^{4}$ pai $^{3}$ taang $^{4}$ and 'fence_post' laak are marked for plural. 'Fence post' actually occurs twice in 2). The first time it has no plural marking the second occurence does. Each of the three nouns in 3), 'intersection' taang ${ }^{4}$ tuak, 'overpass' taang ${ }^{4}$ khookaaikan ${ }^{2}$ neeu and 'underpass' taang ${ }^{4}$ lamnin $^{3}$ naeu $^{4}$ nin $^{3}$, bears its own individual plural marker.

There are three more examples in 4) - 6) below. In these examples the nouns 'corn' $k h a u^{2} p h a a^{l}$, 'minute' miniit and 'trader' pkaa ${ }^{l}$ each carry a plural marker.
4)
kan $^{2}$ neeu phai ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ khau ${ }^{2}$ phaa ${ }^{1}$ an ${ }^{3}$ puak yau ${ }^{1}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau uam uu.
above fire LOC corn REL shuck finish PL roast PRT.indic
'Above the fire corn that is shucked is roasting.'
5)
nam ${ }^{1}$ uak kaa nai ${ }^{1}$ seung laa khan atuat ${ }^{1}$ haa ${ }^{2}$ miniit leeung ${ }^{3}$ khau
water out go this only PRT.stylistic approx approximately five minute one PL
kii ${ }^{3}$ seung laa $\quad \mathrm{kau}^{3}$ tsun $^{3}$ maa $^{4}$.
only PRT.stylistic 1 SG clear PERF
'Water came out for about 5 minutes until it had cleared.'
6)
$\mathrm{pa}^{1} \quad$ kaa sii taang ${ }^{4}$ luung an $^{3}$ peeun $^{3}$ pkaa ${ }^{1}$ khau pai ${ }^{3}$
carry_on_back go CONJ road big REL other_person trader PL move_about
mai theung kii $^{4}$ him $^{4}$ taang ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ au $^{3}$ nuan ${ }^{4}$ wai ${ }^{1}$ sii phaa ${ }^{2}$ huum pass_by reach when beside road LOC make sleep keep CONJ blanket cover
haeu ${ }^{2} \quad$ yau $^{1}$.
for_someone PAST
'He carried it away and when he got to the big road where other traders pass by freely he let that body lie down (as if sleeping) and covered (it) with a blanket.'

Notice additionally that in 4) there is a relative clause modifying the head noun 'corn'. The 'PL' follows the entire modified structure. The 'PL' is, in fact, an outer boundary marker of the entire nominal no matter how complex the internal structure. That is, the 'PL' (if there is one) is the outermost layer of the nominal. See 3.3.5 for more discussion on relative clause modification.

In 5) khau is the abbreviated form of nai ${ }^{l} k h a u$. Khau also is the 3PL pronoun. It seems to be semantically motivated (i.e., non-arbitrary) that the 3PL pronoun also more generically stands for plurality. Finally, in 6) the noun, 'trader' pkaa ${ }^{1}$ is pluralized using the abbreviated khau and it is also embedded in the larger relativized nominal, 'the big road where other traders pass by freely' taang ${ }^{4}$ luung $a^{3}$ peeun ${ }^{3}$ pkaa $^{1}$ pai $^{3}$. The head noun of the entire nominal is the singular road thus the absence of a plural word on the outer boundary of the phrase.

### 2.1.2 Classification of nouns

Each noun belongs to a sub-group or class of nouns. This numeral-classifier construction is very common in Southeast Asian languages. Tai languages especially are replete with rich classifier systems. Standard Thai, for example, has well over fifty classifiers (Inglis 2003).

Each classifier groups its set of nouns based primarily on some semantic feature or group of features, i.e. flat-thing, globular-thing, pointed-thing, etc. The nouns that are found in a given classified set often display a rich prototypical semantic structure (i.e., semantically related and overlapping senses with motivated semantic links to more and more deviant or extended members of the category). This prototype structure goes well beyond the scope of this paper but consult Inglis (2003) for examples from Standard Thai that display this complex prototypical structure.

For Khamti the general, all-purpose or generic classifier is $a n^{3}$. It could be used as a default classifier for any noun. Because it is semantically general, i.e., bears no semantic feature, there might be iconic motivation in its use in grammar. The grammatical uses are seen in marking all three deictics (this, that near you and that over there), its use as a relative clause marker, and its use in identifying adjectives or adjectival type constructions (which is not at all unrelated to relative clause structures). Table 1 lists all the classifiers that have been collected to date, their semantic features, and the prototypical nouns they classify (along with a few nonprototypical examples).

| Head | CLSF | Feature |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| king | paa $^{4}$ | royal |
| woman | koo $^{1}$ | human |
| grate | an $^{3}$ | generic |
| log | luun $^{2}$ | small-long |
| corn | khuan $^{1}$ | cylindrical |
| river_bank | paa $^{2}$ | expanse |
| shore_line | paa $^{2}$ | expanse |
| people | koo $^{1}$ | human |
| bag | huee $^{\text {bag }}$ | pot-like |
| tree | tuun $^{2}$ | tree-like |
| curry | phan $^{4}$ | kind |
| bus | lam $^{4}$ | vehicle |
| pole | liam $^{2}$ | big-long |
| watermelon | luk $^{1}$ | globular |
| elephant | too $^{3}$ | legged |
| string | phuut $_{\text {roll }}$ |  |
| village | maan $^{2}$ | village |
| snake hole | paang $^{3}$ | hole |

Table 1 Khamti Classifiers

### 2.1.3 Compounding of nouns (verbs)

Southeast Asian languages, for the most part, have minimal morphology. Compounding, however, is in abundance. In Table 2 below, some examples of observed compounding are shown for Khamti.

| Compound | Meaning | N | N V | N V | Prep | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| phuu ${ }^{2}$ laak ${ }^{1}$ | 'thief' | one_who | steals |  |  |  |
| taang ${ }^{4}$ khoo kaai $\mathrm{kan}^{2}$ neeu | 'overpasses' | road | bridge connect |  | above |  |
| $\operatorname{taang}^{4} \operatorname{nin}^{3} \operatorname{lam} \operatorname{nin}^{3} p$ | 'underpasse | road | dive | ground move | into | ground |
| phuu $^{2}$ seu ${ }^{1}$ | 'shoppers' | one-who | buys |  |  |  |
| kuun $^{4}$ meeung ${ }^{4}$ | 'citizen' | person | nation |  |  |  |
| kaa ${ }^{4}$ luung | 'pickup' | vehicle | big |  |  |  |
| kaa ${ }^{4}$ uan | 'motorcycle | vehicle | small |  |  |  |
| mung $^{3}$ nuu $^{3}$ | 'to spy' |  | stare | look_a |  |  |
| tsaeu ${ }^{3} 1 \mathrm{a}^{4} \mathrm{kaa}$ | 'to backslide' | heart | change | go |  |  |
| tsaeu ${ }^{3} 1 \mathrm{i}^{4} \mathrm{maa}^{4}$ | 'to repent' | heart | change | come |  |  |

Table 2 Khamti Compound Nouns (verbs)

A compound noun is formed with a noun as the first component of the compound followed by a series of nouns and verbs. A compound noun is to be distinguished from a descriptive noun phrase in that there is a distinct, brief nominal meaning like with the Khamti nouns, 'motorcyle' and 'pickup' in Table 2. The final three examples in Table 2 are not nouns but verbs. The verb spy is formed with a verb as the leading component of the compound. The final two examples are more like idioms than compounds employing heart as the leading component. This 'heart compound' is common in Tai languages in expressing strong emotions and can be used for nouns, verbs or adjectives.

### 2.2 Proper noun

Proper nouns are the names of people and places. People have words prefixed to their names especially if it is someone who is of higher status in society. This prefix is gender specific and can be used in a pronominal sense as a free standing morpheme through extended discourse. The prefix for Miss and Mr are expressed as naang ${ }^{4}$ and $t s a a i^{3}$ respectively. A respectful prefix for Mr (literally, 'lord') used to address a higher status male is $t s a u^{2}$ or $t s a u^{2}$ nuai.

Sons and daughters are each assigned a prefix that postions them in the birth order up to twenty-six or twenty-eight children, male and female inclusive. These prefixes are commonly used within the home and among extended relatives. In Table 3 I have included the list up to twenty children inclusive.

| Birth Order | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| first | $a a i^{2}$ | $y e^{2}$ |
| second | $n y i i^{3}$ | $i i$ |
| third | saam (lit. three) | $a a m^{3}$ |
| fourth | sii (lit. four) | $a i$ |
| fifth | ngoo | $o o^{2}$ |
| sixth | nguuk $^{1}$ | tsuut |
| seventh | tsiit (lit. seven) iit |  |
| eighth | piat (lit. eight) iat |  |
| ninth | kau $^{2}$ (lit. nine) | $a t$ |
| tenth | sip (lit. ten) | $u t$ |

Table 3 Birth order prefixes
Traditionally it was common to have very large families with several wives. These days, for the most part, the families are much smaller with only three or four children. In either case, this set of birth order nouns are used as proper nouns.

