AMBULAS WOSERA-KAMU-K STATEMENT

Patricia Wilson
July, 1990

AMBULAS-WOSERA-KAMU-K STATEMENT

by Patricia Wilson July, 1990

1. INTRODUCTION

Ambulas, alternatively known as Abelam, is a member of the Ndu language family, of the Middle Sepik Stock. There are approximately 44,000 speakers, who are located mainly in the Maprik District of the East Sepik Province. There are three main dialects. This paper is concerned with the Wosera-Kamu Dialect, one of the two sub-dialects of the Wosera Dialect. The Wosera-Kamu Dialect divides again into secondary sub-dialects, the main one of which seems to be that spoken in the area around Kunjingini. I refer to this as the Wosera-Kamu-K Dialect, as the hyphens in the name show that it is actually a secondary sub-dialect. The population of the group of speakers of this dialect, situated mainly in the east of the North Wosera Census Division, is approximately 7,200. The data on which this paper is based was collected between April, 1988 and November, 1989 from Simon Torrie, of Tukwekim Village, who provided natural text in the form of stories for a Writers' Workshop, elicited texts, and translated songs and New Testament selections. His area seems to be fairly central to this secondary sub-dialect. It seems that people living in the villages of Tukwekim 1 and 2, Abusit, Kunjingini 1 and 2, Mikau 1 and 2, Mul, Nala and Waikamaka use the same sub-dialect, that the people of Bobmagum, Chiginangu, Kwanabandu, Tendegum and Kaugiak have some changes but the speech is quite close, and that the people of Maundu. Rubugum 1, 2 and 3 have some different changes but also use substantially the same sub-dialect. It is claimed that some of the villages further to the west speak similarly.

The information presented in this paper is to some extent based upon relevant information in Workpapers in Papua New Guinea Languages Vol.16, Surveys in Five P.N.G. Languages, Abulas Dialect Survey by Patricia Wilson, and Workpapers in Papua New Guinea Languages, Vol. 26, Ambulas Grammar by Patricia Wilson. Reference was also made to The Ndu Language Family (Sepik District, New Guinea) by D.C. Laycock, in Linguistic Circle of Canberra Publications Series C No.1.

2. PHONOLOGY

The 24 phonemes, seven vowels and seventeen consonants, of the Maprik Dialect of the Ambulas Language, seem to fit the Wosera-Kamu-K Dialect, too. The vowels consist of two unrounded front vowels, <u>i</u> and <u>e</u>; three unrounded central vowels, <u>é</u>, <u>a</u> and <u>aa</u> (with several speakers putting a glottal stop medially for that last vowel i.e. <u>a?a</u>); and two

rounded back vowels, \underline{u} and \underline{o} . The consonants consist of four voiceless obstruents, \underline{p} , \underline{t} , \underline{s} , and \underline{k} ; four voiced prenasalized obstruents, \underline{b} , \underline{d} , \underline{j} , and \underline{o} ; four nasals, \underline{m} , \underline{n} , and \underline{n} ; three continuants, \underline{v} , \underline{l} , and \underline{r} ; and two semi-vowels, \underline{w} and \underline{y} .

The \underline{knw} combination word medially of the Maprik Dialect is not used at all. The \underline{kn} combination of Maprik is infrequently used and that not in a common verbal suffix. This makes for a significant difference in the sound of the two dialects, apart from the great difference in grammatical forms.

e.g. ¯	Wosera-Kamu-K	meaning	Maprik
	yé <u>k</u> un	good	yé <u>kn</u> wun
	v € <u>k</u> u	hear	۸ę <u>κυ</u> რα
	wata <u>k</u> e	having said	wata <u>kn</u> e
	taa <u>k</u> andaka	they put and	ta <u>kn</u> adaka

Another interesting difference is that the suffix is added straight to the stem after p, k, and s, whereas in the Maprik Dialect a nasal to the same point of articulation is inserted first.

e.g.	waarapék (+- <u>ék</u>)	got up?	raap <u>m</u> ék (T - <u>mék</u>)
	baake (+- <u>e</u>)	steamcooked and	baaa <u>kn</u> e (+- <u>ne</u>)
	Jisasét (+- <u>ét</u>)	Jesus (supplement)	Jisas <u>ny</u> ét (1- nyét)

The comments in this paragraph apply to all the Wosera dialects, and make for a significant difference between the sound of the words spoken by Wosera and Maprik speakers.

