

**Summer Institute of Linguistics and
The University of Texas at Arlington
Publications in Linguistics**

Publication 98

Editors

Virgil Poulter
University of Texas
at Arlington

William R. Merrifield
Summer Institute of
Linguistics

Assistant Editors

Karl A. Franklin

Marilyn A. Mayers

Consulting Editors

Doris A. Bartholomew
Pamela M. Bendor-Samuel
Desmond C. Derbyshire
Robert A. Dooley
Jerold A. Edmondson

Austin Hale
Robert E. Longacre
Eugene E. Loos
Kenneth L. Pike
Viola G. Waterhouse

The Structure of Thai Narrative

Somsonge Burusphat

**A Publication of
The Summer Institute of Linguistics
and
The University of Texas at Arlington
1991**

© 1991 by the Summer Institute of Linguistics, Inc.

Library of Congress Catalog No: 90-072069

ISBN: 0-88312-805-5

ISSN: 1040-0850

All Rights Reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopy, recording, or otherwise—without the express permission of the Summer Institute of Linguistics, with the exception of brief excerpts in journal articles or reviews.

Cover and design sketch by Hazel Shorey

Copies of this and other publications of the Summer Institute of Linguistics may be obtained from

International Academic Bookstore
Summer Institute of Linguistics
7500 W. Camp Wisdom Road
Dallas, TX 75236

Contents

List of Tables	viii
Abbreviations	ix
Foreward	xi
Acknowledgments	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Theoretical framework	1
1.2 Previous studies of Thai discourse	2
1.3 Thai phonology	4
1.4 Typological properties of Thai	5
1.5 Phrase structure rules	11
1.6 The data	12
1.7 Outline of this study	13
2 Macrostructure	15
2.1 Macrorules	16
2.2 The derivation of macropropositions	17
2.3 Macrostructure and the content of the story	29
2.4 Summary	37

3 Overall Plot Structure and Profile	39
3.1 The notional structure of Thai climactic narrative	40
3.2 Overall plot structure of four Thai texts	40
3.3 Profile	42
3.4 Paragraph structure	51
3.5 Summary	73
4 Storyline and Nonstoryline	75
4.1 Cohesion	76
4.2 Evaluation	81
4.3 Irrealis	83
4.4 Setting	87
4.5 Flashback	91
4.6 Cognitive state	92
4.7 Background activities	95
4.8 Summary	97
5 Storyline	99
5.1 Categories of on-the-line verbs	99
5.2 Sequentiality	105
5.3 Split events	110
5.4 Salience scheme	112
5.5 Summary	121
6 Participant Rank	123
6.1 Main participant	128
6.2 Secondary participant	131
6.3 Tertiary participant	133
7 Encoding Participant Reference	135
7.1 Overt marking	136
7.2 Zero anaphora	143
7.3 Number of participants in complex sentences	147

8 Referencing by Participant according to his Rank	151
8.1 Main participant	151
8.2 Secondary participant	152
8.3 Tertiary participant	153
8.4 Props	154
8.5 Summary	154
9 Cultural Information	157
9.1 The analysis of cultural information in the trickster tale . . .	157
9.2 Summary	166
10 Conclusion	169
Appendix	173
References	227

Abbreviations

AJ	adjective	PREP	preposition
AV	adverb of manner	PROP	proposition
AUX	auxiliary	Q	question marker
BEN	benefactive marker	QUANT	quantity
CLASS	classifier	S	sentence
DET	determiner	SEQ	sequential thesis
F _n	final nasal or semivowel	SUBJ	subject
F _p	final particle	TP	time phrase
F _s	final stop	T _p	topic-prominent
IMPV	imperative	V _{ac}	action verb
M1	generalization rule	V _d	directional verb
M2	deletion rule	V _i	intransitive verb
M3	integration rule	V _{mn}	main verb
M4	construction rule	V _{mo}	motion verb
N	noun	VP	verb phrase
NP	noun phrase	V _{post}	postserial verb
NUM	numeral	V _{pre}	preserial verb
PART	particle	V _t	transitive verb
PASS	passive marker	VV	long vowel and diphthong
PN	pronoun		

Acknowledgments

This study was originally prepared as a doctoral dissertation at the University of Texas at Arlington. I wish to express my gratitude to the members of my graduate committee who guided me in its writing. Professor Robert E. Longacre, my supervisor, was extremely generous to me with his time, insightful comments, endless patience, and constant encouragement. Because of his unsurpassed grip on Discourse Grammar and his extraordinary scholastic ability, working on this dissertation under his supervision is the most valuable experience I have ever had. Professor Jerold A. Edmondson, my advisor, not only gave me academic advice but also made time available for my personal problems. With his knowledge of Chinese and Tai languages, his discussions and comments on the dissertation were very valuable. Professor Donald A. Burquest gave me encouragement and warm guidance throughout the years of my study. His suggestions for revision were very helpful. Professor Shin Ja Hwang took time from her busy schedule to review the final draft and made constructive criticisms. Professor Robert J. Reddick read the dissertation carefully and critically. His rigorous criticisms and comments contributed greatly to the final version. I wish to thank Professor Kenneth James Gregerson and Mrs. Dorothy Thomas for giving me material relevant to the field of study.

I wish to gratefully acknowledge the Summer Institute of Linguistics for granting me fellowships throughout the years of my graduate program. I wish also to express my great appreciation to the Linguistic Society of America for awarding me fellowship support to attend the 1983 Linguistic

Institute courses in the Linguistics Department, University of California at Los Angeles. Participation in linguistics courses, especially Discourse and Functional Syntax, provided me a wide perspective in discourse analysis.

I am very grateful to Mahidol University, especially to Dr. Natth Bhamarapravati, the rector, for granting me the study leave. I am particularly indebted to Dr. Suriya Ratanakul, the director of the Institute of Language and Culture for Rural Development, Mahidol University, for her unfailing encouragement, which helps put me where I am now. I thank my colleagues for taking on extra teaching hours during the time of my study.

To my parents, I wish to express my deepest gratitude for their love and care. I especially thank my mother for being here with me during the final period of my work. I also thank my sister, Sukanya Krishnasreni, for her encouragement and for providing me with the data used for this study.

My special thanks go to my beloved husband and his parents for their constant love and generous support.

April 23, 1986