### 2.3 Pronoun

Khamti, like most Tai languages, has a rich pronominal system that exploits a complicated social network of relationships and kinship. The basic system is shown in Table 4. (For demonstrative pronouns see 3.2.1 below).

| SG |  | DUAL |  | PL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | INCL | EXCL | INCL | EXCL |
|  | kau ${ }^{3}$ | haa ${ }^{4}$ | hang ${ }^{4}$ kheeu | hau ${ }^{4}$ | tuи ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1 | 'I' | 'me and you' | 'me and him/her' | 'we all' | 'we (not you)' |
|  | maeu $^{4}$ | suangkheeu |  | suи |  |
| 2 | 'you' | 'you two' |  | 'you all' |  |
| 3 | man $^{4}$ <br> 's/he, it' | suangkhaa |  | khau |  |

Table 4 Pronominal system
Khamti pronouns exhibit a tripartite (singular, dual and plural) system. In the first person dual and plural there is a further binary division of inclusive and exclusive. The forms in Table 4 are used for subjects while objects use the same pronominal form with an object postpositional marker $m a i^{2}$.

### 3.0 Noun Phrases

Since there is no true distinction between singular, plural and mass nouns in Khamti any noun can also act as a minimal noun phrase. The nominal structure of Khamti is described here as simple nominal and complex nominal and shows how Khamti expands the nominal in a variety of modifications.

### 3.1 Constituency

Khamti nominals are head initial with modifiers normally postpositional to the head noun. Tai languages, being isolating, display no morphological marking on either the head or dependent structure. Nominals can be quite complex in Khamti bearing a significant amount of the semantic load of a given sentence and the remaining sections of this paper are devoted to its basic description.

### 3.2 Simple noun phrase

The simple noun phrase is labeled as such in that it carries the basic information of nominal structure such as reference to speech act participants or context (i.e., deictics), definiteness, relative and absolute quantity, and basic descriptive expansion. Each of these are exemplified below.

### 3.2.1 Demonstratives

Khamti demonstratives are shown in Table 5. There is a three-way deictic distinction of near, approximate and distal proximities to the speaker.

|  | SG | PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| near | $a n^{3} n a i^{I}$ this 'this' | $a n^{3} n a i^{I}$ $n a i^{I} k h a u$ <br> this PL <br> 'these'  |
| approximate | amaeu $^{4} n a i^{I}$ that_by_you 'that near you' | amaeu $^{4} \quad$ nail ${ }^{\text {k }}$ khau that_by_you 'those by you' |
| distal | $a n^{3}$ puunnai ${ }^{1}$ that_over_there 'that over there' | $a n^{3}$ puunnai ${ }^{I} \quad$.nai ${ }^{I}$ khau that_over_there PL 'those over there' |

Table 5 Demonstratives
These proximities are also pluralized using the same plural word found for common nouns (2.1.1). In Table 5 the proximities act as demonstratives while in Table 6 below they act as noun modifiers.

| heeun ${ }^{4} \mathrm{an}^{3} \mathrm{nai}^{1}$ | heeun ${ }^{4}$ an ${ }^{3}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau |
| :---: | :---: |
| house this | house this PL |
| 'this house' | 'these houses' |
| heeun ${ }^{4} \mathrm{an}^{3}$ puunnai ${ }^{1}$ house that_over_there 'that house over there' | heeun ${ }^{4}$ an $^{3}$ puunnaị ${ }^{1} \quad$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau house that_over_there PL 'those houses over there' |

Table 6 Plural demonstratives modifiers

### 3.2.2 Determiners

Khamti optionally marks definite and indefinite nouns. A single noun can stand by itself in a nominal. This is shown in 7) through 10).
7)
nang ${ }^{3}$ hoo muang iak taang sii tuk ${ }^{1}$ uu.
nose pierce yoke put_on CONJ swat PRT.indic
'(He) pierced (its) nose, put on a yoke and swatted (it).'
8)
tuk $^{1}$ kii $^{4}$ khiittlaap waa ${ }^{3} e^{3}$ iap nuu.
swat when frog say croak QF
'When (he) swatted, the frog said, "croak [eei up]".'
9)
tsau ${ }^{2}$ heeun ${ }^{4}$ waa ${ }^{3}$ sau nguak ngaap ${ }^{1}$ khaa $^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ phuk wai ${ }^{1}$ ta $^{1}$ nsii. home_owner say post corner porch peg LOC tie_up keep PRT.imper QF
'The host said, "Tie it up on the peg post".'
10)
meeu $^{3}$ nai $^{1}{ }^{\text {khiit khaai }}{ }^{4} \quad \operatorname{man}^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ kai tuat sii au $^{3}$ taai $^{3}$ yau ${ }^{1}$.
when this frog water_buffalo 3SG OBJ chicken peck CONJ kill PAST
'Meanwhile, a chicken pecked and killed his buffalo frog.'
In 7) - 10) the noun is unmarked for definiteness or indefiniteness. This is understood from the context. In 7) 'nose' nang ${ }^{3}$ hoo is the noun that is the object of the verb 'pierce' muang. In 8) the definite noun 'frog' khiittlaap is unmarked for definiteness but is understood as definite because it is a participant that has already been introduced in the text. In 9) the definite noun 'peg post' sau nguak ngaap ${ }^{1}$ khaa ${ }^{3}$ is by context a grounded location common to speaker (the host) and hearer (the travelor) in the text. They both know which specific post is being identified in the speech act. And in 10) the indefinite noun 'chicken' kai is unmarked but is indefinite because it is being introduced as a participant for the first time.

However, Khamti also uses an indefinite construction employing a classifier followed by the numeral 'one' leeung ${ }^{3}$. When the numeral 'one' precedes the classifier it is being used to count one of the object being referenced and classified. This is common in Tai languages (Inglis 2003). The marked indefinite construction is shown in 11) - 13) while 14) and 15) together show a counting of one specific item.
11)
meeu $^{3}$ nan $^{1}$ aai ${ }^{2}$ mak man ${ }^{1}$ khuum nnai ${ }^{1}$ kuun $^{4}$ maau koo ${ }^{1}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$
a_long_time_ago first.son fruit kind_of_fruit this bachelor CLF.human one
yang ${ }^{4}$ uu.
there_is PRT.indic
'A long time ago there was a bachelor named Ay Mak Munkhuum.'
12)
meeu $^{3}$ nan $^{1} \quad$ maan ${ }^{2}$ phaangkhai nsii maan ${ }^{2}$ tai $^{4}$ maan $^{2}$ luung maan ${ }^{2}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$
a_long_time_ago village Phangkhai QF village Tai village big village one
yang ${ }^{4}$ uu.
there_is PRT.indic
'A long time ago there was a large Tai village called Phankhai.'
13)
tiang ${ }^{3}$ liik an $^{3} \quad$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ thaanphai ${ }^{4}$ nai $^{1}{ }^{\text {khau ngai }}{ }^{4}$ phun ${ }^{4}$ luun ${ }^{2}$
long_iron CLF.one one LOC charcoal PL and log CLF.small_long
leeung ${ }^{3}$ suun $^{4}$ wai $^{1}$ uu.
one inch_in keep PRT.indic
'Coals and a log are inched into an iron grate (fire in the grate).'

The examples in 11) and 12) describe the setting of stories introducing the main participant 'a bachelor' kuиn ${ }^{4}$ maau in 11) and describing the village of the setting 'a large Tai village called Phankhai' maan² phaangkhai nsii maan ${ }^{2}$ tai $^{4}$ maan $^{2}$ luung maan ${ }^{2}$ leeung in 12). In 13) there are two indefinite nouns 'a $\log ^{\prime}$ phun ${ }^{4}$ and 'an iron grate' tiang ${ }^{3}$ liik which are used in the description of a picture that the informant was looking at.

In 14) there is a question asking how many children the informant has. The question word 'how many' kii provides the slot in the clause where a counting numeral should go. The answer to the question in 15) shows the numeral 'one' leeung ${ }^{3}$ as the answer to how many children. If the numeral 'one' was used following the classifier the answer would be equivalent to 'I have a child'. This is also a common way to answer the question if there is just one of something.
14)
maeu $^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ luk $^{1}$ kii koo $^{1}$ nai yang ${ }^{4}$ nai.
2SG LOC child how_many CLF.human PRT.question be_at PRT.question
'How many children do you have?'
15)
kau $^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ luk $^{1}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ koo $^{1}$ yang ${ }^{4}$ uu.
1SG LOC child one CLF.human be_at PRT.indic
'I have one child.'