3. ORTHOGRAPHY

The same orthography is being used as for Maprik, with the following modifications, which are preferred by the local Tok Ples Committees and the translators and with which I am happy. These changes are called for partly by the different literacy teaching situation. Literacy classes in the Maprik Dialect started 23 years ago with illiterates. Classes in the Wosera-Kamu-K Dialect will be transfer classes, starting with those who can already read in Pidgin or English.

1. premasalization will be written word-medially.

However, \underline{ngg} will follow the Pidgin change and contract to \underline{ng} .

2. when ny occurs in the word final position (very few occasions), this will be written as \underline{n} , and context will provide the clue to pronunciation. \underline{ny} will still be written word-initially and medially.

The letters used then will be: \underline{a} , $\underline{a}\underline{a}$, \underline{b} , \underline{d} , \underline{e} , \underline{e} , \underline{o} , \underline{i} , \underline{j} , \underline{k} , \underline{l} , \underline{m} , \underline{n} , \underline

4. GRAMMAR

The main area of difference between the Maprik and all Wosera Dialects as far as grammar is concerned is with verbs and their affixation. This also has an effect on word order and the significantly lesser use of the supplement slot marker. There is some change in the pronoun form in a few instances only. Because this is an important aspect of verb affixation, I will deal with the pronouns first.

4.1 PRONOUNS

Personal Pronouns: The uninflected set fill the same slots as for the Maprik Dialect. However, the Actor-Subject slot in the clause is optional (obligatory in Maprik), if the actor suffix is contained in the verb, as it is in most cases. The supplement slot is marked by -at/-ét. The directional or referential clitic is -ké. Both the above markers are the same as for Maprik.

		uninflected	supplement	directional
sing.	ist 2nd m. 2nd f. 3rd m. 3rd f.	dé	wunat ménat nyénat *dat *lat	wunéké ménéké nyénéké déké 1 éké
dual	ist	ané	anat	anéké
	2nd	béné	bénat	bénéké
	3rd	bét	bérét	*bérké
pl.	ist	*nané	*nanat	*nanéké
	2nd	guné	gunat	gunéké
	3rd	de	*det	deké

The asterisk shows where the forms differ in Wosera-Kamu-K

from the Maprik form. The 1st person plural difference is a difference in vowel only (aa in Maprik, a in Wosera-Kamu-K). The 3rd singular supplement forms are also alternate forms in the Maprik Dialect (more common forms dérét, lérét). The 3rd dual directional form and plural supplement forms contrast with the Maprik (bétké, derét).

The following set shows the possessive adjective, the possessive pronoun and the accompaniment forms of the personal pronoun. The Wosera-Kamu-K Dialect uses the same possession markers as Maprik (-ku ~ -na), with the addition of -n to this form for the possessive pronoun (Maprik -n ~ -kun). Wosera-Kamu-K adds -ale to form the accompaniment pronoun (Maprik has the free form wale 'with')

		pos.adjective	pos. pronoun	accompaniment
sing.	1st 2nd m. 2nd f. 3rd m. 3rd f.	nyéna déku	*wunan *ménan *nyénan dékun lékun	*wunale *ménale *nyénale *dale *lale
dual	1st	ana	*anan	*anale
	2nd	béna	*bénan	*bénale
	3rd	*bérku	*bérkun	*bérale
pl.	ist	*nana	*nanan	*nanale
	2nd	guna	*gunan	*gunale
	3rd	deku	dekun	*deale

The asterisk shows where the forms differ in Wosera-Kamu-K from the Maprik forms. The only difference in the 3rd dual forms for possessive adjective and pronoun is that the third letter is interpreted as $\underline{'r'}$ in Wosera-Kamu-K and $\underline{'t'}$ in Maprik.

I have not noted any suffixation added to the uninflected set of personal pronouns when functioning within the Actor-subject slot of a clause. This is a difference from the Maprik and Wingei dialects.

The bound form of the personal pronoun has some differences from the free form. (see the list in the next section.)

4.2 VERBS

(c.f. Ambulas Grammar pp.58-82)
The same nine verb classes seem to apply to the Wosera-Kamu-K Dialect.