In Khamti there is also a construction for definite nouns. It employs the marker nai ${ }^{l}$. This definite marker is reduced from the demonstrative paradigm $a n^{3} n a i^{I}$ from Table 5 in section 3.2.1 above. I claim that this is an iconic generalization process. The reduction in form from $a n^{3} n a i^{l}$ to $n a i^{l}$ correlates to the generalization process of moving from a specific deictic reference (i.e., a specific reference grounded with speech act participants like 'this') to a more abstract non-deictic reference of definiteness (i.e., a more general reference grounded with speech act participants like 'the'). This claim is corroborated by Dryer (to appear) when he speaks of the demonstrative being used in many languages where English would use a definite article.
16)
mee an $^{3}$ nai $^{1}$ man $^{4}$ tsau ${ }^{2}$ naai $^{3}$ him $^{4}$ khuuntaang ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ nang $^{3}$ sii uu.
mother this 3 SG oneself beside road LOC sit -ing PRT.indic
'This lady, by herself, is sitting beside the road.'
17)
moo $^{2}$ an $^{3}$ nai $^{1}$ yaa $^{1}$ phai ${ }^{4}$ mau pau unkaw $^{1}$ tang ${ }^{1}$ khau $^{2}$ phak nai ${ }^{1}$
pot this EMPH fire NEG make_fire even_though cook enter curry this
uu nsii.
PRT.indic QF
'"Even though there was no fire this pot can cook the rice curry", he thought.'
18)
psaau nai ${ }^{1}$ kaw $^{1}$ khantaeu ${ }^{4}$ phmaau man ${ }^{4}$ um pai theung sii ngau $^{2}$ tuun ${ }^{2}$
girl this also think_that boy 3SG NEG yet reach CONJ foot_of_tree tree
mnang mai ${ }^{2}$ nang $^{3}$.
Manang LOC sit
'The girl also, thinking that her boy had not yet arrived, sat down at the foot of the Manang tree.'
19)
suangkhaa mee luk $^{1}$ waai suai puak ${ }^{1}$ kii $^{4}$ phmaau nai ${ }^{1}$ kaw $^{1}$ luai ${ }^{4}$ luai ${ }^{4}$ kii ${ }^{3}$ 2DU mother child cane pull again when boy this also slowly slowly untie sii puaihaeu ${ }^{2}$ uu.
CONJ freely PRT.indic
'When those two, mother and child, pulled the cane back again, the boy also very slowly and freely unrolled (it).'

In 16) and 17) above are examples where the full demonstrative 'this' $a n^{3} n a i^{l}$ is used. In 16) the informant is talking about a specific lady in a picture. The example in 17) comes from a story where a man thinks that a pot can cook rice all on its own without a fire. He is thinking about a specific pot, i.e., the one that is cooking by itself. In contrast, in 18) 'the girl' psaau nai ${ }^{1}$ is more general in referencing a participant of the story that has already been introduced. Likewise, in 19) 'the boy' phmaau nai ${ }^{\text {l }}$ is only identifying a participant that has already been introduced.
20)
kau ${ }^{3}$ piin $^{3}$ pseeu nai ${ }^{1}$ man $^{4}$ tuang ${ }^{4}$ yau ${ }^{1}$.
1SG is witch this 3 SG know PAST
"He knows now I am this witch."
21)
tii ${ }^{4}$ tee ${ }^{1}$ tee ${ }^{1}$ seungwaa ${ }^{3}$ phuang ${ }^{4}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ laa thuap khaw maa ${ }^{4}$ sii
finished really wave this PRT.stylistic kick PRT.astonish PERF CONJ
'Then this wave had really kicked (me) about.'
However, in 20) and 21) neither a specific nominal nor a more general definite nominal is being portrayed. Rather something in between the specific 'this' and the more general 'the' is a general emphasis. In 20) the girl is saying to herself that her boyfriend knows NOW that she is a witch. The emphasis is on recent disclosure of this fact in the storyline. And in 21) the speaker is not pointing out to us, the hearer of his story, a wave that we both already know about but rather another wave that has not been previously identified in the story. The storyteller is emphasizing a wave that was causing danger to himself.

That this is a definite marker and not a subject marker or topic marker is shown from the fact that it also occurs with object, oblique and location in 22) - 24) below.
22)
ngai ${ }^{4}$ sii haanai ${ }^{1}$ yaa $^{1}$ nuuk ${ }^{1}$ khluuwak nai ${ }^{1}$ mai $^{2}$ naai ${ }^{3}$ kiip sii meeu ${ }^{4}$
then now EMPH bird Khluwak this OBJ itself gather_up CONJ return_home
maan ${ }^{2}$ puak $^{1}$ yau $^{1}$.
village again PAST
'Now (he) picked up the Khluwak bird (itself) and returned to the village again.'
23)
huang ${ }^{1}$ taai ${ }^{3} u u$ nai $^{1}$ mai $^{2} \operatorname{man}^{4}$ sik $^{1} \operatorname{moo}^{2}$ an $^{3} \operatorname{man}^{4}$ tsau ${ }^{2}$ tuai muap nai ${ }^{1}$
screach this OBL 3SG shard pot REL 3SG-POLITE strike break this
kiip sii
gather_up CONJ
'At the screech, he picked up a shard that he had broken and '
24)
knaeu ${ }^{4}$ paang $^{3}$ nai $^{1}$ mai $^{2}$ paai $^{3}$ miap kai nsii.
in big_hole this LOC flee hide PRT.expect QF
"...must be hiding in the hole."
In 22) 'the Khluwak bird' nuuk ${ }^{l}$ khluuwak nai ${ }^{l}$ is the object of the verb 'gather up' kiip. It is being marked as definite because it is the bird he just killed in the previous clause. In 23) the oblique at the screech' huang $^{1}$ taai $^{3}$ uu nai $i^{1}$ mai $^{2}$ is referring to the screech that the bird made in the previous clause. It is an oblique marked for definiteness. And in 24) 'in the hole' kanaeu ${ }^{4}$ paang $^{3} n a i^{l}$ is a location marked as definite. It is the hole that the speaker is looking at while talking to the hearer. The hole has already been introduced and is an active part of the story here grounded with the speaker and hearer in the text.

### 3.2.3 Numerals and quantifiers

Numerals and quantifiers are discussed together because they follow the same structure. Both require an immediate numeral-classifier constiuent for their instantiation. Numeral-classifier structures are predominant in Southeast Asian languages performing a two-fold duty. They categorize the world of concrete objects and they form the head in quantifying or counting these objects. Inglis (2003) provides a detailed cognitive analysis of numeral-classifier constructions in Southeast Asia using data from Standard Thai.

For Khamti the examples in 25) - 28) show absolute quantification while 29) - 32) show relative quanitification.
25)
kuun $^{4}$ saam koo ${ }^{1} \quad$ kaw $^{1}$ sau $^{4}$ uu.
person three CLF.human also stand PRT.indic
'There are also three people standing around.'
26)
taai $^{2}$ kaa $^{4}$ paa ${ }^{2}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ naeu $^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ tuun $^{2}$ mai ${ }^{2}$ luung suang tuun ${ }^{2}$ yang ${ }^{4}$ near vehicle side one in LOC tree big two CLF.tree there_is uu.
PRT.indic
'Around to one side of the bus are two big trees.'
27)
khau $^{2}$ phaa ${ }^{1}$ an $^{3}$ uam yau ${ }^{1}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ saam khuan ${ }^{1}$ naai ${ }^{3}$ nam yang ${ }^{4}$ nai ${ }^{1}$. corn REL roast already SUBJ three CLF.cylinder only EMPH there_is this
'As for the roasted corn that is done there are only three of them.'
28)
ngai ${ }^{4}$ sii buk dam traanam kisaa mi nsii. saam an ${ }^{3}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ naeu $^{4}$ tsaeu ${ }^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ then to god gratitude finished I QF three CLF.one this in heart LOC khaeu ${ }^{3}$ tsaeu ${ }^{3}$ khaw
think PRT.astonish
"Gratitude to God, I worship." [a Pali mantra] I chanted over and over. The three things in (my) heart I thought (Buddha, Scripture, monks)."

In 25) the absolute numeral 'three' saam modifies the head noun 'person' kuun ${ }^{4}$. In 26) 'two' suang modifies 'tree' tuun ${ }^{2}$. In 27) the head noun 'corn' $k h a u^{2} p h a a^{1}$ is counted by the numeral-classifier 'three of them' saam khuan ${ }^{1}$. For counting, the structure is a head noun followed by a numeral-classifier constituent. The evidence for numeral-classifier as an intregal constituent is presented in Inglis (2003). For Khamti some evidence of the integrity of the numeral-classifier is shown in 26) where the adjective 'big' luung comes between the head noun and numeral-classifier. As a matter of fact an adjective never occurs between a numeral and its classifier. Additional evidence is found in 28) where the numeral-classifier 'three CLF.one' saam $a n^{3}$ has no head noun. The head noun is implied because it is the very familiar Pali mantra of Buddha, Scripture and monks.

In 28) - 31) relative quantification follows the same structure as absolute quantification with the relative quanitifier occupying the position of the numeral.
wai ${ }^{1}$ kaa waai tang ${ }^{4}$ phuut poo ${ }^{4}$ sut kaw $^{1}$ huu $^{4}$ mau teuk ${ }^{1}$ nai $^{2}$ nsii. keep go cane all CLF.roll enough end also small_hole NEG end can QF '(They) kept pushing all of the roll of cane to the end, (and) thought, "this small hole doesn't end."'
30)
taang ${ }^{4}$ kuu $^{3}$ an $^{3} \quad$ mai $^{2}$ phai $^{4}$ kai $^{2} \quad$ wai ${ }^{1}$ sii an $^{3}$ tiituang ${ }^{2}$ tiitam ${ }^{3}$
road each CLF.thing OBJ light make_electric_lit keep CONJ reason accidently
kaw $^{1}$ um $^{3}$ yang ${ }^{4}$.
also not there_is
'After evening also (they) keep each road lit up so there is no reason for an accident.'
31)
kuu $^{3}$ tii $^{3}$ mai ${ }^{2}$ seu $^{1}$ nai ${ }^{2}$ uu phak kuu ${ }^{3}$ phan ${ }^{4}$ yang ${ }^{4}$ uu. each place LOC buy can is curry each kind there_is PRT.indic
'At each place there is each kind of curry that (you) can buy.'
32)
phoo liakliak yau ${ }^{1}$ kii $^{4}$ khau $^{2}$ kaa $^{2}$ tsaa ${ }^{1}$ naa $^{4}$ taang ${ }^{3}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ harrow very_fine after_this paddy_for_sowing for paddy_field section_of_field one khau $^{2}$ peeuk piang $^{3}$ suang puung ${ }^{4}$ tuung ${ }^{3}$ saeu tuung ${ }^{3}$ rice_seedling per two 21_kilo_measure exact_measure put_in exact_measure thuee ${ }^{4}$ laa sii huang $^{3}$ nam $^{1}$ mai $^{2}$ kaa tsee $^{3}$ wai ${ }^{1}$ uu. burlap_sack CONJ channel water LOC go submerge keep PRT.indic
'After finely harrowing sow the paddy in the paddy section of the field, one seedling per tin (21 kilo), putting in the exact amount (into) burlap sacksand submerging (them) down in the water.'

In 29) the quanitifier-classifier constituent 'all CLF.roll' quantifies the head noun 'cane' waai. In 30) the head noun 'road' taang ${ }^{4}$ is quantified by the quantifier-classifier constituent 'each CLF.thing' kuu $^{3}$ an $^{3}$. In 31) 'each kind' $k u u^{3}$ phan $^{4}$ quantifies 'curry' phak. The classifier 'kind' $p h a n^{4}$ in 31) acts more like a measure term. A measure term is a kind of classifier in SE Asian languages that instead of classifying a noun based on a feature or physical quality classifies it based on a quantity of the item being classified. I agree with Manson when he talks of two kinds of nouns in Southeast Asian typology, individuated and unindividuated, comparable to a mass count distinction (Manson 2007). He claims the unindividuated nouns are classified with a measure term while an individuated noun is classified by a numeral-classifier. This is equivalent to the English She drank three cups of water where cups measure the amount of water consumed. Inglis (2003) provides a cognitive analysis of measure terms for Standard Thai. The term 'kind' phan ${ }^{4}$ in 31) isn't quite a measure term per se as it doesn't specify a quantity. But neither is it a classifier in the strict sense of classifying objects based on a feature or physical quality. It is more of a variety of a given object. The example in 32) shows the measure term 'tin (21 kilo)' puung ${ }^{4}$ acting as the classifier for the unidividuated noun 'rice seedling' khau ${ }^{2}$ peeuk.

### 3.2.4 Adjectival modification

Both adjectives and verbs use the relativizer $a n^{3}$ in modifying a noun adjectivally and as a relative clause. The relativizer derives from the generic classifier $a n^{3}$ (2.1.2 above). Given the nature of a classifier it is highly motivated to grammaticalize to a relativizer. The inherent conceptualization of a classifier is a thing with a specific shape or feature. Likewise a relativizer is a general thing relating a specific object to an action.

This relativizer is also used to mark adjectives. The examples 33) -35) show adjectival modificaton with the 'REL' $\mathrm{an}^{3}$ while 36) - 39) show the modification without a relativizer.
33)
paa ${ }^{3}$ sanjee $^{1}$ nnai $^{1}$ pun ${ }^{4} \quad$ kan $^{2}$ naeu $^{4}$ nam $^{1}$ an $^{3}$ nam $^{1}$ leeuk ${ }^{1}$ mai $^{2}$ kan $^{2}$ naeu $^{4}$
eel this over_there inside water REL water deep LOC inside
huu ${ }^{4} \quad$ kan $^{2}{ }^{\text {naeu }}{ }^{4}$ tham ${ }^{2}$ mai $^{2}$ naai $^{3}$ nam uu tsaang ${ }^{3}$ nai $^{1}$.
small_hole inside cave LOC only EMPH live able_to this
'Out there in the water that is deep water eels are only able to live in holes and caves.'
34)
ngai ${ }^{4}$ kii $^{4}$ man $^{4}$ paa $^{3}$ sanjee ${ }^{1}$ an $^{3}$ uan amaeu ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ suai $^{4}$ khuat
then 3SG eel REL little that OBJ pull-out PRT.immediately
'After this he can quickly pull and drag that small eel away.'
35)
lhaanai ${ }^{1}$ wan $^{4}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ paa ${ }^{3}$ sanjee $^{1}$ an $^{3}$ yaeu amaeu ${ }^{4}$ uakmaa ${ }^{4}$ sii meu $^{4}$ now day one EMPH eel REL big that come_out CONJ hand man $^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ kap $^{1}$ khuat yau ${ }^{1}$.
3SG OBJ bite PRT.surprise PAST
'Now one day the biggest eel you ever saw came out and immediately bit his hand.'
In 33) the head noun 'water' nam $^{l}$ is being modified with 'deep water' nam $^{l}{ }^{l}$ leeuk ${ }^{l}$. In 34) and 35) 'little' uan and 'big' luung modify 'eel' paa ${ }^{3}$ sanjee ${ }^{1}$ respectively and these are examples where they use the relativizer to modify the noun. But in 36) below the adjective 'big' luung modifies 'rooster' kai aai ${ }^{2} p h u u^{2}$ without using a relativizer. Here the relativizer is used as a classifier to express 'the one that is most big' from among all the big roosters. This is an example of an appositional nominal (3.3.3). The hosts captured and gave the man 'a big rooster, one that is most big' an $^{3}$ khian $^{4}$ yaeu. Examples 37) and 38) also show the adjective 'big' luung and 'new' maeu being used to modify 'wave' phuang ${ }^{4}$ and 'boat' heeu ${ }^{4}$ respectively without an intervening relativizer. I have concluded that there are two ways in Khamti to adjectivally modify a noun, with and without the relativizer. The examples without using a relativizer are more prevalent in spoken Khamti.