Affixation -Inner Suffixes

Examples have been observed of each of the five orders of inner suffixes as for Maprik: direction-mode, mode 1, mode 2, benefactive and actor, with actor being the furthest from the stem of the verb. There is also another order, signifying future aspect, which occurs in fifth position before the actor (-ka future and -munaa conditional). Forms are mainly the same or similar to Maprik, as in:

-<u>janda</u> 'down' Group 1 direction-mode -<u>patik</u>/-<u>pati</u> frustrative suffix Group 3 direction-mode -<u>taka</u> completive suffix 3 mode 2

Some notable differences from Maprik are:

-<u>sékéyak</u> completive suffix 2 mode 1

e.g. <u>ya-sékéyak-ndé-n</u> 'he finished doing'
do-comp2- he -pa

-péka continuative suffix Group 3 direction-mode e.g. <u>yaan-péka-ndé-kwa</u> 'it keeps on burning' burn-cont- he -pr

It is also notable that the positional verbs, especially ra/ré'sit' and té'stand' are used frequently as a type of inner suffix and relate to the position affer the action of the verb stem.

e.g. <u>wulaa-té-mbér-ka</u> 'they two stood inside' go.in-stand-they2-r6 (went in and stood)

There are also differences in the forms of some of the actor suffixes. They are compared below with the Maprik and Wosera-Mamu sets:

	Wosera-Kamu-K	Wosera-Mamu	Maprik
sing.1st	-dé	—wuté	-wuré
2nd m.		—méné	-méné
2nd f.		—nyéné	-nyéné
3rd m.		—dé	-dé
3rd f.		—1é	-1é
dual 1st	-té	-té	-té
2nd	-béné	-béné	-béné
3rd	-bér	-béré	-bét
pl. lst	-na/*-nané	-nana	-na
2nd	-guné	-guné	-guné
3rd	*-daré/-da	-de	-da

(N.B. for ease of comparison, the prenasalization is not written in the Wosera forms, but in practice, as these are all word medial forms, these are now written in.)

The difference in form in the 3rd dual form is probably a difference in orthographical interpretation by the speakers, rather than an actual phonemic difference. The 1st and 3rd plural actor suffixes are -nané, -daré in the past tense, (before $-\underline{e}n$), in the conditional tense (before $-\underline{a}n$) and in the relational different actor future form, r7, (before -u), and are $-\underline{n}a$, $-\underline{d}a$ in the present tense (before $-\underline{k}wa$ [becomes -gwa]) and in the relational different actor r6 form (before $-\underline{k}a$ [becomes -ga]).

Two sets of verbs and suffixes are given below to demonstrate morphophonemic changes which occur in the actor suffix:

- verb stem + actor suffix + relational different actor partially consecutive suffix (r6).
- 2. Verb stem + actor suffix + relational different actor future suffix (r7).

1	2
ya-wuté-ka 'I did and'	ya-wut-u 'I shall do and'
ya-méné-nga	ya-mén-u
ya-ndé-ka	ya-nd-u
ya-lé-ka	ya-l-u
ya-lé-ka	ya-t-u
ya-mbéné-nga	ya-mbén-u
ya-mbér-ka	ya-mbér-u
ya-na-nga	ya-nan-u
ya-nda-ka	ya-ndar-u

In the above two sets the differences from the Maprik forms are in the difference of the actor suffix stem and the changing shape of the ró suffix. As with the Maprik morphophonemic pattern mid central vowel + -u is lost. The changing shape of the ró suffix will be discussed later.

Affixation- Outer Suffixes (c.f. Ambulas Grammar p.68). There seem to be two orders of outer suffixes, but these differ from the Maprik forms. Significant differences are asterisked.

```
Outer suffixes
                      <u>Tense-Aspect</u>
Independent
affirmative indicative
 -kwa * present
 −én * past
 interrogative
 <u>−o/</u> −u present
 -ék past
 imperative
 <u>-k</u> * imperative 1 (imp1)
 -kwak imperative 2 (imp2)
 negative
-kwe * negative 1 (neg1)
 -<u>bak</u>/-ka<u>puk</u>/ -marék negative 2 (neg2)
Dependent
 aspectual
 -katik hypothetical (hyp)
 -yékiyak * negative 3 (neg3)
  <u>intentive same actor</u>
                                                  intentive
 -ké intentive (int)
                                                  different
 -én * purposive (pur)——
                                                   actor
 -muké negative intentive (neg int)
                                                 -<u>ké</u> inten-
                                                  (-qé) tive
 relational same actor
 <u>-e partially consecutive (r1)</u>
 -<u>take</u> consecutive (r6)
 -<u>te</u> simultaneous (r3)
 relational different actor
 -<u>ka</u> partially consecutive (ró)
 -u * future (r7)
<u>conditional</u>
 <u>-an</u> * conditional (con)
accessory
 -kwa accessory present (apr)
 -én accessory past (apa)
-an * accessory future (afu)
```

N.B. I have used the same terminology as for the Maprik Dialect Grammar Description, to make for easier comparison. However, it will be seen that two of the relational suffixes are missing from the Wosera-Kamu list (r4 and r5). Also, on the present evidence, it is probably not necessary to talk about accessory verbs, as the forms are the same as appear under affirmative indicative and conditional on this chart. Instead,

perhaps I could say, "Affirmative indicative present and past, and conditional verbs may also function as accessory present, past and future verbs, with the final letter (n) dropping off when the accessory verb functions as an adjective, and with an extra -n being added to the present tense form when the accessory verb functions as a noun."

Note also that the suffixes which start with k follow the Maprik pattern of \underline{k} + \underline{k} = $k\underline{g}$, and also follow a new pattern in that if the \underline{k} follows immediately or closely after the nasal \underline{n} the \underline{k} changes to \underline{g} (which is then written as $\underline{n}\underline{g}$ in the Wosera-Kamu-K orthography. However, \underline{n} + $\underline{n}\underline{g}$ = $\underline{n}\underline{g}$).

Differences from the Maprik suffixes are asterisked.

Examples of verbs and outer suffixes:

(Note that the morphophonemic rules for Maprik seem to apply for Wosera-Kamu-K whenever the suffix starts with a vowel, except that extra consonants are not added at the border (see pp. 20, 21 Ambulas Grammar)). Examples are given as far as possible with the verb stem kéraa 'get'

<u>Independent</u>

Affirmative Indicative

These forms usually include the bound form of the personal pronoun. An alternative form uses the free form of the pronoun, or the pro-pronouns wa 'that' or a 'this', and the verb. The alternative form with the pronoun is less often used. Its use seems in part to be affected by social context, e.g. talking with a Maprik or Wingei Dialect speaker, where the regular usage is free pronoun plus verb, or translating from the Maprik Dialect.

present tense

kéraa-ndé-kwa 'he gets' get -he -pr

dé <u>kéraa-kwa</u> ′ gets′ get -pr

<u>past tense</u>

<u>kéraa-ndé-n</u> 'he got'(final vowel of stem + <u>é</u> get -he -pa loses <u>é</u>)

dé <u>kéraa-n</u> / got/(final vowel of stem + <u>é</u> loses <u>é)</u>

(Maprik has the above forms as accessory dependent verb

forms.)

Interrogative

present tense

<u>-o</u> occurs following <u>-aa</u> and <u>-a</u> -<u>u</u> occurs elsewhere

ya + o = yo 'do?' (mid-central vowel + o is lost)

 $k\acute{e}raa + o = k\acute{e}rao$ 'get?'

<u>waaru + u = waaru 'rebuke?' (u + u</u> is lost)

<u>bul + u = bulu 'talk?'</u>

past tense

Morphophonemic rules apply when the suffix - ék is added

 $\underline{ya} + \underline{\acute{e}k} = \underline{yak}$ 'did?' (final vowel of stem + $\underline{\acute{e}}$ loses \acute{e}) $\underline{k\acute{e}raa} + \underline{\acute{e}k} = k\acute{e}raak$ 'qot?' (" " " " ")

waaru + ék = waaruk/ waaruwak 'rebuked?' (" " ")

<u>bul + ék = bulék</u> 'talked?'

It is to be noted that the above present and past interrogative forms for Wosera-Kamu-K are the indicative forms for Maprik, as well as the interrogative, so there is confusion between the two dialects, and to the Wosera-Kamu speaker the Maprik speaker seems to be asking questions all the time. However, intonation and context give the clues.