In 39) 'city' meeung ${ }^{4}$ is modified by 'big Thai kingdom' mee ${ }^{3}$ naeu $^{4}$ ngam $^{4}$ thai ${ }^{4}$. In 40) the noun 'chicken' kai is modifying 'feather' khuun. Finally, in 41) the noun 'snake' nguu ${ }^{4}$ along with the adjective 'old' kau are consecutively used to modify 'hole' paang ${ }^{3}$.
36)
tsau ${ }^{2}$ heeun ${ }^{4}$ khau kaw $^{1}$ kai aai $^{2}{ }^{2}$ phuu ${ }^{2}$ luung an ${ }^{3} \quad$ khian ${ }^{4}$ yaeu nai ${ }^{1}$ siau $^{2}$ home_owner 3PL also chicken rooster big CLF.one most big this capture haeu ${ }^{2}$ yau $^{1}$.
give PAST
'The hosts also captured and gave (the man) the biggest rooster among them.'
37)
ktsuu ${ }^{4}$ laa phuang ${ }^{4}$ luung mai ${ }^{2}$ nai $^{2}$ umthaang $^{1}$ nai $^{1}$ sii
at_the_same_time PRT.stylistic wave big OBL can perhaps and_immediately
kaap khaam ${ }^{2}$ khaw.
not_hesitate pass_over PRT.astonish
'At the same time perhaps (I could pass with) a big wave and immediately cross over.'
38)
niaa ${ }^{4}$ kaw $^{1}{ }^{n i a a}{ }^{4}$ an $^{3}$ heeu ${ }^{4}$ maeu ngai ${ }^{4}$.
at_the_time boat new and
'at the time (I had) a new boat.'
39)
meeung ${ }^{4}$ chiang ${ }^{4}$ mai nnai ${ }^{1}$ piin $^{3}$ meeung ${ }^{4}$ mee $^{3}$ naeu ${ }^{4}$ ngam ${ }^{4}$ thai ${ }^{4}$ nam.
city Chiangmai this is city big kingdom Thai PRT.indic
'The city of Chiangmai is a big city in the country of Thailand.'
40)
suup ptaai ${ }^{3}$ mai ${ }^{2}$ khuun kai yiam ${ }^{4}$ wai $^{1}$ uu. mouth dead_person LOC feather chicken stuff keep PRT.indic
'He stuffed up the feathers into the dead person's mouth.'
41)
tai $^{2}$ him $^{4}$ ngau ${ }^{2} \quad$ tuun $^{2}$ mnang mai ${ }^{2}$ paang $^{3}$ nguu $^{4}$ kau paang ${ }^{3}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ near beside foot_of_tree tree Manang LOC big_hole snake old CLF.big_hole one
kaw $^{1}$ yang $^{4}$ uu.
also there_is PRT.indic
'Near the foot of the Manang tree there was an old snake hole.'
The examples in 42) and 43) are the ones in my text corpus that show extensive modification. In 42) the clause 'called Phangkhai' phaangkhai nsii modifies 'village' maan ${ }^{2}$ along with the noun 'Tai' tai ${ }^{4}$ and the adjective 'big' luung. Each of these three individual modifications has its own explicit use of the same head noun 'village' maan ${ }^{2}$. This entire modification then receives an indefinite marking. The structure looks like this, 'village called Phangkhai, Tai village, big village, a village'.
42)
meeu $^{3}$ nan $^{1} \quad$ maan ${ }^{2}$ phaangkhai nsii maan ${ }^{2} \operatorname{tai}^{4}$ maan $^{2}$ luung maan ${ }^{2}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$
a_long_time_ago village Phangkhai QF village Tai village big village one
yang ${ }^{4}$ uu.
there_is PRT.indic
'A long time ago there was a large Tai village called Phankhai.'
43)
kumhoo man ${ }^{4}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ niang ${ }^{3}$ phce phcc sii tuang maang ${ }^{4}$ maang $^{4}$ mai ${ }^{2}$ phmaau head 3 SG EMPH red bright bright CONJ bare very very OBJ boy man $^{4}$ luk $^{1}$ kneeu tuun ${ }^{2}$ mnang mai ${ }^{2}$ kuum $^{2}$ sii nuu ${ }^{3}$ uu. 3SG from above tree Manang LOC bow_head CONJ look PRT.indic
'It was her bright red and extremely bare head that her boy from up in the Manang tree craned his neck [bowed his head] and watched.'

The example in 43) is a nominal headed by 'her head' kumhoo man ${ }^{4}$. It is then modified with 'bright bright red and very very bare' niang ${ }^{3}$ phcc phcc sii tuang maang ${ }^{4}$ maang $^{4}$. Reduplication often signals an adverb. This nominal is then marked as a direct object of the verb 'watch' nuu ${ }^{3}$.

### 3.3 Complex noun phrase

The complex noun phrase includes genitive, apposition and conjoined nominals. Basic relative clauses are also introduced here and are considered complex because of the embedding of clauses to modify the nominal. Each of these are exemplified below.

### 3.3.1 Attributive Possessive

An attributive possessive construction is also known as a genitive (Dryer to appear) or a determiner construction (Langacker 2000:174). Heine (1997:143) uses attributive possessive to more accurately distinguish it from a possessive that is predicated at the clause level in such examples as They have two dogs. I will follow Heine thereby clarifying it against clausal processes and staying clear of a Eurocentric idea of a genitive case. The function is to attribute to the possessee (i.e., possessed noun) some modification indicating ownership or a Langacker-like dominionship (2000:173). In Khamti the attibutive possessive is formed by a possessed noun and a juxtaposed postpositional possessor.

Basic examples in Khamti are shown in 44) - 46).
44)
suang ${ }^{4}$ keeu $^{3}$ man $^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ khiittlaap too ${ }^{3}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ khau ${ }^{2}$ sii suan $^{3}$ uu suu
basket salt 3SG LOC frog CLF.animal one enter CONJ hide stay PROG
nam.
PRT.indic
'In his salt basket, a frog had entered and was hiding.'
45)
suup ptaai ${ }^{3}$ mai ${ }^{2}$ khuun kai yiam ${ }^{4}$ wai $^{1}$ uu.
mouth dead_person LOC feather chicken stuff keep PRT.indic
'He stuffed up the feathers into the dead person's mouth.'
46)
amuu ${ }^{4}$ kau $^{3}$ yaa $^{1}$ kuu $^{3}$ meeu $^{3}$ pan sii yuan ${ }^{4}$ kiin $^{3}$ naai ${ }^{3}$ nam.
work 1SG EMPH each day turn_around CONJ beg just
"my work each day is to just go about and beg."
In 44) the possessed noun 'salt basket' suang ${ }^{4}$ keeu $^{3}$ is possessed by the possessor '3SG' man $^{4}$ to become 'his salt basket' suang ${ }^{4}$ keeu $^{3}$ man $^{4}$. In 45) the possessed noun 'mouth' suup is possessed by the possessor 'dead person' ptaai ${ }^{3}$ to be 'dead person's mouth' suиp ptai ${ }^{3}$. And in 46) the possessed noun is 'work' $а т и u^{4}$ which is possessed by ' $1 \mathrm{SG}^{\prime} \mathrm{kau}^{3}$ to be 'my work' amии $^{4}$ kau ${ }^{3}$.

Attributive possessives in Khamti also use an optional possessive marker (GEN) khuang. The GEN is never used when a pronoun is the possessor as shown in 47) and 48). The example in 49) shows that when the possessor is a proper noun it optionally takes the GEN.
47)
psaau man $^{4}$
girl 3SG
'his girlfriend'
48) *
psaau khuang man ${ }^{4}$
girl GEN 3SG
*'his girlfriend
49)
heeun ${ }^{4}$ khuang paul heeun ${ }^{4}$ paul house GEN Paul / house Paul 'Paul's house'
50)
heeun ${ }^{4}$ uaa ${ }^{4}$ khuang paul house father GEN Paul
'Paul's father's house' *'his father's house'
51) *
heeun ${ }^{4}$ uaa $^{4}$ khuang man $^{4}$
house father GEN 3SG

The examples in 50) and 51) above show that if the GEN is used the possessor must be a proper noun and not a pronoun.

Examples 52) - 54) are elicited attributive possessive constructions that include an adjective within the construction. For attributive possessive constructions to include an adjective it must be relativized.
52)
heeun ${ }^{4}$ koo $^{1}$ an ${ }^{3}$ niang ${ }^{3}$ nai $^{1}$
house person REL red this
'the man's red house' [the man's house that it red]
54) *
heeun ${ }^{4} \mathrm{an}^{3}$ niang $^{3}$ koo $^{1}$ nai $^{1}$
house REL red person this
*'the man's red house'
53)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { heeun }^{4} \text { koo }^{1} \text { niang }^{3} \text { nai }^{1} \\
& \text { house person red this } \\
& \text { *'the man's red house }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]Examples 52) and 53) show that in the attributive possessive 'the man's red house' heeun ${ }^{4}$ koo $^{1}$ an $^{3}$ niang ${ }^{3}$ nail $^{1}$ it is ungrammatical to have the adjective 'red' niang ${ }^{3}$ without it being relativized as in 52). Finally, in 54) the relativized adjective must occur after the possessor.