At this stage it has still to be determined whether all verb stems that end in -u have alternative interrogative forms or whether the following are irregular forms:

véku 'hear' pres. interrogative vékwo past interrogative vékwak té 'stand' pres. interrogative to

Imperative

The imperative is expressed by the stem only, usually with the imperative marker $\underline{m}a$, or alternative forms, using the bound pronoun and one of the imperative suffixes $(-\underline{k}, -\underline{kwak})$.

ma kéraa 'get!'

ma kéraa-nguné-k 'you all get!'
get-you-impi

ma <u>yé-kwak</u> 'let us go' (may be restricted to go-imp2 | lst plural only)

At this stage, it seems that the second form is the more formal.

Negative

-kwe functions as a negative marker in past tense, and co-occurs with the negative word yamba 'not'.

yamba <u>kéraa-ndé-kwe</u> 'he did not get' get-he -neg1

The relational same actor partially consecutive suffix is used to express the negative in present tense.

dé yamba <u>t-e</u> 'he does not stand'

The above forms expound the Predicate slot of a Denial Clause.

-bak/-kapuk/-marék are negative 2 suffixes. The verb expounds the Negative slot of a Negative Imperative Clause. -bak (-mbak) is the most common form. -marék is seldom used.

kéraa-mbak 'do not get' get-neg2

Dependent

Aspectual

-<u>katik</u> hypothetical suffix. The verb expounds the Predicate slot of the Subjunctive Aspectual Clause.

kéraa-katik 'would have got' get -hyp

-yékiyak negative 3 suffix. The verb expounds the Predicate slot of the Negative Aspectual Clause. This form is seldom used. It seems that the preferred way to state this idea is to make two sentences, rather than use a relational verb.

<u>kéraa-yékiyak</u> 'not get' get-neg3

Intentive same actor

The suffix $-\underline{k}\underline{\epsilon}$ is used to express purpose and also in an alternative form of the future tense.

<u>kéraa-ké</u> 'in order to get, will get' get -int

The suffix $-\underline{\acute{e}n}$ is at present being called a purposive. It follows the inner active suffix and precedes the intentive suffix to form an intentive different actor verb. When this is used, the intentive suffix moves to second order of outer suffix and $\underline{k\acute{e}}$ becomes $\underline{g\acute{e}}$, following \underline{n} , and \underline{n} + \underline{n} becomes \underline{n} .

kéraa-ndé-n-gé 'in order that he get'
get -he-pur-int

The suffix -muké is used to express negative intent.

<u>kéraa-muké</u> 'in order not to get' get -neg int

Relational same actor

Relational same actor suffixes seem to function as for Maprik:

Partially consecutive suffix -e (r1) expresses a type of overlapping relationship, when the first action is more or less completed before the second.

<u>kéra-e</u> yéndén '(he) got and (went)' get-ri

Consecutive suffix -take (r2) expresses the completion of the action before the action of the independent verb.

<u>kéraa-take</u> yéndén '(he) got and then (went)' get -r2

Simultaneous suffix -te (r3) expresses the idea that this action is going on at the same time as the action of the independent verb.

<u>kéraa-te</u> yéndén 'getting (he went)' get -r3

Relational different actor

Relational different actor suffixes seem to function as for Maprik, but the consecutive suffix has not been observed.

Partially consecutive suffix -ka (r6) expresses a type of overlapping relationship, when the first action is more or less completed before the action of the independent verb, which is in the present or past tense.

<u>kéraa-lé-ka</u> yéndén 'she was getting and (he went)' get -she-ró

N.B. this suffix changes to -ga (spelled -nga) when following the masal n.

kéraa-méné-nga . . 'you were getting and . . '

Future suffix -u (r7) is used when the independent verb is an imperative or expressing the future tense.

kéraa-l-u yékandékwa 'she will get and (he will go)

Conditional

The conditional suffix expresses a straight conditiion or a time sequence.

<u>kéraa-nd-an</u> 'if/when he gets' (stem final <u>é</u> + <u>a</u> get - he-con loses é)

Accessory

Accessory verbs seem to function as for Maprik as Included Clauses which fill phrase level slots. The clause filling the modifier slot of a noun phrase takes the form as given below, although the future form frequently omits the final \underline{n} . When it functions as a noun the suffix $\underline{-n}$ is added to this in present tense. (See also the note about accessory verbs beneath the Outer suffixes chart.)