### 3.3.2 Prepositional modification

Prepositional modification is a head noun which receives modification by a prepositional phrase. The prepositional phrase in Khamti can both preceed and follow the noun head. Furthermore, the prepositional phrase is always headed by a preposition. In 55) the prepositon 'above' $\mathrm{kan}^{2}$ neeu heads the prepositional 'above the fire' $\mathrm{kan}^{2}$ neeu phai $\mathrm{mai}^{2}$ which modifies the head noun 'corn' khau ${ }^{2} p h a a^{l}$. It is identifying a set of corn that is specifically located above the fire versus another set of corn that is located in a basket on the ground. This prepositional also carries an emphatic marker further identifying the specific corn that is located above the fire. Finally, this prepositional is marked with the location marker.
55)
kan $^{2}$ neeu phai ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ khau ${ }^{2}$ phaa ${ }^{1}$ an ${ }^{3}$ puak yau ${ }^{1}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau uam uu.
above fire LOC EMPH corn REL shuck finish PL roast PRT.indic
'The corn above the fire [emph] that is shucked is roasting.'
In contrast to 55) the example in 56) is a preposition that follows the head that carries no location marker. The head noun 'surface' phiuu is modified by the prepositionl 'above the topsoil' $k a n^{2}$ neeu ngaeu ${ }^{3}$. The entire modified noun then receives a definite marking nai ${ }^{1}$.
56)
ngai ${ }^{4}$ sii phiuu kan $^{2}$ neeu ngaeu ${ }^{3}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ tsuanleeung $^{3}$ naang $^{2}$
then surface above top_soil this a_little dryer_surface
'Then when the surface above the topsoil is a little dryer,'
The example in 57) is a postpositional. The head noun 'other people' peeun ${ }^{3}$ is modified by the prepositional 'above (the water)' $k a n^{2}$ neeu. The participant in 57) is located completely under the water and needing to cry for help. The entire modified construction is then marked by the 'PL' nail $k h a u$ followed by an 'OBL' mai ${ }^{2}$ marking which identifies a recipient of the 'crying out' iau.
57)
man $^{4}$ suai $^{4}$ mau pee ${ }^{1}$ kii ${ }^{4}$ peeun ${ }^{3}$ kuun ${ }^{4}$ an $^{3}$ kan $^{2}$ neeu nai ${ }^{1}$ khau mai ${ }^{2}$ 3SG pull-out NEG defeat when other_person person REL above PL OBL
kaw $^{1} \operatorname{man}^{4}$ iau $u^{3}$ nai $^{2}$ yau ${ }^{1}$.
also 3SG cry_out NEG can PAST
'When he was unable to defeat it he was also unable to cry out to other people above (the water).'
The example in 58) is a marked postpositonal. The head noun is 'eel' paa ${ }^{3}$ sanjee ${ }^{l}$ and is modified by the prepositional 'in fish' naeu $\mathrm{paa}^{3} \mathrm{mai}^{2}$. This prepositional modifies the head noun 'eel' by making it a subset of all fish for comparison in the quality of taste and strength. This prepositional is headed by the preposition 'in' naeu ${ }^{4}$ and the 'OBL' mai ${ }^{2}$ here marks only the
prepositional not the entire nominal because the nominal itself is a subject in an equative clause and not an oblique.
paa $^{3}$ sanjee $^{1}$ nnai $^{1}$ naeu $^{4}$ paa $^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ an $^{3} \quad$ khian $^{4}$ kiin $^{3}$ nii $^{3}$ paa $^{3}$ an $^{3} \quad$ khian $^{4}$
eel this in fish OBL CLF.one most delicious fish CLF.one most
hiang ${ }^{4}$ sang $^{4}$ nam.
strong PRT.indic
'Eel of all the fish is the most delicious; the fish that has the most strength.'

### 3.3.3 Appositives

An appositive construction is a complex nominal where the head noun receives a second more specific noun as a kind of nominal modifier. This is shown in the data in 59) - 62). The examples 59) and 60) are elicited while examples 61) and 62) are from a text corpus.
59)
tai ${ }^{4} \mathrm{koo}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{kau}^{3}$ paul
friend 1SG Paul
'my friend, Paul'
60)
mayram meetsau ${ }^{2}$ heeun ${ }^{4}$ man $^{4}$
MayRam wife 3SG
'Mayram, his wife'
In 59) the head noun 'my friend' $t a i^{4} \mathrm{kau}^{3}$ is further specified by a second proper noun 'Paul' paul. The second noun specifies further who the head noun is. This entire appositional is then able to occupy a single nominal function in a clause. In 60) the head noun is the proper noun 'Mayram' mayram juxtaposed to a second noun 'his wife' meetsau ${ }^{2}$ heeun ${ }^{4}$ man $^{4}$ that further specifies who Mayram is married to.
Together these two nouns function as a single nominal.
61)
suangkhaa mee luk ${ }^{1}$ waai suai puak ${ }^{1}$ kii $^{4}$ phmaau nai ${ }^{1}$ kaw $^{1}$ luai ${ }^{4}$ luai ${ }^{4}$ kii $^{3}$ 2DU mother child cane pull again when boy this also slowly slowly untie sii puaihaeu ${ }^{2}$ uu.
CONJ freely PRT.indic
'When those two, mother and child, pulled the cane back again, the boy also very slowly and freely unrolled (it).'
62)
ngai ${ }^{4}$ sii tsau ${ }^{2}$ sthee ${ }^{4}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ phung ngoo ${ }^{4}$ man $^{4}$ khau yaa ${ }^{2}$ mau im kiin ${ }^{3}$ sii then owner boss SUBJ group_of cow 3SG PL grass NEG fill eat CONJ tsau $^{3}$ mpiuu uukaw ${ }^{1}$ khaeu ${ }^{3}$ tsaa $^{1}$ maunii ${ }^{3}$ sii uu kaa nam. unhappy even_though anger bad CONJ stay go PRT.indic
'Even though the boss, his herd of cows not getting enough to eat, was unhappy, getting angry was not good so (he) went on (as if nothing happened).'

In 61) the nominal is headed by '2DU' suangkhaa pronoun juxtaposed to two more nouns 'mother child' mee luk ${ }^{1}$. The second and third nouns further specify who the two individuals are in the 2DU. This appositional is the subject of 'pull' suai. And in 62) the head noun is 'boss' tsau ${ }^{2}$ stheee ${ }^{4}$. Juxtaposed to this head noun is a full clause 'his herd of cows not getting enough to eat' phung ngoo ${ }^{4}$ man $^{4}$ khau yaa ${ }^{2}$ mau im kiin ${ }^{3}$ which further specifies the first noun 'boss' and why it is he is the subject of being unhappy. It is because his cows are not getting enough to eat structurally woven into the head noun appositionally.

### 3.3.4 Conjoined

A conjoined nominal (or a compound) is a complex construction in that it employs two or more nouns and (rarely) an intervening conjunction. This is shown in 62) - 65) below.

In 62) below the nominal is conjoined with the nouns 'ear holes' huu $h u u$, 'eye sockets' huu ${ }^{4}$ taa $^{3}$ and 'mouth' suup. Each of these nouns are the locations where water came out. In 63) the nominal is conjoined with the two nouns 'five days' $h a a^{2}$ wan $^{4}$ and 'ten days' sip wan ${ }^{4}$. It is implied that it is either one or the other quanities of days. Either one of these quantities is the time that transpired in order to use up the eels.
62)
lhaanai ${ }^{1}$ hin mai $^{2}$ taang sii hoo nai ${ }^{1}$ taau ${ }^{3}$ khaw kii $^{4}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ now stone LOC place CONJ head this upside_down PRT.astonish when EMPH laa luk $^{1}$ huu $^{4}$ huu huu ${ }^{4}$ taa $^{3}$ suup mai ${ }^{2}$ laa nam ${ }^{1}$ uak PRT.stylistic from small_hole ear center_of_eye mouth LOC PRT.stylistic water out kaa
go
'Now (I was) upside down on a stone and from my ears, the center of my eyes (and) mouth water came out and,'
63)
nang nai ${ }^{1}$ nkan ${ }^{3}$ sii man $^{4}$ sii haa ${ }^{2}$ wan ${ }^{4}$ sip wan ${ }^{4}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau
like_this this same_as CONJ 3SG CONJ five day 10 day PL
kaakhuatkii ${ }^{4}$ yaa $^{1}$ paa $^{3}$ sanjee $^{1}$ an $^{3}$ uan nai ${ }^{1}$ khau muut kaa yau ${ }^{1}$.
go_non_stop eel REL little PL all_gone away PAST
'He went like this for five days (or) ten days non-stop (until) the little eels were all gone.'
64)
 overpass PL or underpass PL
kaw $^{1}$ aantaan hiit wai ${ }^{1}$ sii
also many make keep CONJ
'(They) keep making many overpasses or underpasses.'
65)
mak nai ${ }^{1}$ khau phakseeu ${ }^{2}$ nai ${ }^{1}$ khau ksang khaeu $^{3}$ kiin $^{3}$ meeu $^{3} l a e u^{3}$ khaeu $^{3}$ kiin $^{3}$
fruit PL vegetable PL whatever want eat whenever want eat nkaw ${ }^{1}$ nai ${ }^{2}$ uu.
whenever can PRT.indic
'You can eat whatever fruit (or) vegetables whenever you want to.'
The example in 64) is a conjoined nominal acting as an object of 'make' hiit. The two nouns 'overpasses' taang ${ }^{4}$ khookaaikan ${ }^{2}$ neeu and 'underpasses' taang ${ }^{4}$ lamnin $^{3}$ pai $^{3}$ naeu $^{4}$ nin $^{3}$ are conjoined with the conjunction 'or' nail mauau ${ }^{3} k i i^{4}$. One or the other of these two nouns are being made in order to move about freely.