-<u>kwa</u> accessory present <u>kéraa-lé-kwa(n</u>) 'she gets' get-she-apr

<u>-én</u> accessory past <u>kéraa-lé-n</u> 'she got' get-she-apa

<u>-an</u> future <u>kéraa-l-an</u> 'she will get' get-she-afu

Intentive same actor

This is a second order outer suffix. See explanation under Intentive same actor above (p. 11)

kéraa-ndé-n-gé 'in order that he get' (n+ng=ng)
get-he-pur-int

N.B. 1. While verbs are being discussed I will mention the formation of the future tense. In Wosera-Kamu-K, as in Maprik, this can be formed by the addition of the intentive suffix -ké, forming an Intentive Same Actor Clause in the Immediacy Base of an Immediacy Aspectual Merged Sentence (see Ambulas Grammar p. 214) while the Event Base is filled by the Actor-subject only. This is found only in interrogative and negative future forms in Wosera-Kamu-K.

<u>kéraa-ké</u> dé 'will (he) get?' get-int

katik <u>kéraa-ké</u> dé '(he) will (not) get' get-int

The future affirmative indicative is formed by the addition of inner suffix -ka and the present tense outer suffix.

kéraa-ka-ndé-kwa 'he will get' get-fu- he -pr

An alternative, less often used way, makes use of an Immediacy Aspectual Merged Sentence, with the Event Base filled by the verb \underline{xa} 'do'.

kéraa-ké ya-ndé-kwa 'he will get' get-int do-he-pr

2. The suffix -munaa, expressing condition, functions in the same way as an inner suffix, so I am putting in 4b order. It is a way of expressing a contrafactual condition, but its use seems to be dying out amongst the younger people. It functions differently from Maprik contrafactual forms. It changes in shape by adding further suffixes, depending on whether it is expressing same or different actor. It co-occurs with relational different actor suffixes or conditional suffix when it is expressing different actor

<u>kéraa-muna-e</u> de yak 'if (they) had got (they ..)
get-cond-ri

<u>kéraa-munaa-t-an</u> 'if we two had got' get-cond-we2-con

<u>kéraa-munaa-nd-u</u> 'if he had got' get-cond-he-r7

3. It seems that the bound form of the pronoun can occur following the intentive suffix in the interroga-

tive and negative future forms of Wosera-Kamu-K. This can be distinguished in shape from the free form in 1st singular, dual and plural, and in 3rd dual and plural. Because it fits the pattern of the language and the other dialects better to consider the intentive suffixed verb when used to express the future, as an independent form, it seems best to consider the following pronoun as a separate word, and to make the statement that bound forms of the pronoun can occur as free forms when used to form the future tense following the intentive suffixed verb.

katik kéraa-ké daré 'they will not get' not get-int they

4.3 MISCELLANIA

It seems that the broad outline of Ambulas grammar in the Maprik Dialect, as far as clauses and sentences, are concerned, will apply to the Wosera-Kamu-K Dialect, too. Similar types of merged, complex and compound sentences have been observed. However, when there are significant differences on the word level, these differences will be reflected on higher levels.

e.g. Because all the Wosera verbs are formed differently from the Maprik verbs, with the actor usually being contained within the verb, the Actor-subject slot on the clause level is no longer obligatory, but optional and infrequently used, and the supplement slot is often unmarked, as it is quite clear who is the subject of the action and thus who is the object of the action.

There are fewer merged sentences, e.g. to express future tense, as these are covered on the word level.

No word has been found to express reason (Maprik Dialect has <u>bege</u>). It seems that cause and effect need to be expressed by a sequence sentence, with the cause expressed first, and that there is no reason sentence.

Other points of note:

1. The verb <u>naate</u> 'was talking and' is not used as a speech closure marker in Wosera-Kamu-K. Closing Quote clause is restricted to Different Actor clause only and there is no Quote Recapitulaion Merged Sentence. The verb <u>naa</u> does not seem to be used to express 'think, intend', so that Mistaken Impression and Mental Quote Sentences have not been identified. It seems that these are all covered by Direct Quote Sentences. In everyday speech, and to a small extent in the written form , <u>naa-ndé-n</u> 'he said' and <u>naa-ndé-ka</u> 'he said and . . ' are both frequently used as narrative

connectors. The form wunga 'thus' is used as a type of speech closure marker.