### 3.3.5 Relative clause modification

Nominals that are modified by a relative clause are complex because the modification is usually a full clause rather than just a noun or adjective. Here I will only discuss the relative clause as it pertains to the modification of a head noun. I will take up the topic of relative clause again in a subsequent discussion of clausal structure (to appear). In that discussion I will describe how various roles (theme, benefactor, location, etc.) of the Khamti clause can be relativized. The data in 66) -68) however, show basic relative clause modifiers.
66)
$\mathrm{pa}^{1} \quad$ kaa sii taang ${ }^{4}$ luung an $^{3}$ peeun $^{3} \quad$ pkaa $^{1}$ khau pai $^{3}$ carry_on_back go CONJ road big REL other_person trader PL move_about mai theung $\mathrm{kii}^{4}$ him $^{4}$ taang ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ au $^{3}$ nuan ${ }^{4}$ wai ${ }^{1}$ sii phaa ${ }^{2}$ huum pass_by reach when beside road LOC make sleep keep CONJ blanket cover haeu ${ }^{2} \quad$ yau $^{1}$.
for_someone PAST
'He carried it away and when he got to the road where other traders pass by he let that body lie down (as if sleeping) and covered (it) with a blanket.'
67)
$\mathrm{kaa}^{4}$ luung an $^{3}$ nai $^{1}$ yaa $^{1} \mathrm{kaa}^{4}$ an ${ }^{3}$ paai $^{3}$ meeung ${ }^{4}$ tii $\mathrm{kai}^{3}$ nam.
vehicle big this SUBJ vehicle REL movement city IRR far PRT.indic
'This big bus is a bus that will travel far from the city.'
68)
nai ${ }^{1}$ nkaw $^{1}$ an $^{3}$ mau pai uam kaw $^{1}$ kan $^{2}$ lang mai ${ }^{2}$ taai ${ }^{2}$ man $^{4}$ tsau ${ }^{2}$ mai ${ }^{2}$ tang $^{4}$
but REL NEG yet roast also behind LOC near 3SG owner LOC full
aang yang ${ }^{4}$ ik uu.
basin there_is more PRT.indic
'But near her there is a basin with more (corn) that is not yet roasted.'
69)

$$
\text { an }^{3} \text { nai }^{1} \text { kii }^{4} \text { yaa }{ }^{1} \text { pung an }{ }^{3} \text { tai }^{4} \text { koo }^{1} \text { kau }^{3} \text { siau }{ }^{2} \text { paa }{ }^{3} \text { sanjee }{ }^{1} \text { sii } \text { man }^{4} \text { taai }^{3}
$$ this when EMPH story REL friend 1SG capture eel CONJ 3SG dead kaa nai ${ }^{1}$ nam.

away this PRT.indic
'This is the story that my friend experienced catching eel and he died.'
In 66) the head noun 'big road' taang ${ }^{4}$ luung is modified by the clause 'where other traders pass by' n $^{3}$ peeun ${ }^{3}$ pkaal ${ }^{1}$ khau pai ${ }^{3}$ mai. The modifying clause is marked by an optional relativizer 'REL'. The relativizer is invariant and grammaticalized from the all-purpose classifier (see 2.1.2 above). In 67) the head noun 'bus' kaa $^{4}$ luung is modified by the clause 'will travel far from the city' n $^{3}$ paai ${ }^{3}$ meeung $^{4}$ tii kai $^{3}$ and marked with REL. In 68) the head noun is implied from the previous sentence. Here it is 'corn' $\mathrm{nn}^{3} \mathrm{khau}^{2} \mathrm{phaa}^{l}$. The modifying clause is 'not yet roasted' n $^{3}$ mau pai uam and is marked by REL. In 69) the head noun is 'story' pung modified by the clause 'my friend captured eel and died' $\mathrm{an}^{3}$ tai $^{4} \mathrm{koo}^{1} \mathrm{kau}^{3}$ siau $^{2} \mathrm{paa}^{3}$ sanjee $^{l}$ sii man $^{4}$ taai $^{3}$ kaa nai ${ }^{1}$. This clause is marked with REL and the entire nominal is marked definite. This is the closing line of the story of catching eel and so this nominal refers back to this story therefore it is marked definite.

The examples 70) and 71) both differ slightly in the modified clause from the examples above. In 70) the head noun 'person' kuun $^{4}$ is modified by the clause 'riding a boat' heeu ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ khii. But here the head noun itself carries an indefinite construction (3.2.2) immediately postposed to the head noun. The relative clause follows the indefinite construction. Within the relative clause 'boat' heeu ${ }^{4}$ is marked as the object of 'ride' khii. The same structure is found in 71). The head noun 'girl' psaau is modified by the clause (here a single verb) 'weave' too ${ }^{4} h u k$. Again, an indefinite construction intervenes between the head noun and its relative clause.
70)
ngai ${ }^{4}$ nai $^{1}{ }^{1}$ mai $^{2}$ seung nuu ${ }^{3}$ pun ${ }^{2}$ nuu $^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ kii $^{4}$ yaa ${ }^{1}$ tee ${ }^{1}$ tee ${ }^{1}$ seungwaa ${ }^{3}$ kuun ${ }^{4}$ and_so here only look there look here if EMPH really person
koo $^{1} \quad$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ heeu ${ }^{4}$ mai $^{2}$ khii sii
CLF.human one boat OBJ ride CONJ
'And so here I could only look here and there for a person riding a boat.'
71)
maan $^{2}$ mai $^{2}$ theung kaa kii ${ }^{4}$ heeun ${ }^{4}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ mai $^{2}$ psaau koo ${ }^{1}$ leeung ${ }^{3}$ too ${ }^{4}$ huk village LOC reach go when house one LOC girl CLF.human one weave mai $^{2}$ han uu.
OBJ see PRT.indic
'And when he reached the village he saw a girl weaving at a house.'
The relative clause in both 70) and 71) is unmarked. This is quite possibly due to the intervening indefinite construction. Since the REL is grammaticalized from a classifier it is can be acting as a quasi-classifier as much as a relativizer. And since the indefinite construction is a
type of numeral-classifier itself means that the indefinite is acting here both as an indefinite and as a relativizer.

Example 72) is the most internally complex relative structure that I have in my text corpus.


[^2]In 72) the head noun 'pot shard' $\operatorname{sik}^{1} \operatorname{moo}^{2}$ is modified by the complex clause 'he had broken by striking it' an $^{3}$ man $^{4}$ tsau ${ }^{2}$ tuai muap nai ${ }^{l}$. The modifying clause is marked REL. Internal to the relative clause is an overt 3SG and a serial verb strike break. This serial structure is a sequential activity. First he struck the pot causing it to break. The resultant shards of this pot is what is 'gathered up' kiip. Finally, the entire nominal is marked definite with nai ${ }^{l}$.

### 3.4 A noun phrase position chart

The ordering of elements in a Khanti nominal is summarized in chart form in Table 7.

| HEAD | MODIFICATION |  | INDET | MODIFICATION |  |  | INSTANTIATION |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | POS, | CLF-N | CLF | CLF- | REL | ADV | ADJ | PRT | DEM, |
|  | GEN |  | -ADJ | INDEF | CL |  |  |  | MKR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PRT |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NUM- | SUBJ, |
|  |  |  |  | OBJ, |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7 Khamti nominal positional chart
The only obligatory element in a nominal is the noun in the noun head (bolded). Every other element is optional. This noun head can have an immediate possessor marked or unmarked with a GEN. Following the noun head (possessed) is a series of adjectival slots with CLF-ADJ/N constructs as fillers. In these CLF-ADJ/N constructs the actual classifier is optional. If the nominal is marked indefinite this will immediately follow the adjectival series. The relative clause as a modifier of the nominal follows an indefinite slot. Adverbs of intensity (i.e. very, strongly), other adjectives (mostly color), particles of emphasis all immediately postpose the modifying relative clause. The entire nominal is concluded with either a demonstrative, definite or plural marker. It is important to realize that all three of these are similar semantically as instantiating markers. Tis entire nominal can then be optionally marked as a subject, object or oblique. Finally, a particle of emphasis can follow the sentential position marker.