- 2. An alternative, stronger way of forming the negative imperative is by the use of the intentive verb and then the negative 4 suffix -bakate added to the verb stem ya 'do'. (N.B. -bakate may actually be -bak kate, so, because of the uncertainty, I have not put this form on the Outer suffixes chart.)
- e.g. <u>ra-ké ya-mbakate</u> 'do not sit' sit-int do-neo4
- 3. Accompaniment is expressed by <u>-ale</u>, suffixed to the noun or pronoun, whereas in Maprik it is the free form wale.
- 4. Supplement slot marker is <u>-ét</u> ~ <u>-at</u> (<u>-ét</u> Maprik), but this marker is little used.
- 5. No examples of reduplication with vowel change, to express intensity, as in Maprik, yet found.
- 6. There does not seem to be the equivalent for the Intensifying slot in a Stative Clause, with the accompanying Supplement Relator Axis Phrase, as in Maprik.
- i.e. asa mawulé ya instead of mawul-at kapére ya big liking do fear-su greatly do 'be very afraid'
- 7. A common form of discourse closure is wanana wa 'that's it'
- 8. The numbers are close to the Maprik system. Notable differences are:

<u>vétik vétik</u> 4 <u>taambak</u> 5 <u>nakurak dumi</u> 20

(Maprik form maan vétik taaba vétik also used)
The tally system, counting by fingers and then toes up
to 20 is the same system as for Maprik, in multiples of
5, then in 20's.

- 9. There is a noticeable great use of the forms <u>a</u> 'this' and <u>wa</u> 'that', both as pro-pronouns, and as, I assume, stylistic devices.
- e.g. <u>wuné a némaa kaangél a kurutékwa</u> I big pain suffer 'I am in great pain'
 - Wuné kapére taalat wa daawuliwutén I bad place-to go.down.I.pa

'I went down to the bad place'

10. Because the Wosera-Kamu-K verbs usually contain the bound pronoun form plus the tense suffix in their independent forms (Maprik has two words to express actor plus verb), and then, added to this, the Wosera-Kamu-K team are writing in all the pre-nasalization, the Wosera-Kamu-K words are considerably longer in their written form, than the Maprik words. This is significant, both for the construction of literacy materials and in the setting out of translated materials for new readers.

5. LEXICON

Some of the most commonly used vocabulary differences are listed below, in comparison with the Maprik and Wosera-Kamu-J term. (Wosera-Mamu terms, when they differ, shown in brackets.)

Maprik	English	Wosera-K-K	Wosera-K-J
kaapuk	not	yamba	yamba
kéga	like this	anga (aka)	aga
waga	like that	wunga (waka)	wuqa
samu	what?	kamu (mamu)	kamu
akéré	fall	vaakét	vaakét
akélak	quietly	yakélak	nakélak
		(nakélak)	
awuré	up	anjoré	ajoré
anyék	earth tremor	waalé	waalé
baadi	children	nyambalésé	nyaabalé
		(nyaambalésé)	
du	men	dunyansé	du
		(dunyan)	
du taakwa	people	du dakwa	du taakwa
		(dundakwa)	
taakwa 🍃	women	dakwasé (dakwa)	dutakwa
gaaba segwi	corpse	pusaa	pusaa
giyaa	come down	gaaya	gaaya
ди	drinking water	kulak	kulak
gwalepa	old	anyapa	anyapa
gwaama l	return	waambul	waabul
gwalmu	possessions	musé asé/salmu	
i		(musé) walmu	
gweba	worthless	gaan dé	 muburaajé
		(mumburaanjé)	
kaadé	hunger	kaandé (wundé)	kaadé
kaata	return, repay	waambul	kaata
kapmu	oneself, alone	kapmang (kapma:	kapmu
kayénaré	light up	kaalé (kaal)	kaalé
kevérék	lift up	kavérék	kavénék
k é ba	here	amba	aba

	7		_1
képmawaara	ancestor	waaranga	waaraga
kéni	this	ani	ani
kiyadé	who	kandé	kiyadék
¦ kulaknyény	leave	yaaséka	yaaséka
		(yaasétaka)	
kutdéng	know	véku,vé,vékusék	vékwe kutdéna
kutkalé ya		kutkale ya	kutkale ya
kutnébul	heal, clean	kururé	kure yawuré
		(yékén yawuré)	
kwawo	pool, lake	saréngu (saaku)	saaku
las	some	ras (las)	ras
maas	rain	maas/waalé	maas
,		(waalé)	
mé	imperative	ma	ma
	marker	: :	
, miték	well	yékunba(yékénba	
naakuma	mother-in-law	naakumo (bambu)	naakuma
, .	of man	_	
nemaadu	older brother	aanyé	aanyé
néwaa	mother	aasa	aasa
raségé	wait	kaavé	kaavé
saaba	arrive at	saambak (késék)	
samuké sanévéknwu	why	kamuké (mamuké)	
saneveknwu	think	vékulaka	vékulaka
-4, ,		(vékulakna)	
sékal séré	look for	waak (kwarak)	waak
	tomorrow	séré (sét)	séré
séknaa sérak	distant	sékaa (apak)	sékaa
selak	light (fire)	Yamunga	samuk
tiyaa	= t = t	(taak, yatngut)	
véknwu	give to me hear	tiyaa (kwayé)	tiyaa
viyaapata	nail on		véku
. Arvachere	net: ou	baangtaka	baangtaka
waata	ask	(bangpaté)	· ·
wap	short	waataku(wataku)	
. wawo	also	· .	pavéték
wény	blood		akwi
	sleep	nyeki Yundé kwaa	nyéki
_	wany	asapéri,késépéri	yundé kwaa
		rékaamba,	asaperi
,		némaamba	
·		(asapéri)	:
wuraanyan	spirit	kwaminyan	luusmi naan
· :	inside man	, www.miiiyan	kwaminyan
yaapa .	father	aapa i	2201
yaaleban	harm	yaavankut	aapa jétké kut
		(wayékut)	JUNE NOT
Yaga .	how?	yenga (yaka)	yéga
yagap	how many?	katik	katik
yeknwun	good	yékun (yékén)	yékun
yénaa kudi	lying talk	paapu kundi	yénaa kudi
		(yénaasak	
		kundi)	
		أينون الرابان والمتعام والمنع للمالك المتعاملات المتعام	

From this list it will be seen that there does not seem to be any phonemic pattern in these differences. The distinguishing feature of the dialect, the word kamu 'what?' does not reflect any particular pattern.

It should be noted again, with reference to the above list, that the Maprik and Wosera-Kamu-J orthographies do not write in pre-nasalization, which is a feature of all the dialects, whereas the Wosera-Kamu-K and the Wosera-Mamu put this in, word-medially.

6. ABBREVIATIONS

```
1 s t
          first person
2nd
          second person
 3rd
          third person
afu
         accessory future
apa
          accessory past
apr
         accessory present
         conditional outer verbal suffix -an
COR
         conditional inner verbal suffix -munaa
cond
du
          dual
f.
         feminine
fu
         future inner verbal suffix -ka
         hypothetical verbal suffix -katik
hyp
         imperative 1 outer verbal suffix -k
impi
         imperative 2 outer verbal suffix -kwak
imp2
int
         intentive verbal suffix -ké
m.
         masculine
         negative 1 outer verbal suffix -kwe
negi
         negative 2 outer verbal suffix -bak/-kapuk
neg2
         negative 3 outer verbal suffix ~yékiyak
nea3
         negative 4 verbal suffix -bakate
neq4
                                             (see note 2
        negative intentive outer verbal suffix -<u>muk</u>é
neg int
         past tense
рÌ
         plural
PΓ
         present tense
pur
         purposive outer verbal suffix -én
         relational same actor partially consecutive
r i
                                      suffix -e
٢2
         relational same actor consecutive verbal
                                     suffix -take
         relational same actor simultaneous verbal
rЗ
                                     suffix −te
         relational different actor partially
മർ.
                             consecutive suffix -ka
         relational different actor future verbal
67.
                                     Suffix −y
sing
         singular
         supplement slot marker <u>-ét/-at</u>
SU
```

c.f. compare

for example that is e.g.

i.e.