### 4.0 Summary

In this section I want to summarize the description of the Tai Khamti nominal. The nominal is headed by a noun that is optionally marked for plurality. Nouns are grouped into classes based on some feature or quality and marked by a classifier carrying that feature or quality. Khamti optionally marks definite and indefinite nouns and a single noun can stand by itself as a nominal. The definite marker is grammaticalized from the demonstrative construction. Modification of the head noun can be accomplished in a variety of ways. First there is simple adjectival modification using adjective, nouns and verbs. Then there is atrributive possessive modification employing a possessive marker. Also, there is a prepositional modification structure where a prepositional phrase is used to modify a head noun. Finally, there is the relative clause marked by a REL used a modifyer of the noun. These topics have been taken up in turn in this paper.

Of special note is the definite marker and relativizer. I claim that the definite is a grammaticalized structure from the demonstrative pronoun set. The relativizer has also been grammticalized from the classifier construction and in some instances still acts like a quasiclassifier construction.
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## Appendix A Khamti phonemic summary and transcription scheme



| Phoneme Consonant Finals |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPA | Examples | Gloss |  | Transcription | Notes |
| $\mathrm{p}^{7}$ | sip ${ }^{7}$ | ten | p | sip | All stops unreleased syllable final. |
|  | waap ${ }^{3}$ | to grasp |  | waap ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| $t^{7}$ | pat ${ }^{7}$ | to cut | t | pat |  |
|  | hiat ${ }^{1}$ | to dry up |  | hiat |  |
| $\mathrm{k}^{7}$ | haak ${ }^{1}$ | to love | k | haak |  |
|  | luk ${ }^{1}$ | from |  | luk ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| m | $\mathrm{im}^{3}$ | full | m | im ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | luum | wind |  | luum |  |
| n | $\mathrm{tin}^{3}$ | foot | n | $\mathrm{tin}^{3}$ |  |
|  | kuun $^{4}$ | person |  | kuun $^{4}$ |  |
| ท | lin ${ }^{3}$ | monkey | ng | ling ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | muay ${ }^{4}$ | hour |  | muang ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| u | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{au}^{2}$ | rice | u | $\mathrm{khau}^{2}$ |  |
|  | yaau | long |  | yaau |  |


| Tonemes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPA | Examples | Gloss |  | Transcription | Notes |
| low | koo ${ }^{1}$ | clsf-person | 1 | koo ${ }^{1}$ | A syllable final i remains i instead of $y$ because of orthography. |
|  | haan $^{1}$ | to need |  | haang $^{1}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { rise } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | mann $^{2}$ | village | 2 | mann $^{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{lian}^{2}$ | to play |  | $\mathrm{lian}^{2}$ |  |
| $\underset{3}{\mathrm{mid}}$ | naai ${ }^{3}$ | alone | 3 | naai ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | taai $^{3}$ | to die |  | taai $^{3}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { fall } \\ \hline 4 \end{gathered}$ | kaa ${ }^{4}$ | car | 4 | $\mathrm{kaa}^{4}$ |  |
|  | hutn ${ }^{4}$ | house |  | heeun ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| hi default | kai | chicken |  | kai |  |
|  | la | IMP |  | la |  |


| Phoneme Vowels Open Syllables |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPA | Examples | Gloss |  | Transcription | Notes |
| a | la | IMP | a | la |  |
|  | ta | IMP |  | ta |  |
| aa | maa | dog | aa | maa |  |
|  | kaa | to go |  | kaa |  |
| iaa | kiaa | Q yes/no | iaa | kiaa | This is borrowed from Burmese. |
|  | $k^{\text {h }} \mathrm{iaa}^{4}$ | garbage |  | khiaa ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| uaa | Puaa ${ }^{4}$ | father | uaa | uaa ${ }^{4}$ | Very rare. Probably borrowed. Only one example. |
| ii | kii | how many | ii | kii |  |
|  | tii ${ }^{3}$ | place |  | tii ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| ai | kai | chicken | ai | kai |  |
|  | pai | yet |  | pai |  |
| aai | naai ${ }^{3}$ | alone | aai | naai ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | haai | to lose s.t. |  | haai |  |
| uai | tsuai ${ }^{3}$ | to help | uai | tsuai $^{3}$ |  |
|  | huai | oyster |  | huai |  |
| u | $s^{\text {® }} \mathrm{lu}$ | close by | u | slu | slu $=$ sa lu; a very light $\partial$ occurs. |
|  | aPmu | careless |  | amu |  |
| uu | muu | pig | uu | muu |  |
|  | huu | ear |  | huu |  |
| iu | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{iu}^{4}$ | sickle | iu | kiu ${ }^{4}$ |  |
|  | piu | happy |  | piu |  |
| au | $\mathrm{kau}^{3}$ | I | au | $\mathrm{kau}^{3}$ |  |
|  | Pau ${ }^{3}$ | to take |  | $\mathrm{au}^{3}$ |  |
| aau | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ aau | white | aau | khaau |  |
|  | naau | cold |  | naau |  |
| iau | miau $^{2}$ | cat | iau | miau $^{2}$ |  |
|  | piau ${ }^{3}$ | to scatter |  | piau ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| e | tse ${ }^{1}$ | breast | e | tse ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{pe}^{1}$ | child's sleep |  | pe ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| ee | $\mathrm{mee}^{4}$ | to fix | ee | $\mathrm{mee}^{4}$ |  |
|  | tsee | various |  | tsee |  |
| uei | kuei | banana | uei | kuei |  |
|  | muei | ice |  | muei |  |
| $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | nยะ | and you? | ea | nea | This sound is extremely rare. The ea transcription is a little weird, but unavoidable |
|  | pe\& | to fly |  | pea |  |

## Phoneme Vowels Open Syllables (continued)

| Phoneme Vowels Open Syllables (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPA | Examples | Gloss |  | Transcription | Notes |
| OO | koo ${ }^{1}$ | clsf-person | OO | koo ${ }^{1}$ | There are no examples of a short o. |
|  | $\mathrm{tsoo}^{1}$ | spoon |  | tsoo ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | kaw $^{1}$ | also | aw | kaw $^{1}$ |  |
|  | Paw ${ }^{4}$ | Q yes/no |  | $\mathrm{aw}^{4}$ |  |
| H | tst ${ }^{3}$ | name | eu | tseu ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{eu}=\mathrm{u}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{mz}^{4}$ | hand |  | meu ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| Hu | muz ${ }^{4}$ | to return home | eeu | meeu $^{4}$ | eeu $=\mathrm{ut}$ |
|  | nut ${ }^{1}$ | meat |  | neeu ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| atu | mauz ${ }^{4}$ | you | aeu | $\mathrm{maeu}^{4}$ |  |
|  | haux $^{2}$ | to give |  | haeu $^{2}$ |  |

Phoneme Vowels Closed Syllables

| IPA | Examples | Gloss |  | Transcription | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | sip ${ }^{7}$ | ten | i | sip | eu $=\sharp$eeu $=\mathrm{uH}$ |
|  | Pik ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | more |  | ik |  |
| ii | piin ${ }^{3}$ | is | ii | piin ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | miit ${ }^{7}$ | knife |  | miit |  |
| ia | lian ${ }^{2}$ | to play | ia | lian ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | piat ${ }^{7}$ | eight |  | piat |  |
| a | mak ${ }^{7}$ | fruit | a | mak |  |
|  | han | to see |  | han |  |
| aa | kaat ${ }^{7}$ | to bite | aa | kaat |  |
|  | $k^{\text {h }}$ aan $^{1}$ | stupid |  | khaan ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| u | luk ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | to choose | eu | leuk ${ }^{7}$ |  |
|  | $n \nmid{ }^{7}$ | blood |  | neut ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| \#u | luay | one | eeu | leeun |  |
|  | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ Huk ${ }^{7}$ | burdened |  | kheeuk |  |
| u | luk ${ }^{1}$ | from | u | luk ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | yup ${ }^{2}$ | confused |  | yung $^{2}$ |  |
| uu | muuk ${ }^{7}$ | cloud | uu | muuk |  |
|  | kuun ${ }^{4}$ | person |  | kuun ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| ua | muan $^{4}$ | hour | ua | muang ${ }^{4}$ |  |
|  | Puak ${ }^{7}$ | outside |  | uak |  |


[^0]:    * I would like to thank Larin Adams for reviewing this paper.
    ${ }^{1}$ This is a self reported village census by the literature committee for Khamti. They are currently deploying a basic literacy program for a small subset of these villages. The village and population count comes from the head of the Khamti literacy committee who has done extensive travel and preliminary demographic compilation in the last five years.
    ${ }^{2}$ The term nominal used in this paper is synonymous with the traditional term noun phrase. I am using nominal because this paper also includes a discussion of the word class, noun, which subsumes the word structure process of noun compounding.

[^1]:    品

[^2]:    'At this screech, he picked up a pot shard that he had brokenby striking it and peeped to the bird and when he threw (it), hit the bird's neck and severed its head.'

